

Lab 4 Solutions

```
% Question 2
% See Tutorial 1 for formulation.
%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%
% Min z = 8x1 + 12x2
% subject to: x1 + x2 >= 7
%             2x1 + x2 >= 10
% with       x1,x2 >= 0.
%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%
% Maximise: zhat = -z.
% Decision variables: x1,x2.
% Introduce surplus variables: x3,x4.
% Problem becomes
%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%
% Max zhat = - 8x1 - 12x2 + 0x3 + 0x4
% subject to: x1 + x2 - x3 = 7
%             2x1 + x2 - x4 = 10
% with       x1,x2,x3,x4 >= 0.
%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%
% Enter problem in terms of decision variables and surplus variables.
% Matlab will introduce artificial variables x5,x6.
zhat=[-8,-12,0,0];
A=[1,1,-1,0;2,1,0,-1];
b=[7;10];
w=[0,0,0,0,-1,-1];
% w contains a 0 for each decision, surplus and slack variable,
% and a -1 for each artificial variable. First the decision and
% surplus variables are treated in the order in which they are
% labelled. Then the slack and artificial variables are treated
% in the order of their constraints in A.
t0=tableau2(A,b,zhat,w);
```

W	Z	x1	x2	x3	x4	\bar{x}_5	\bar{x}_6	RHS
1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.00
0.00	1.00	8.00	12.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	-1.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	7.00
0.00	0.00	2.00	1.00	0.00	-1.00	0.00	1.00	10.00

```
t0(1,:)=t0(1,:)-t0(3,:)-t0(4,:);
displaytableau2(t0)
```

W	Z	x1	x2	x3	x4	\bar{x}_5	\bar{x}_6	RHS
1.00	0.00	-3.00	-2.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	-17.00
0.00	1.00	8.00	12.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	-1.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	7.00
0.00	0.00	2.00	1.00	0.00	-1.00	0.00	1.00	10.00

```
ratios2(3,t0);
```

Ratios of RHS to column 3:

W	Z	x1	x2	x3	x4	\bar{x}_5	\bar{x}_6	RHS	Ratio
1.00	0.00	-3.00	-2.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	-17.00	-
0.00	1.00	8.00	12.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	-1.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	7.00	7.00
0.00	0.00	2.00	1.00	0.00	-1.00	0.00	1.00	10.00	5.00

```
t1=pivot2(4,3,t0);
```

W	Z	x1	x2	x3	x4	\bar{x}_5	\bar{x}_6	RHS
1.00	0.00	0.00	-0.50	1.00	-0.50	0.00	1.50	-2.00
0.00	1.00	0.00	8.00	0.00	4.00	0.00	-4.00	-40.00
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.50	-1.00	0.50	1.00	-0.50	2.00
0.00	0.00	1.00	0.50	0.00	-0.50	0.00	0.50	5.00

ratios2(4,t1);

Ratios of RHS to column 4:

W	Z	x1	x2	x3	x4	x5	x6	RHS	Ratio
1.00	0.00	0.00	-0.50	1.00	-0.50	0.00	1.50	-2.00	-
0.00	1.00	0.00	8.00	0.00	4.00	0.00	-4.00	-40.00	-
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.50	-1.00	0.50	1.00	-0.50	2.00	4.00
0.00	0.00	1.00	0.50	0.00	-0.50	0.00	0.50	5.00	10.00

t2=pivot2(3,4,t1);

W	Z	x1	x2	x3	x4	x5	x6	RHS
1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.00
0.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	16.00	-4.00	-16.00	4.00	-72.00
0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	-2.00	1.00	2.00	-1.00	4.00
0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	1.00	-1.00	-1.00	1.00	3.00

% Tableau t2 is optimal for w and w*=0. Phase 1 successful.

% Begin phase 2.

t3=phase2(t2);

Z	x1	x2	x3	x4	RHS
1.00	0.00	0.00	16.00	-4.00	-72.00
0.00	0.00	1.00	-2.00	1.00	4.00
0.00	1.00	0.00	1.00	-1.00	3.00

ratios(5,t3);

Ratios of RHS to column 5:

W	Z	x1	x2	x3	x4	RHS	Ratio
1.00	0.00	0.00	16.00	-4.00	-72.00	-72.00	-
0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	-2.00	1.00	4.00	4.00
0.00	1.00	0.00	1.00	-1.00	3.00	3.00	-

t4=pivot(2,5,t3);

W	Z	x1	x2	x3	x4	RHS
1.00	0.00	0.00	4.00	8.00	0.00	-56.00
0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	-2.00	1.00	4.00
0.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	-1.00	0.00	7.00

% Tableau t4 is optimal.

% Optimal solution: x1*=7,x2*=0,x3*=0,x4*=4,z*=-zhat*=56.

% Question 3

% See Tutorial 1 for formulation.

% Min z = 10x1 + 12x2 (units=k\$)

% subject to: 8x1 + 8x2 <= 48

% 100x1 + 200x2 = 1000

% with x1,x2 >= 0.

% A more general formulation would be to

% replace the second constraint by:

% 100x1 + 200x2 >= 1000.

% Maximise: zhat = -z.

% Decision variables: x1,x2.

% Problem becomes

% Max zhat = - 10x1 - 12x2 (units=\$k)


```

% Decision variables: x1,x2,x3,x4,x5.
% Enter problem in terms of decision variables.
% Matlab will introduce artificial variables x6,x7.
z=[-2,-6,7,-2,-4];
A=[4,-3,8,-1,0;0,-1,12,-3,4];
b=[12;20];
w=[0,0,0,0,0,-1,-1];
t0=tableau2(A,b,z,w);

```

W	Z	x1	x2	x3	x4	x5	\bar{x}_6	\bar{x}_7	RHS
1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.00
0.00	1.00	2.00	6.00	-7.00	2.00	4.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
0.00	0.00	4.00	-3.00	8.00	-1.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	12.00
0.00	0.00	0.00	-1.00	12.00	-3.00	4.00	0.00	1.00	20.00

```

t0(1,:)=t0(1,:)-t0(3,:)-t0(4,:);
displaytableau2(t0)

```

W	Z	x1	x2	x3	x4	x5	\bar{x}_6	\bar{x}_7	RHS
1.00	0.00	-4.00	4.00	-20.00	4.00	-4.00	0.00	0.00	-32.00
0.00	1.00	2.00	6.00	-7.00	2.00	4.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
0.00	0.00	4.00	-3.00	8.00	-1.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	12.00
0.00	0.00	0.00	-1.00	12.00	-3.00	4.00	0.00	1.00	20.00

```
ratios2(5,t0);
```

Ratios of RHS to column 5:

W	Z	x1	x2	x3	x4	x5	\bar{x}_6	\bar{x}_7	RHS	Ratio
1.00	0.00	-4.00	4.00	-20.00	4.00	-4.00	0.00	0.00	-32.00	-
0.00	1.00	2.00	6.00	-7.00	2.00	4.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
0.00	0.00	4.00	-3.00	8.00	-1.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	12.00	1.50
0.00	0.00	0.00	-1.00	12.00	-3.00	4.00	0.00	1.00	20.00	1.67

```
t1=pivot2(3,5,t0);
```

W	Z	x1	x2	x3	x4	x5	\bar{x}_6	\bar{x}_7	RHS
1.00	0.00	6.00	-3.50	0.00	1.50	-4.00	2.50	0.00	-2.00
0.00	1.00	5.50	3.38	0.00	1.13	4.00	0.88	0.00	10.50
0.00	0.00	0.50	-0.38	1.00	-0.13	0.00	0.13	0.00	1.50
0.00	0.00	-6.00	3.50	0.00	-1.50	4.00	-1.50	1.00	2.00

```
ratios2(7,t1);
```

Ratios of RHS to column 7:

W	Z	x1	x2	x3	x4	x5	\bar{x}_6	\bar{x}_7	RHS	Ratio
1.00	0.00	6.00	-3.50	0.00	1.50	-4.00	2.50	0.00	-2.00	-
0.00	1.00	5.50	3.38	0.00	1.13	4.00	0.88	0.00	10.50	-
0.00	0.00	0.50	-0.38	1.00	-0.13	0.00	0.13	0.00	1.50	-
0.00	0.00	-6.00	3.50	0.00	-1.50	4.00	-1.50	1.00	2.00	0.50

```
t2=pivot2(4,7,t1);
```

W	Z	x1	x2	x3	x4	x5	\bar{x}_6	\bar{x}_7	RHS
1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.00
0.00	1.00	11.50	-0.13	0.00	2.63	0.00	2.38	-1.00	8.50
0.00	0.00	0.50	-0.38	1.00	-0.13	0.00	0.13	0.00	1.50
0.00	0.00	-1.50	0.88	0.00	-0.38	1.00	-0.38	0.25	0.50

```

% Tableau t2 is optimal for w and w*=0. Phase 1 successful.
% Begin phase 2.
t3=phase2(t2);

```

Z	x1	x2	x3	x4	x5	RHS
1.00	11.50	-0.13	0.00	2.63	0.00	8.50
0.00	0.50	-0.38	1.00	-0.13	0.00	1.50
0.00	-1.50	0.88	0.00	-0.38	1.00	0.50

ratios(3,t3);

Ratios of RHS to column 3:

Z	x1	x2	x3	x4	x5	RHS	Ratio
1.00	11.50	-0.13	0.00	2.63	0.00	8.50	-
0.00	0.50	-0.38	1.00	-0.13	0.00	1.50	-
0.00	-1.50	0.88	0.00	-0.38	1.00	0.50	0.57

t4=pivot(3,3,t3);

Z	x1	x2	x3	x4	x5	RHS
1.00	11.29	0.00	0.00	2.57	0.14	8.57
0.00	-0.14	0.00	1.00	-0.29	0.43	1.71
0.00	-1.71	1.00	0.00	-0.43	1.14	0.57

% Tableau t4 is optimal.
 % Optimal solution: x1*=0,x2*=0.57,x3*=1.71,x4*=0,x5*=0,z*=8.57.

% Question 5

% (a)

```

#####
% Min z = 8x1 + 12x2
% subject to: x1 + x2 >= 7
%             2x1 + x2 >= 10
% with       x1,x2 >= 0.
#####
% There is no restriction on the sign
% of b, so make all constraints
% less-than-or-equal-to A*x <= b:
% Min z = 8x1 + 12x2
% subject to: - x1 - x2 <= -7
%             -2x1 - x2 <= -10
% with       x1,x2 >= 0.
#####

```

```

z=[8 12];
A=[-1 -1 ; -2 -1];
b=[-7;-10];
lb=[0 0]; % x1,x2 >= 0.
x=linprog(z,A,b,[],[],lb,[])
Optimization terminated successfully.
x =

```

```

7.0000
0.0000

```

```

z*x
ans =
56.0000

```

%Optimal solution:
 %x1*=7, x2*=0, z*=56.

% (b)

```

#####
% Max z = - 2x1 - 6x2 + 7x3 - 2x4 - 4x5
% subject to: 4x1 - 3x2 + 8x3 - x4 = 12
%             - x2 + 12x3 - 3x4 + 4x5 = 20
% with       x1,x2,x3,x4,x5 >= 0.
#####
% Problem must be minimisation form, Max -z.
% Enter less-than-or-equal-to constraints A*x <= b
% and equal-to constraints Aeq*x = beq separately:

```

%%%

```
z=[-2,-6,7,-2,-4];
Aeq=[4,-3,8,-1,0;0,-1,12,-3,4];
beq=[12;20];
lb=[0 0 0 0 0];
x=linprog(-z,[],[],Aeq,beq,lb,[])
Optimization terminated successfully.
```

```
x =
 0.0000
 0.5714
 1.7143
 0.0000
 0.0000
```

```
z*x
ans =
 -8.5714
```

% Optimal solution: x1*=0,x2*=0.57,x3*=1.71,x4*=0,x5*=0,z*=8.57.

% Question 6

% See Tutorial 1 for formulation.

%%%

```
% Min z = x1 + 1.5x2
% subject to: 4x1 + 10x2 >= 100
%             4x1 + 2x2 >= 60
% with       x1,x2 >= 0.
```

%%%

% Introduce surplus variables: x3,x4. Problem becomes:

```
%
% Max zhat = - x1 - 1.5x2
% subject to: 4x1 + 10x2 - x3 = 100
%             4x1 + 2x2 - x4 = 60
% with       x1,x2,x3,x4 >= 0.
```

%%%

% Introduce artificial variables x5,x6 and a new objective function

```
% Max Z = zhat + M*w = zhat - Mx5 - Mx6
% If M is large enough the 1 phase simplex method will force the
% artificial variables x5,x6 with cost coefficients M to go to zero
% first. The difficulty with the numerical big-M method, as in Matlab,
% is that M must be given a large numerical value and it is often
% not clear how big 'big' should be. By hand M can be left as a symbol,
% which is bigger than any other number. We choose M=100 and hope it works.
% Enter A, b in terms of decision and surplus variables:
```

```
A=[4,10,-1,0;4,2,0,-1];
```

```
b=[100;60];
```

% Enter zhat in terms of all variables, including artificial:

```
M=100;
zhat=[-1,-1.5,0,0,-M,-M];
```

```
t0=tableau(A,b,zhat);
```

Big M method:

Z	x1	x2	x3	x4	x5	x6	RHS
1.00	1.00	1.50	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	0.00
0.00	4.00	10.00	-1.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	100.00
0.00	4.00	2.00	0.00	-1.00	0.00	1.00	60.00

% Express objective in terms of non-basic variables:

```
t0(1,:)=t0(1,:)-M*(t0(2,:)+t0(3,:));
```

```
displaytableau(t0);
```

Z	x1	x2	x3	x4	x5	x6	RHS
1.00	-799.00	-1198.50	100.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	-16000.00
0.00	4.00	10.00	-1.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	100.00
0.00	4.00	2.00	0.00	-1.00	0.00	1.00	60.00

```
-----  
ratios(3,t0);
```

Ratios of RHS to column 3:

Z	x1	x2	x3	x4	x5	x6	RHS	Ratio
1.00	-799.00	-1198.50	100.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	-16000.00	-
0.00	4.00	10.00	-1.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	100.00	10.00
0.00	4.00	2.00	0.00	-1.00	0.00	1.00	60.00	30.00

t1=pivot(2,3,t0);

Z	x1	x2	x3	x4	x5	x6	RHS
1.00	-319.60	0.00	-19.85	100.00	119.85	0.00	-4015.00
0.00	0.40	1.00	-0.10	0.00	0.10	0.00	10.00
0.00	3.20	0.00	0.20	-1.00	-0.20	1.00	40.00

ratios(2,t1);

Ratios of RHS to column 2:

Z	x1	x2	x3	x4	x5	x6	RHS	Ratio
1.00	-319.60	0.00	-19.85	100.00	119.85	0.00	-4015.00	-
0.00	0.40	1.00	-0.10	0.00	0.10	0.00	10.00	25.00
0.00	3.20	0.00	0.20	-1.00	-0.20	1.00	40.00	12.50

t2=pivot(3,2,t1);

Z	x1	x2	x3	x4	x5	x6	RHS
1.00	0.00	0.00	0.12	0.13	99.88	99.87	-20.00
0.00	0.00	1.00	-0.13	0.13	0.13	-0.13	5.00
0.00	1.00	0.00	0.06	-0.31	-0.06	0.31	12.50

% Tableau t2 is optimal with the artificial variables x5*=x6*=0.

% Optimal solution: x1*=12.5,x2*=5,x3*=0,x4*=0,z*=-zhat*=20.