

Lecture 32

1. Revision questions of L31

- What is the main difference between the random and fixed effect one-way ANOVA model?

Answer: For fixed effect model, treatment effect α_i are fixed parameters to be estimated. For random effect model, treatment effect A_i are random and follow $N(0, \sigma_A^2)$. The variance σ_A^2 is the model parameter to be estimated but A_i are not model parameters.

- From a practical perspective, when should one use random effect model?

Answer: If there are many treatment levels but there are only a few observations for each level.

- From a theoretical perspective, when should one use random effect model?

Answer:

Random effect model: The factor levels comprise a small portion of the whole population and constitutes a random sample. The research interest is on the population.

Fixed effect model: The factor levels form a major portion of the whole population and the research interest is on individual treatment factor.

2. The estimates of fixed effect β and random effect \mathbf{u} are

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\beta} &= (\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{V}^{-1} \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{V}^{-1} \mathbf{y} \\ \tilde{\mathbf{u}} &= \mathbf{GZ}^\top \mathbf{V}^{-1} (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\hat{\beta}).\end{aligned}$$

3. Comparing fixed effect model M1: $\mathbf{Y} = (\alpha\beta)_{ij} + \epsilon_{ijk}$, $\epsilon_{ijk} \sim \text{NID}(0, \sigma^2)$ with

random effect model M2: $\mathbf{Y} = \mu + (AB)_{ij} + \epsilon_{ijk}$, $\epsilon_{ijk} \sim \text{NID}(0, \sigma^2)$, $(AB)_{ij} \sim (0, \sigma_A^2)$,

the estimates of treatment group effect is: $(\widehat{\alpha\beta})_{ij} = \bar{Y}_{ij}$ under M1 and

the estimates of treatment group effect is: $\hat{\mu} + (\widehat{AB})_{ij}$ under M2.

4. Under M2, $\hat{\beta} = \hat{\mu}$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{u}} = (\widehat{AB})_{ij}$ are estimated condition on $\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{ZGZ}^\top + \mathbf{R}$ in which \mathbf{G} depends on σ_A^2 and \mathbf{R} depends on σ^2 . Since σ_A^2 and σ^2 are variances of all random components and all observations respectively and they are estimated based on all random effects and all observations respectively.

With *borrowing strength*, the estimates $\hat{\mu} + (\widehat{AB})_{ij}$ of M2 are closer to the overall mean than \bar{Y}_{ij} in M1.

5. The marginal variance is $\text{Var}(\mathbf{Y}) = \mathbf{V} = \mathbf{ZGZ}^\top + \mathbf{R}$ which is bold diagonal and each bold matrix accounts for the dependence between observations within block and independence between blocks (independence of observations from different blocks). Note that conditional on \mathbf{u} (being observed and so no contributed variability) $\text{Var}(\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{R}$.

Lecture 33

1. Revision questions of L32

- What are the conditional and marginal distributions of \mathbf{Y} ?

Answer:

Condition on the unobserved \mathbf{u} , $\mathbf{Y} \sim \text{N}(\mathbf{X}\beta + \mathbf{Zu}, \mathbf{R})$.

Marginally integrate out the unobserved \mathbf{u} , $\mathbf{Y} \sim \text{N}(\mathbf{X}\beta, \mathbf{ZGZ}^\top + \mathbf{R})$.

- What kind of likelihood, conditional or marginal, we can make use of to provide the estimator of β and \mathbf{u} for given σ_A^2 and σ^2 ?

Answer: Conditional likelihood which contain both β and \mathbf{u} to be estimated.

- How do we compare the estimate for treatment i effect between the fixed effect model and random effect model?

Answer: In the random effect model, estimates of treatment effect A_i borrow strength (information) from other treatment groups to estimate the common variance σ_A^2 and so A_i are closer to the overall mean $\bar{Y}_{\bullet\bullet}$ than $\hat{\alpha}_i$ in the fixed effect model which are estimated by the treatment group mean $\bar{Y}_{i\bullet}$.

2. In the estimation of σ_A^2 and σ^2 , there are three methods, MM, REML and ML.

$$\text{MM: } \hat{\sigma}^2 = \text{MSR} \text{ and } \hat{\sigma}_A^2 = \frac{1}{r}(\text{MS}_A - \text{MSR}).$$

$$\text{ML: } \text{argmax}_{\sigma_A^2, \sigma^2} -\frac{rt}{2} \log(2\pi) - \frac{1}{2} \log |\mathbf{V}| - \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\hat{\beta})^\top \mathbf{V}^{-1} (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\hat{\beta}).$$

$$\text{REML: } \text{argmax}_{\sigma_A^2, \sigma^2} -\frac{rt}{2} \log(2\pi) - \frac{1}{2} \log |\mathbf{V}| - \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\hat{\beta})^\top \mathbf{V}^{-1} (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\hat{\beta}) - \frac{1}{2} \log |\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{V}^{-1} \mathbf{X}|.$$

3. REML is less biased than ML estimates and the difference between ML and REML is that REML accounts for the lost of df.

Lecture 34

1. Revision questions of L33

- In the estimation of σ_A^2 and σ^2 , what likelihood function, marginal or conditional, do we consider for ML and REML methods?

Answer: marginal using $\mathbf{Y} \sim \text{N}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Z}\mathbf{G}\mathbf{Z}^\top + \mathbf{R})$ as it does not involve \mathbf{u} but σ_A^2 and σ^2 together in $\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{Z}\mathbf{G}\mathbf{Z}^\top + \mathbf{R}$ rather than \mathbf{R} alone in the conditional likelihood.

- The likelihood involve β . How to deal with it? Estimate β together with σ_A^2 and σ^2 or substitute it by its estimate?

Answer: substitute by its estimate and so the marginal likelihood is called profile likelihood.

- Which method, ML or REML, give unbiased estimator of σ_A^2 ?

Answer: REML method making use of residual likelihood. It corrects for the lost of df as it subtract the term $\frac{1}{2} \log |\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{V}^{-1} \mathbf{X}|$ from the profile likelihood and so often gives larger estimate of σ_A^2 .

2. *Longitudinal* data favors random effect model as each time series naturally forms a random effect component. There are different models, fixed effect model (M1) for each time series and random effects model (M2 & M3). There are different assumptions for the random intercepts B_{i0} and random slopes B_{i1} ,

$$\text{Dependence M2: } \mathbf{G} = \mathbf{I}_{18} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_0^2 & \rho\sigma_0\sigma_1 \\ \rho\sigma_0\sigma_1 & \sigma_1^2 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (1)$$

$$\text{Independence M3: } \mathbf{G} = \mathbf{I}_{18} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_0^2 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_1^2 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (2)$$

Note the R codes to formulate these two models. The general model $\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X}\beta + \mathbf{Z}\mathbf{u} + \epsilon$, $\mathbf{u} \sim \text{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{G})$, $\epsilon \sim \text{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{R})$. Note the different matrices and vectors \mathbf{Y} , \mathbf{X} , β , \mathbf{Z} , \mathbf{u} , \mathbf{G} and \mathbf{R} and their dimensions.

Outcomes \mathbf{Y} : 180×1 vector $(y_{11}, y_{12}, \dots, y_{18,10})$ of Reaction in P.4.

Fixed effect design matrix \mathbf{X} :

M1: 180×36 matrix in LHS of P.7;

M2, M3: 180×2 matrix in RHS of P.7.

Fixed effect parameters β :

M1: 36×1 vector of subject specific intercept and slope $\beta_{10}, \beta_{11}, \beta_{20}, \beta_{21}, \dots, \beta_{18,0}, \beta_{18,1}$;

M2, M3: 2×1 vector of common intercept and slope (β_0, β_1) .

Random effect design matrix \mathbf{Z} : NA for fixed effect model M1;

M2, M3: 180×36 matrix in LHS of P.7.

Random effect \mathbf{u} : NA for fixed effect model M1;

M2, M3: 36×1 vector of subject specific relative intercept and slope effect $B_{10}, B_{11}, B_{20}, B_{21}, \dots, B_{18,0}, B_{18,1}$ with respect to the common intercept and slope (β_0, β_1) .

Random effect variance \mathbf{G} : NA for fixed effect model M1;

M2: 36×36 matrix defined in equation (1) and in P.10 as an example.

M3: 36×36 matrix defined in equation (2).

Residual variance \mathbf{R} : All M1,M2,M3: 180×180 diagonal matrix of σ^2 , ie $\sigma^2 \mathbf{I}_{180}$.