

SPHERICAL FUNCTIONS OF
THE SYMMETRIC SPACE

$$GL_n(\mathbb{F}_{q^2})/GL_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$$

Anthony Henderson

November 22, 2000

\mathbb{F}_q finite field, k algebraic closure of \mathbb{F}_q .

Let $G = GL_n(k)$, with Frobenius map $F : G \rightarrow G$,

$$F(g_{ij}) = (g_{ij}^q).$$

So $G^F = GL_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$, $G^{F^2} = GL_n(\mathbb{F}_{q^2})$.

Problem 1 Calculate the irred chars $\chi : G^F \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$.

Solved by Green in 1955.

Problem 2 For any irred const χ of $\text{Ind}_{G^F}^{G^{F^2}}(1)$, calculate the *spherical function* $\text{Ave}(\chi) : G^{F^2}/G^F \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$,

$$\text{Ave}(\chi)(gG^F) = \frac{1}{|G^F|} \sum_{g' \in G^F} \chi(gg').$$

Problem 3 For any irred const $\chi \otimes \bar{\chi}$ of $\text{Ind}_{G^F}^{G^F \times G^F}(1)$, calculate the spherical function

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ave}(\chi \otimes \bar{\chi}) &: (G^F \times G^F)/G^F \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \\ &= \frac{\chi \star \bar{\chi}}{|G^F|} = \frac{\chi}{\chi(1)} : G^F \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}. \end{aligned}$$

Green's Solution of Problem 1

Define $\mathcal{P}_n = \{\underline{\lambda} = (\lambda_\alpha)_{\alpha \in k^\times} \mid \sum_{\alpha \in k^\times} |\lambda_\alpha| = n\}$.

Let $\mathcal{P}_n^F = \{\underline{\lambda} \in \mathcal{P}_n \mid \lambda_{F(\alpha)} = \lambda_\alpha, \forall \alpha\}$, where $F(\alpha) = \alpha^q$.

Lemma 4 $\{\text{conjugacy classes in } G^F\} \longleftrightarrow \mathcal{P}_n^F$.

E.g. $\{\text{unipotent classes in } G^F\}$

$$\longleftrightarrow \{\underline{\lambda} \in \mathcal{P}_n^F \text{ unipotent (i.e. } |\lambda_1| = n)\}$$

$$\longleftrightarrow \{\text{partitions of } n\}$$

To any pair (T, θ) of an F -stable maximal torus T and a character $\theta : T^F \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^\times$, Green associates a *basic character* $B_{(T, \theta)} : G^F \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$, a virtual character whose values are explicitly computable.

E.g. (1) If $T = \text{split } T_1 \subset B$, then $B_{(T_1, \theta)} = \text{Ind}_{B^F}^{G^F}(\theta)$.

(2) If $T = T_w$ where w has cycle type μ , and u is in the conjugacy class corresponding to unipotent $\underline{\lambda}$, then

$$B_{(T_w, \theta)}(u) = Q_\mu^{\lambda_1}(q). \text{ (Green polynomial)}$$

$B_{(T,\theta)}$ only depends on the G^F -conjugacy class of (T, θ) .

Lemma 5 $\{G^F\text{-conjugacy classes of } (T, \theta)\} \longleftrightarrow \mathcal{P}_n^F$.

For $\underline{\nu} \in \mathcal{P}_n^F$, let $B_{\underline{\nu}} = B_{(T,\theta)}$ for $(T, \theta) \longleftrightarrow \underline{\nu}$.

E.g. Unipotent $\underline{\nu} \leftrightarrow (T_w, 1)$ where w has cycle type ν_1 , unipotent basic character $B_{\underline{\nu}} = B_{(T_w,1)}$.

Prop 6 (1) $\{B_{\underline{\nu}} \mid \underline{\nu} \in \mathcal{P}_n^F\}$ is an orthogonal basis of the space of class functions $\mathcal{F}^{G^F}(G^F)$.

(2) $\langle B_{(T,\theta)}, B_{(T,\theta)} \rangle = |W(T)_\theta^F|$.

Thm 7 (Green) For any $\underline{\rho} \in \mathcal{P}_n^F$,

$$\chi^{\underline{\rho}} := (-1)^{n + \sum_{\alpha \in \langle F \rangle \setminus k^\times} |\rho_\alpha|} \sum_{\substack{\underline{\nu} \in \mathcal{P}_n^F \\ |\nu_\alpha| = |\rho_\alpha|, \forall \alpha}} \left(\prod_{\alpha \in \langle F \rangle \setminus k^\times} z_{\nu_\alpha}^{-1} \chi_{\nu_\alpha}^{\rho_\alpha} \right) B_{\underline{\nu}}$$

is an irreducible character of G^F , and thus $\widehat{G^F} \longleftrightarrow \mathcal{P}_n^F$.

E.g. Unipotent $\underline{\rho} \rightsquigarrow$ unipotent character $\chi^{\underline{\rho}}$:

$$\chi^{\underline{\rho}} = \sum_{\underline{\nu} \text{ unip.}} z_{\nu_1}^{-1} \chi_{\nu_1}^{\rho_1} B_{\underline{\nu}} = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{w \in S_n} \chi^{\rho_1}(w) B_{(T_w, 1)}$$

$$B_{(T_w, 1)} = \sum_{\underline{\rho} \text{ unip.}} \chi^{\rho_1}(w) \chi^{\underline{\rho}}$$

$$(w = 1 :) \quad \text{Ind}_{B^F}^{G^F}(1) = \sum_{\underline{\rho} \text{ unip.}} (\deg \chi^{\rho_1}) \chi^{\underline{\rho}}$$

If $\rho_1 = (n)$, $\chi^{\underline{\rho}} = \text{trivial}$; if $\rho_1 = (1^n)$, $\chi^{\underline{\rho}} = \text{Steinberg}$.

Analogous Treatment of Problem 2

Identify G^{F^2}/G^F with $G^{\tilde{F}}$, where $\tilde{F} : g \mapsto F(g)^{-1}$,

$$gG^F \longleftrightarrow gF(g)^{-1}.$$

Let $\mathcal{P}_n^{\tilde{F}} = \{\underline{\lambda} \in \mathcal{P}_n \mid \lambda_{\tilde{F}(\alpha)} = \lambda_\alpha, \forall \alpha\}$, where $\tilde{F}(\alpha) = \alpha^{-q}$.

Lemma 8 $\{G^F\text{-conjugacy classes in } G^{\tilde{F}}\} \longleftrightarrow \mathcal{P}_n^{\tilde{F}}$.

Thm 9 (Gow 1984) For $\underline{\rho} \in \mathcal{P}_n^{F^2}$,

$$\langle \chi^{\underline{\rho}}, \text{Ind}_{G^F}^{G^{F^2}}(1) \rangle = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \underline{\rho} \in \mathcal{P}_n^{\tilde{F}} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Cor 10 (1) If $\underline{\rho} \in \mathcal{P}_n^{\tilde{F}}$, $\text{Ave}(\chi^{\underline{\rho}})(1) = 1$.

(2) $\{\text{Ave}(\chi^{\underline{\rho}}) \mid \underline{\rho} \in \mathcal{P}_n^{\tilde{F}}\}$ is an orthogonal basis of the space of G^F -invariant functions $\mathcal{F}^{G^F}(G^{\tilde{F}})$.

To any pair $(T, \tilde{\theta})$, T as above, character $\tilde{\theta} : T^{\tilde{F}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^\times$, associate analogous *basic function* $\tilde{B}_{(T, \tilde{\theta})} \in \mathcal{F}^{G^{\tilde{F}}}(G^{\tilde{F}})$, only depending on the $G^{\tilde{F}}$ -conjugacy class of $(T, \tilde{\theta})$.

Lemma 11 $\{G^{\tilde{F}}\text{-conjugacy classes of } (T, \tilde{\theta})\} \longleftrightarrow \mathcal{P}_n^{\tilde{F}}$.

For $\underline{\nu} \in \mathcal{P}_n^{\tilde{F}}$, let $\tilde{B}_{\underline{\nu}} = \tilde{B}_{(T, \tilde{\theta})}$ for $(T, \tilde{\theta}) \longleftrightarrow \underline{\nu}$.

Prop 12 (1) $\{\tilde{B}_{\underline{\nu}} \mid \underline{\nu} \in \mathcal{P}_n^{\tilde{F}}\}$ is an orthog b. of $\mathcal{F}^{G^{\tilde{F}}}(G^{\tilde{F}})$.

(2) $\langle \tilde{B}_{(T, \tilde{\theta})}, \tilde{B}_{(T, \tilde{\theta})} \rangle = \frac{|G^{\tilde{F}}| |T^{\tilde{F}}|}{|G^{\tilde{F}}| |T^{\tilde{F}}|} |W(T)_{\tilde{\theta}}^{\tilde{F}}|$.

For $\underline{\tau} \in \mathcal{P}_n^{\tilde{F}}$, let

$$C_{\underline{\tau}} = \sum_{\substack{\underline{\nu} \in \mathcal{P}_n^{\tilde{F}} \\ |\nu_\alpha| = |\tau_\alpha|, \forall \alpha}} \left(\prod_{\alpha \in \langle \tilde{F} \rangle \setminus k^\times} z_{\nu_\alpha}^{-1} \chi_{\nu_\alpha}^{\tau_\alpha} \right) \tilde{B}_{\underline{\nu}}.$$

Then $\{C_{\underline{\tau}} \mid \underline{\tau} \in \mathcal{P}_n^{\tilde{F}}\}$ is a basis of $\mathcal{F}^{G^{\tilde{F}}}(G^{\tilde{F}})$, not orthog.

Thm 13 (H.) *There are $b_{\underline{\rho}, \underline{\tau}} \in \mathbb{Q}$ for $\underline{\rho}, \underline{\tau} \in \mathcal{P}_n^{\tilde{F}}$ such that*

$$\text{Ave}(\chi^{\underline{\rho}}) = \sum_{\substack{\underline{\tau} \in \mathcal{P}_n^{\tilde{F}} \\ \tau_{\alpha} \geq \rho_{\alpha}, \forall \alpha}} b_{\underline{\rho}, \underline{\tau}} C_{\underline{\tau}}.$$

Since we know the inner products of the $C_{\underline{\tau}}$'s, and $\{\text{Ave}(\chi^{\underline{\rho}})\}$ is an orthogonal basis with $\text{Ave}(\chi^{\underline{\rho}})(1) = 1$, Thm 13 determines $\text{Ave}(\chi^{\underline{\rho}})$ uniquely.

E.g. For $\underline{\rho}$ unipotent, Thm 13 implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ave}(\chi^{\underline{\rho}}) &= \frac{(1-q)^{-1}(1-q^2)^{-1} \cdots (1-q^n)^{-1}}{\sum_{|\nu_1|=n} z_{\nu_1}^{-1} \Omega_{\nu_1}^{\rho_1}(-q, q) \prod_{j=1}^{\ell(\nu_1)} (1-q^{(\nu_1)_j})^{-1}} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{\underline{\nu} \text{ unip.}} z_{\nu_1}^{-1} \Omega_{\nu_1}^{\rho_1}(-q, q) \tilde{B}_{\underline{\nu}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\Omega_{\mu}^{\lambda}(r, t) \in \mathbb{Q}(r, t)$ is related to Macdonald's symmetric functions of two parameters by:

$$P_{\chi}(x; r, t) = \sum_{\mu} \epsilon_{\mu} z_{\mu}^{-1} \Omega_{\mu}^{\lambda}(r, t) p_{\mu}(x).$$

Lusztig's Interpretation of Green's Work

(T, θ) as above. Let \mathcal{L} be the F -stable rk-1 $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$ -local system on T such that $\theta(t) = \text{tr}(\phi_t, \mathcal{L}_t)$, for $\phi : F^*\mathcal{L} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{L}$.

Consider the diagram

$$T \xleftarrow{\alpha} \{(g, xT) \in G_{\text{rss}} \times G/T \mid x^{-1}gx \in T\} \xrightarrow{\pi} G_{\text{rss}}$$

where $\alpha(g, xT) = x^{-1}gx$, $\pi(g, xT) = g$.

Define the *basic complex* $K_{(T, \mathcal{L})} = IC(G, \pi_*\alpha^*\mathcal{L})[\dim G]$, a G -equivariant semisimple perverse sheaf on G with induced $\phi_{(T, \mathcal{L})} : F^*K_{(T, \mathcal{L})} \xrightarrow{\sim} K_{(T, \mathcal{L})}$. Define the *characteristic function* $\chi(K_{(T, \mathcal{L})}, \phi_{(T, \mathcal{L})}) : G^F \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$ by

$$\chi(K_{(T, \mathcal{L})}, \phi_{(T, \mathcal{L})})(g) = \sum_i (-1)^i \text{tr}(\mathcal{H}_g^i \phi_{(T, \mathcal{L})}, \mathcal{H}_g^i K_{(T, \mathcal{L})}).$$

Thm 14 (Lusztig) $B_{(T, \theta)} = (-1)^n \chi(K_{(T, \mathcal{L})}, \phi_{(T, \mathcal{L})})$.

Prop 15 $K_{(T,\mathcal{L})} \cong \bigoplus_{E \in \widehat{W(T)_{\mathcal{L}}}} E \otimes K_{(T,\mathcal{L},E)}$, where $K_{(T,\mathcal{L},E)}$ is a G -equivariant simple perverse sheaf on G .

These $K_{(T,\mathcal{L},E)}$ are called the *character sheaves* of G .
 $K_{(T,\mathcal{L},E)}$ is F -stable $\iff F^*E \cong E$.

Thm 16 For any $\underline{\rho} \in \mathcal{P}_n^F$, there is a triple (T, \mathcal{L}, E) with $F^*E \cong E$, and an isom $\phi_{(T,\mathcal{L},E)} : F^*K_{(T,\mathcal{L},E)} \xrightarrow{\sim} K_{(T,\mathcal{L},E)}$, such that

$$\chi^{\underline{\rho}} = (-1)^{\sum_{\alpha \in (F) \setminus k^\times} |\rho_\alpha|} \chi(K_{(T,\mathcal{L},E)}, \phi_{(T,\mathcal{L},E)}).$$

So the irreducible characters are (up to sign) the characteristic functions of the F -stable character sheaves.

E.g. $\underline{\rho}$ unipotent $\rightsquigarrow T = T_1$, $\mathcal{L} = \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$ (trivial sheaf),
 $W(T)_{\mathcal{L}} = S_n$ (F acting trivially), $E = E^{\rho_1}$.

Analogous Interpretation of Theorem 13

$(T, \tilde{\theta})$ as above. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ be the \tilde{F} -stable rk-1 $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_l}$ -local system on T such that $\tilde{\theta} = \chi(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}, \tilde{\varphi})$ for $\tilde{\varphi} : \tilde{F}^* \tilde{\mathcal{L}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \tilde{\mathcal{L}}$. Get induced $K_{(T, \tilde{\mathcal{L}})}$, isom $\tilde{\varphi}_{(T, \tilde{\mathcal{L}})} : \tilde{F}^* K_{(T, \tilde{\mathcal{L}})} \xrightarrow{\sim} K_{(T, \tilde{\mathcal{L}})}$.

Prop 17 $\tilde{B}_{(T, \tilde{\theta})} = (-1)^n \chi(K_{(T, \tilde{\mathcal{L}})}, \tilde{\varphi}_{(T, \tilde{\mathcal{L}})})$.

For $E \in \widehat{W(T)}_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}$, $K_{(T, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}, E)}$ is \tilde{F} -stable $\iff F^* E \cong E$.

Prop 18 For any $\underline{\mathcal{I}} \in \mathcal{P}_n^{\tilde{F}}$, there is a triple $(T, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}, E)$ with $F^* E \cong E$, and an isom $\tilde{\varphi}_{(T, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}, E)} : \tilde{F}^* K_{(T, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}, E)} \xrightarrow{\sim} K_{(T, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}, E)}$, such that

$$C_{\underline{\mathcal{I}}} = (-1)^n \chi(K_{(T, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}, E)}, \tilde{\varphi}_{(T, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}, E)}).$$

If $\chi^\rho = \pm \chi(K_{(T', \mathcal{L}', E')}, \phi_{(T', \mathcal{L}', E')}) : G^{F^2} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$, then

$$\text{Ave}(\chi^\rho) \propto \chi(m_!(K_{(T', \mathcal{L}', E')} \boxtimes \tilde{F}^* K_{(T', \mathcal{L}', E')})) : G^{\tilde{F}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}},$$

where $m : G \times G \rightarrow G$ is mult. Define *convolution*

$$K_1 \star K_2 := m_!(K_1 \boxtimes K_2).$$

So Thm 13 is a statement about char. functions, relative to \tilde{F} , of character sheaves and their convolutions.

E.g. Unipotent case of Thm 13: for unipotent $\underline{\rho}$,

$$\chi(K_{(T, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l, E^{\rho_1})} \star K_{(T, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l, E^{\rho_1})}) = \sum_{\substack{\underline{\tau} \text{ unip.} \\ \tau_1 \geq \rho_1}} c_{\underline{\rho}, \underline{\tau}} \chi(K_{(T, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l, E^{\tau_1})}).$$

So it suffices to prove that all simple perverse constituents of $K_{(T, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l, E^{\rho_1})} \star K_{(T, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l, E^{\rho_1})}$ are isomorphic to some $K_{(T, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l, E^{\tau_1})}$ for $\tau_1 \geq \rho_1$.

Convolution of Character Sheaves

Let G be a connected reductive group over k . Let \widehat{G} denote the set of (isomorphism classes of) character sheaves on G , as defined by Lusztig.

First step in classification:

$$\widehat{G} = \coprod_{\{(T, \mathcal{L})\}/G\text{-conj.}} \widehat{G}_{(T, \mathcal{L})}.$$

Second step:

$$\widehat{G}_{(T, \mathcal{L})} = \coprod_{\substack{\mathcal{C} \text{ two-sided} \\ \text{cell in } W(T)_{\mathcal{L}}}} \widehat{G}_{(T, \mathcal{L})}^{\mathcal{C}}.$$

E.g. $G = GL_n$, $\mathcal{L} = \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$, $W(T)_{\mathcal{L}} = S_n$,

{two-sided cells} \leftrightarrow {partitions of n }.

$K_{(T, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l, E^{\rho})}$ is the unique character sheaf in $\widehat{G}_{(T, \mathcal{L})}^{\mathcal{C}(\rho)}$.

Recall the partial order \leq on two-sided cells.

Thm 19 (Grojnowski, H.) $A_1 \in \widehat{G}_{(T_1, \mathcal{L}_1)}^{\leq \mathcal{C}_1}$, $A_2 \in \widehat{G}_{(T_2, \mathcal{L}_2)}^{\leq \mathcal{C}_2}$.

(1) If $(T_1, \mathcal{L}_1) \approx_{G\text{-conj.}} (T_2, \mathcal{L}_2)$, $A_1 \star A_2 \cong 0$.

(2) If $(T_1, \mathcal{L}_1) = (T_2, \mathcal{L}_2) = (T, \mathcal{L})$, then all simple perverse constituents of $A_1 \star A_2$ are in $\widehat{G}_{(T, \mathcal{L})}^{\leq \mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{C}_2}$.

E.g. If $G = GL_n$, Thm 19 \Rightarrow Thm 13.

Proof (sketch).

Fix T , Borel $B = TU$. Can define convolution on

$$\mathbf{D}_{\mathcal{L} \boxtimes \mathcal{L}^{-1}}^G(G/U \times G/U).$$

This makes the “mixed Grothendieck group” of this category into an algebra, which by Mars-Springer is $\mathcal{H}(T)_{\mathcal{L}}$, the Hecke algebra of $W(T)_{\mathcal{L}}$.

Say $K \in \mathbf{D}_{\mathcal{L} \boxtimes \mathcal{L}^{-1}}^{G, \text{mixed}}(G/U \times G/U)^{\leq \mathcal{C}}$ if $[K]^{\text{mixed}} \in \mathcal{H}(T)_{\mathcal{L}}^{\leq \mathcal{C}}$.
 Since each $\mathcal{H}(T)_{\mathcal{L}}^{\leq \mathcal{C}}$ is a two-sided ideal,

$$K_i \in \mathbf{D}_{\mathcal{L} \boxtimes \mathcal{L}^{-1}}^{G, \text{mixed}}(G/U \times G/U)^{\leq \mathcal{C}_i}, \quad i = 1, 2 \Rightarrow \\ K_1 \star K_2 \in \mathbf{D}_{\mathcal{L} \boxtimes \mathcal{L}^{-1}}^{G, \text{mixed}}(G/U \times G/U)^{\leq \mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{C}_2}.$$

Connection with character sheaves (Ginzburg):

$$G/U \times G/U \xleftarrow{r} G \times G/U \xrightarrow{q} G \times G/B \xrightarrow{p} G,$$

where $r(g, g'U) = (gg'U, g'U)$, $q(g, g'U) = (g, g'B)$, and $p(g, g'B) = g$. If $K \in \mathbf{D}_{\mathcal{L} \boxtimes \mathcal{L}^{-1}}^G(G/U \times G/U)$, define

$$\text{Ch}(K) = p_! K', \quad \text{where } q^* K' \cong r^* K.$$

Prop 20 $A \in \widehat{G}_{(T, \mathcal{L})}^{\leq \mathcal{C}} \iff A$ is a simple perverse constituent of $\text{Ch}(K)$ for some $K \in \mathbf{D}_{\mathcal{L} \boxtimes \mathcal{L}^{-1}}^{G, \text{mixed}}(G/U \times G/U)^{\leq \mathcal{C}}$.

Then need to find relationship between convolution on $\mathbf{D}_{\mathcal{L} \boxtimes \mathcal{L}^{-1}}^G(G/U \times G/U)$ and convolution of character sheaves.