

Solutions to Tutorial 3 (Week 4)

MATH3969: Measure Theory and Fourier Analysis (Advanced)

Semester 2, 2011

Web Page: <http://www.maths.usyd.edu.au/u/UG/SM/MATH3969/>

Lecturer: Daniel Daners

Questions to complete during the tutorial

1. Suppose that  $\mu$  is a measure defined on the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  of subsets of  $X$ .

- (a) Show that for every measurable function  $f: X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  there exists a sequence  $(\varphi_n)$  of simple measurable functions with

$$|\varphi_1(x)| \leq |\varphi_2(x)| \leq |\varphi_3(x)| \leq \cdots \leq |f(x)|$$

for all  $x \in X$  and  $f(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_n(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ .

**Solution:** Let  $X^+ = \{x \in X : f(x) \geq 0\}$  and let  $X^- = \{x \in X : f(x) < 0\}$ . Applying Proposition 2.3.4 to  $f1_{X^+}$ , there is a sequence  $(\alpha_n)$  of simple functions such that for each  $x \in X$ ,

$$0 \leq \alpha_1(x) \leq \alpha_2(x) \leq \cdots, \quad \text{and} \quad f(x)1_{X^+}(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n(x).$$

Applying the same proposition to  $-f1_{X^-}$ , there is a sequence  $(\beta_n)$  of simple functions such that for each  $x \in X$ ,

$$0 \leq \beta_1(x) \leq \beta_2(x) \leq \cdots, \quad \text{and} \quad -f(x)1_{X^-}(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n(x).$$

As  $0 \leq \alpha_n(x) \leq f(x)1_{X^+}(x)$ , we have  $\alpha_n(x) = 0$  for all  $n$  and for all  $x \in X^-$ . Similarly,  $\beta_n(x) = 0$  for all  $n$  and for all  $x \in X^+$ . Let  $\varphi_n(x) = \alpha_n(x) - \beta_n(x)$ . Suppose that  $x \in X^+$ . Then

$$|\varphi_n(x)| = |\alpha_n(x) - \beta_n(x)| = |\alpha_n(x) - 0| = \alpha_n(x),$$

which increases with  $n$ . Also,  $\varphi_n(x) = \alpha_n(x)$  tends to  $f(x)1_{X^+}(x) = f(x)$ . Suppose now that  $x \in X^-$ . Then

$$|\varphi_n(x)| = |\alpha_n(x) - \beta_n(x)| = |0 - \beta_n(x)| = \beta_n(x),$$

which increases with  $n$ . Also,  $\varphi_n(x) = -\beta_n(x)$  tends to  $-(-f(x)1_{X^-}(x)) = f(x)$ .

- (b) If we allow simple functions to take complex values, prove the assertions of the last exercise for complex valued measurable functions  $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ .

**Solution:** Write  $f = u + iv$ , where  $u, v: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are measurable. Applying part (a)  $u$  and  $v$  separately, there is a sequence  $(\alpha_n)$  of simple functions such that for each  $x \in X$ ,

$$|\alpha_1(x)| \leq |\alpha_2(x)| \leq \cdots, \quad \text{and} \quad u(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n(x);$$

there is also a sequence  $(\beta_n)$  of simple functions such that for each  $x \in X$ ,

$$|\beta_1(x)| \leq |\beta_2(x)| \leq \cdots, \quad \text{and} \quad v(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n(x).$$

Then  $\varphi_n(x) = \alpha_n(x) + i\beta_n(x)$  defines a complex-valued simple function  $\varphi_n$ . Clearly  $\varphi_n(x) \rightarrow f(x)$  for each fixed  $x \in X$ . Also,

$$|\varphi_n(x)| = \sqrt{\alpha_n(x)^2 + \beta_n(x)^2}$$

increases with  $n$  because both  $\alpha_n(x)^2$  and  $\beta_n(x)^2$  do.

2. (a) Let  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  be a non-empty subset and define  $\text{dist}(x, A) =: \inf\{\|x - z\| : z \in A\}$ . for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ . Show that

$$|\text{dist}(x, A) - \text{dist}(y, A)| \leq \|x - y\|$$

for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^N$ . Conclude that the function  $x \rightarrow \text{dist}(x, A)$  is continuous on  $\mathbb{R}^N$ .

**Solution:** For every  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^N$  and  $z \in A$  we have

$$\text{dist}(x, A) \leq \|x - z\| \leq \|x - y\| + \|y - z\|.$$

Taking the infimum over  $z \in A$  on the right hand side we get

$$\text{dist}(x, A) \leq \|x - y\| + \text{dist}(y, A).$$

and therefore

$$\text{dist}(x, A) - \text{dist}(y, A) \leq \|x - y\|$$

for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^N$ . Interchanging the roles of  $x$  and  $y$  we get

$$\text{dist}(y, A) - \text{dist}(x, A) \leq \|y - x\| = \|x - y\|.$$

Combining the two inequalities the required inequality follows. Continuity is obvious from the inequality since it clearly implies that  $\text{dist}(y, A) \rightarrow \text{dist}(x, A)$  as  $y \rightarrow x$ .

- (b) Let  $A, B \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N$  be non-empty closed sets with  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ . Using the distance function from (a) show that there is a continuous function  $\phi: \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow [0, 1]$  with  $\phi(x) = 1$  for all  $x \in A$  and  $\phi(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in B$ .

**Solution:** Since  $A, B$  are closed and disjoint we have that  $\text{dist}(x, B) + \text{dist}(x, A) \neq 0$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ . Hence can set

$$\varphi(x) := \frac{\text{dist}(x, B)}{\text{dist}(x, B) + \text{dist}(x, A)}$$

which is a continuous function into  $[0, 1]$ . If  $x \in A$ , then  $\text{dist}(x, A) = 0$  and therefore  $\varphi(x) = 1$ . On the other hand, if  $x \in B$ , then  $\text{dist}(x, B) = 0$  and therefore  $\varphi(x) = 0$ .

- (c) Let  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  be a non-empty Lebesgue measurable set with  $m(A) < \infty$  and  $1_A$  the corresponding indicator function. Show that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a continuous function  $\varphi: \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that  $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : \varphi(x) - 1_A(x) \neq 0\}$  has measure less than  $\varepsilon$ .

*Hint:* Use that  $m(A) = \inf\{m(U) : A \subseteq U, U \text{ open}\} = \sup\{m(K) : K \subseteq A, K \text{ compact}\}$  and part (b).

**Solution:** Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$ . From the hint there exists a compact set  $K \subset A$  such that  $m(K) > m(A) - \varepsilon/2$ . There also exists an open set  $U \supset A$  such that  $m(U) < m(A) + \varepsilon/2$ . Since  $K$  and  $U^c$  are closed sets there exists a continuous function  $\varphi: \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow [0, 1]$  with  $\varphi(x) = 1$  for  $x \in K$  and  $\varphi(x) = 0$  for  $x \in U^c$ . Hence  $\varphi(x) - 1_A(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in K \cup U^c$  and possibly non-zero otherwise. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} m(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : \varphi(x) - 1_A(x) \neq 0\}) &\leq m(U \setminus K) = m(U) - m(K) \\ &< m(A) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} - \left(m(A) - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right) = \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\varphi$  is a continuous function as required.

3. Let  $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be an increasing function which is bounded on any bounded interval.

- (a) Show that  $f$  is Borel measurable on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

**Solution:** According to lectures it is sufficient to show that

$$A_\alpha := \{x \in \mathbb{R} : f(x) > \alpha\}$$

is measurable for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ . If  $\alpha$  is given and  $f(x) > \alpha$ , then  $f(y) \geq f(x) > \alpha$  for all  $y \geq x$  by the monotonicity of  $f$ . Hence  $[x, \infty) \subseteq A_\alpha$ . We let

$$\beta := \inf\{x \in \mathbb{R} : f(x) > \alpha\} = \inf A_\alpha.$$

Then from the above reasoning  $(\beta, \infty) \subseteq A_\alpha$ , and  $(-\infty, \beta) \cap A_\alpha = \emptyset$ . There are two cases: If  $f(\beta) \leq \alpha$ , then  $A_\alpha = (\beta, \infty)$ . If  $f(\beta) > \alpha$ , then  $[\beta, \infty) = A_\alpha$ . The latter is possible if  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \beta^-} f(x) \leq \alpha < f(\beta)$ , that is, if  $f$  is discontinuous at  $\beta$ . In any case  $A_\alpha$  is an interval and therefore Borel measurable as claimed.

- (b) Let

$$f(x+) = \lim_{y \rightarrow x^+} f(y) \quad \text{and} \quad f(x-) = \lim_{y \rightarrow x^-} f(y)$$

if  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Show that these limits exist. Show that  $f$  has a discontinuity at  $x$  if and only if  $f(x-) < f(x+)$ .

**Solution:** Fix  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and set  $\beta := \inf\{f(y) : y > x\}$ . We show that  $\beta = \lim_{y \rightarrow x^+} f(y)$ . Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$ . By definition of  $\beta$  there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$\beta \leq f(x + \delta) < \beta + \varepsilon$$

Since  $f$  is monotone we conclude that

$$\beta \leq f(y) \leq f(x + \delta) < \beta + \varepsilon$$

for all  $x < y < x + \delta$ . Rearranging we have

$$|f(y) - \beta| < \varepsilon$$

whenever  $0 < y - x < \delta$ . By definition of a right limit the claim follows. The existence of the left limit is obtained similarly. From first year calculus we know that  $f$  is continuous if and only if left and right limits exist and are equal. If they are not equal, then due to the monotonicity of the function we must have  $f(x-) < f(x+)$ .

- (c) For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and any bounded interval, let

$$S_n = \{x \in [a, b] : f(x+) - f(x-) \geq \frac{1}{n}\}$$

Show that  $S_n$  is finite. Deduce that  $f$  has only a countable number of discontinuities (if any).

**Solution:** From the definition of  $S_n$  and since  $f$  is bounded on  $[a, b]$  we have

$$\infty > f(b) - f(a) \geq \sum_{x \in S_n} f(x+) - f(x-) \geq \sum_{x \in S_n} \frac{1}{n} \geq 0$$

This is only possible if  $S_n$  is finite. From (b) we know that the set of discontinuities is given by

$$\{x \in [a, b] : f(x+) - f(x-) > 0\} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} S_n$$

Since a countable union of finite sets is countable,  $f$  has at most countably many discontinuities in  $[a, b]$ . The same is true for  $\mathbb{R}$  since the above implies that on every interval  $[k, k + 1]$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  the function  $f$  has at most countably many discontinuities. The same is the case on the countable union  $\mathbb{R} = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} [k, k + 1]$ .

## Extra questions for further practice

The question below guides you through a proof of *Lusin's* theorem asserting that a measurable functions  $A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is “almost” continuous in the sense that there for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a compact set  $K \subset A$  such that  $m(A \setminus K) < \varepsilon$  and  $f: K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous.

4. Let  $f: \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a measurable function and  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N$  measurable with  $m(A) < \infty$ . For  $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$  define  $B_{n,k} := [k/2^n, (k+1)/2^n)$  and

$$A_{n,k} := f^{-1}[B_{n,k}] \cap A = \{x \in A: k/2^n \leq f(x) < (k+1)/2^n\}.$$

Define  $\varphi_n := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{k}{2^n} 1_{A_{n,k}}$ . Moreover, fix  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

- (a) Prove that  $\varphi_n \rightarrow f$  uniformly on  $A$ .

**Solution:** Note that  $A = \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} A_{n,k}$  is a disjoint union. Hence  $\varphi_n$  is well defined since for every  $x \in A$  only one term in the series is nonzero. Also, if  $x \in A$ , then there exists  $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $x \in A_{n,k}$  then

$$\frac{k}{2^n} = \varphi_n(x) \leq f(x) < \frac{k+1}{2^n} = \varphi_n(x) + \frac{1}{2^n}$$

and hence  $|\varphi_n(x) - f(x)| < 1/2^n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence  $\varphi_n \rightarrow f$  uniformly on  $A$ .

- (b) Explain why there exist compact sets  $K_{n,k} \subseteq A_{n,k}$  with  $m(K_{n,k}) > m(A_{n,k}) - \varepsilon/2^{n+k+1}$ .

**Solution:** Recall that  $m(A_{n,k}) = \sup\{m(K): K \subseteq A_{n,k}, K \text{ compact}\}$ . This means that there exists a compact set  $K_{n,k} \subseteq A_{n,k}$  with  $m(K_{n,k}) > m(A_{n,k}) - \varepsilon/2^{n+k+1}$ .

- (c) Show that for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists  $L_n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$K_n := \bigcup_{k=0}^{L_n} K_{n,k}$$

satisfies  $m(K_n) > m(A) - \varepsilon/2^n$ .

**Solution:** By choice of  $K_{n,j}$  we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} m(K_{n,k}) > \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left( m(A_{n,k}) - \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{n+k+1}} \right) = m(A) - \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{n+1}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon}{2^k} = m(A) - \frac{\varepsilon}{2^n}$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence there exists  $L_n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$m(K_n) := m\left(\bigcup_{k=0}^{L_n} K_{n,k}\right) = \sum_{k=0}^{L_n} m(K_{n,k}) > m(A) - \frac{\varepsilon}{2^n}$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

- (d) Show that  $\varphi_n: K_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous.

**Solution:** Note that  $\varphi_n$  is constant and therefore continuous on  $K_{n,k}$ . Since disjoint compact sets are at a positive distance apart (by the continuity of the distance function and since continuous functions attain a minimum on every compact set), the function  $\varphi_n$  is continuous on the disjoint union  $m(K_n) := m\left(\bigcup_{k=0}^{L_n} K_{n,k}\right)$  of finitely many compact sets.

- (e) Let  $K := \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n$ . Show that  $m(A \setminus K) < \varepsilon$  and that  $f: K \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is continuous.

**Solution:** By definition of  $K_n$  we have

$$m(A \setminus K) = m\left(A \cap \left(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n\right)^c\right) = m\left(A \cap \left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n^c\right)\right) < \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m(A \setminus K_n) < \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon}{2^n} = \varepsilon.$$

By (d)  $\varphi_n$  is continuous on  $K$  and by (a) converges uniformly on  $K$ . Hence as the uniform limit of continuous functions is continuous,  $f$  is continuous on  $K$ .

- (f) Show that if  $f$  takes values in  $\mathbb{R}$ , then for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a compact set  $K \subseteq A$  such that  $m(A \setminus K) < \varepsilon$  and that  $f: K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous.

**Solution:** Just apply the above to the positive and negative parts of  $f$  and then take the union of the two (disjoint) compact sets. Then subtract the two functions.