

Tutorial week 12 solutions

① We first estimate λ by its MLE:

$$\hat{\lambda} = \bar{x} = \frac{24(0) + 16(1) + 16(2) + 18(3) + \dots + 1(12)}{120} = \boxed{3.167}$$

Then the prob. under $P_0(3.167)$ model are:

0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
0.042	0.133	0.211	0.223	0.177	0.112	0.059	0.0267	0.0106	$3.7(10^{-3})$	$1.18(10^{-3})$	$3.39(10^{-4})$	$8.95(10^{-5})$

with the expected counts:

5.06	16.01	25.36	26.77	21.29	13.42	7.08	3.2	1.27	0.45	0.14	0.04	0.01
------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------	------	-----	------	------	------	------	------

too small

We need to combine the last 6 categories into one cell:

Group size: [7-12]

Obs. count: 16

Exp. count: 5.11

Then the χ^2 T.S. for testing H_0 : Data are Poisson is

$$\chi^2 = \frac{(24 - 5.06)^2}{5.06} + \frac{(16 - 25.36)^2}{25.36} + \frac{(16 - 16.01)^2}{16.01} + \dots + \frac{(16 - 5.11)^2}{5.11}$$

$$= \boxed{103.86}$$

$$\Rightarrow p\text{-value} = P(\chi^2_6 \geq 103.86) \approx 0$$

Conclusion: Reject H_0 and conclude the Poisson model is not plausible.

④ Problem 19, p. 536 from Rice

The contingency table with totals is

12	5	Total
4	9	13
Total	10	14

$$p\text{-value} = P(X \geq 12), \text{ where } X \sim \text{HG}(17, 13, 16), \text{ i.e. } P(X=x) = \frac{\binom{17}{x} \binom{13}{16-x}}{\binom{17+13}{16}}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{In R: } & 1 - \text{phyper}(11, 17, 13, 16) = 0.035 \\ & = \text{phyper}(4, 13, 17, 16) \\ & = 1 - \text{phyper}(8, 13, 17, 14) \\ & = \dots \end{aligned}$$

Also try fisher.test

② $H_0: p_{1j} = p_{2j} = p_{3j}, j=1,2$ vs. H_1 : The probs. are different

$$\text{T.S. } \chi^2 = \sum_i \sum_j \frac{(n_{ij} - \frac{n_{i.} \cdot n_{.j}}{n})^2}{\frac{n_{i.} \cdot n_{.j}}{n}}$$

The expected counts are $\frac{n_{1.} \cdot n_{.1}}{n} = \frac{32(62)}{103} = 19.26, \frac{n_{1.} \cdot n_{.2}}{n} = 12.74,$

$$\frac{33(62)}{103} = 19.86, 13.14, 22.87 \text{ and } 15.13$$

$$\Rightarrow \chi^2 = \frac{(14-19.26)^2}{19.26} + \frac{(18-12.74)^2}{12.74} + \dots + \frac{(15-15.13)^2}{15.13} = \boxed{6.95}$$

$$P\text{-value} = P(\chi^2 \geq 6.95) = \boxed{0.0311}$$

Conclusion: IR differs in the 3 income groups.

③ H_0 : Age and walking violence are ind. variables
 H_1 : They are dependent

T.S. and expected counts calculated as above:

$$\frac{41(26)}{81} = 13.16, \frac{41(27)}{81} = 13.67, 14.17, 12.84, 13.33, 13.83$$

$$\Rightarrow \chi^2 = \frac{(8-13.16)^2}{13.16} + \frac{(12-13.67)^2}{13.67} + \dots + \frac{(7-13.83)^2}{13.83} = \boxed{11.17}$$

$$\Rightarrow P\text{-value} = P(\chi^2 \geq 11.17) = \boxed{0.0041}$$

Conclusion: Very strong evidence against H_0