

Representations of reflection groups on the cohomology of varieties

Anthony Henderson

University of Sydney

June 2010

Outline

Background

The algebraic torus

The complement of the reflecting hyperplanes

The De Concini–Procesi model of the arrangement

The toric variety of the arrangement

Background

Theme

If W is a finite group generated by reflections of a real vector space V , there are some associated varieties X on which W acts. One gets representations of W on the cohomologies $H^i(X(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q})$ and $H^i(X(\mathbb{R}), \mathbb{Q})$. The characters of these representations give a more complete description than the Betti numbers alone. The relationship between $H^i(X(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q})$ and $H^i(X(\mathbb{R}), \mathbb{Q})$ can be subtle.

Background

Theme

If W is a finite group generated by reflections of a real vector space V , there are some associated varieties X on which W acts. One gets representations of W on the cohomologies $H^i(X(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q})$ and $H^i(X(\mathbb{R}), \mathbb{Q})$. The characters of these representations give a more complete description than the Betti numbers alone. The relationship between $H^i(X(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q})$ and $H^i(X(\mathbb{R}), \mathbb{Q})$ can be subtle.

Main example: $W = S_n$ ($n \geq 2$), with its irreducible representation

$$V_n = \mathbb{R}\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\} / \mathbb{R}\{e_1 + e_2 + \dots + e_n\}.$$

The transpositions $(i j)$ act as reflections in the hyperplanes

$$H_{ij} = \left\{ \sum a_k e_k \mid a_i = a_j \right\}, \text{ for } i, j \in [n], i \neq j,$$

which form the famous braid arrangement.

To encode the characters of representations U_n of the symmetric groups S_n , it is helpful to use the generating function

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \text{ch}_{S_n}(U_n) \in \mathbb{Q}[[p_1, p_2, p_3, \dots]] =: A,$$

where ch denotes the **Frobenius characteristic**

$$\text{ch}_{S_n}(U_n) = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{w \in S_n} \text{tr}(w, U_n) \prod_i p_i^{\#(i\text{-cycles of } w)}.$$

Note that $\sum_{n \geq 0} \text{ch}_{S_n}(\mathbf{1}_n) = \prod_i \left(\sum_a \frac{p_i^a}{i^a a!} \right) = \exp\left(\sum_i \frac{p_i}{i} \right) =: \text{Exp}.$

To encode the characters of representations U_n of the symmetric groups S_n , it is helpful to use the generating function

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \text{ch}_{S_n}(U_n) \in \mathbb{Q}[[p_1, p_2, p_3, \dots]] =: A,$$

where ch denotes the **Frobenius characteristic**

$$\text{ch}_{S_n}(U_n) = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{w \in S_n} \text{tr}(w, U_n) \prod_i p_i^{\#(i\text{-cycles of } w)}.$$

Note that $\sum_{n \geq 0} \text{ch}_{S_n}(\mathbf{1}_n) = \prod_i \left(\sum_a \frac{p_i^a}{i^a a!} \right) = \exp\left(\sum_i \frac{p_i}{i}\right) =: \text{Exp}$.

In the power series ring A we define **plethystic substitution**:

1. $(f + g)[h] = f[h] + g[h]$, $(fg)[h] = f[h]g[h]$,
2. $p_i[f + g] = p_i[f] + p_i[g]$, $p_i[fg] = p_i[f]p_i[g]$,
3. $p_i[p_j] = p_{ij}$, and $p_i[t] = t^i$ if t is an additional indeterminate.

This is an associative operation with identity p_1 .

The algebraic torus

Assume W is the Weyl group of a root system. Let $\Lambda \subset V$ be the lattice dual to the root lattice. W acts on the torus $T = \Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{G}_m$. If $W = S_n$, take $\Lambda_n = \mathbb{Z}\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\} / \mathbb{Z}\{e_1 + e_2 + \dots + e_n\}$ and

$$T_n = \frac{\{(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{A}^n \mid a_i \neq 0, \forall i\}}{(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \sim (\lambda a_1, \lambda a_2, \dots, \lambda a_n)} \cong (\mathbb{G}_m)^{n-1}.$$

The algebraic torus

Assume W is the Weyl group of a root system. Let $\Lambda \subset V$ be the lattice dual to the root lattice. W acts on the torus $T = \Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{G}_m$. If $W = S_n$, take $\Lambda_n = \mathbb{Z}\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\} / \mathbb{Z}\{e_1 + e_2 + \dots + e_n\}$ and

$$T_n = \frac{\{(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{A}^n \mid a_i \neq 0, \forall i\}}{(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \sim (\lambda a_1, \lambda a_2, \dots, \lambda a_n)} \cong (\mathbb{G}_m)^{n-1}.$$

Proposition

The cohomology ring $H^(T(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q})$ is canonically isomorphic to the exterior algebra $\bigwedge^*(\widehat{V}_{\mathbb{Q}})$. In particular, for any $w \in W$,*

$$\sum_i \text{tr}(w, H^i(T(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q})) (-t)^i = \det_V(1 - tw).$$

The algebraic torus

Assume W is the Weyl group of a root system. Let $\Lambda \subset V$ be the lattice dual to the root lattice. W acts on the torus $T = \Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{G}_m$. If $W = S_n$, take $\Lambda_n = \mathbb{Z}\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\} / \mathbb{Z}\{e_1 + e_2 + \dots + e_n\}$ and

$$T_n = \frac{\{(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{A}^n \mid a_i \neq 0, \forall i\}}{(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \sim (\lambda a_1, \lambda a_2, \dots, \lambda a_n)} \cong (\mathbb{G}_m)^{n-1}.$$

Proposition

The cohomology ring $H^(T(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q})$ is canonically isomorphic to the exterior algebra $\bigwedge^*(\widehat{V}_{\mathbb{Q}})$. In particular, for any $w \in W$,*

$$\sum_i \operatorname{tr}(w, H^i(T(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q})) (-t)^i = \det_V(1 - tw).$$

For the symmetric groups, it is easy to deduce that

$$p_1 + \sum_{n \geq 2} \sum_i \operatorname{ch}_{S_n}(H^i(T_n(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q})) (-t)^i = \frac{\operatorname{Exp}[(1-t)p_1] - 1}{1-t}.$$

By contrast, since \mathbb{R}^\times retracts onto $\{1, -1\}$, $T(\mathbb{R})$ is canonically homotopy equivalent to the finite set $\Lambda/2\Lambda$. So for any $w \in W$,

$$\mathrm{tr}(w, H^0(T(\mathbb{R}), \mathbb{Q})) = \#(\text{elements of } \Lambda/2\Lambda \text{ fixed by } w) =: \pi^{(2)}(w).$$

By contrast, since \mathbb{R}^\times retracts onto $\{1, -1\}$, $T(\mathbb{R})$ is canonically homotopy equivalent to the finite set $\Lambda/2\Lambda$. So for any $w \in W$,

$$\mathrm{tr}(w, H^0(T(\mathbb{R}), \mathbb{Q})) = \#(\text{elements of } \Lambda/2\Lambda \text{ fixed by } w) =: \pi^{(2)}(w).$$

If $W = S_n$, $\pi^{(2)}(w)$ counts the w -stable subsets of $[n]$ which have even size. We deduce that

$$1 + p_1 + \sum_{n \geq 2} \mathrm{ch}_{S_n}(H^0(T_n(\mathbb{R}), \mathbb{Q})) = \mathrm{Exp} \cdot \mathrm{Cosh},$$

where Cosh is the sum of the even-degree terms of Exp .

By contrast, since \mathbb{R}^\times retracts onto $\{1, -1\}$, $T(\mathbb{R})$ is canonically homotopy equivalent to the finite set $\Lambda/2\Lambda$. So for any $w \in W$,

$$\mathrm{tr}(w, H^0(T(\mathbb{R}), \mathbb{Q})) = \#(\text{elements of } \Lambda/2\Lambda \text{ fixed by } w) =: \pi^{(2)}(w).$$

If $W = S_n$, $\pi^{(2)}(w)$ counts the w -stable subsets of $[n]$ which have even size. We deduce that

$$1 + p_1 + \sum_{n \geq 2} \mathrm{ch}_{S_n}(H^0(T_n(\mathbb{R}), \mathbb{Q})) = \mathrm{Exp.Cosh},$$

where Cosh is the sum of the even-degree terms of Exp . Although the answers for $T(\mathbb{C})$ and $T(\mathbb{R})$ look very different, there is a partial connection between them: if w has odd order, then

$$\pi^{(2)}(w) = 2^{\dim V^w} = \det_V(1 + w),$$

so $\mathrm{tr}(w, H^0(T(\mathbb{R}), \mathbb{Q})) = \sum_i \mathrm{tr}(w, H^i(T(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q}))$ in this case.

The complement of the reflecting hyperplanes

Let M be the affine variety defined by the hyperplane complement. There is a stark topological contrast between $M(\mathbb{C})$ and $M(\mathbb{R})$:

- ▶ $M(\mathbb{C})$ is a $K(\pi, 1)$ space for the **pure Artin group** of W .
- ▶ $M(\mathbb{R})$ is a union of contractible cones called **chambers**. The group W permutes these chambers simply transitively. Hence $H^0(M(\mathbb{R}), \mathbb{Q})$ is the regular representation of W , which has character $\text{tr}(w, H^0(M(\mathbb{R}), \mathbb{Q})) = |W|\delta_{1w}$.

The complement of the reflecting hyperplanes

Let M be the affine variety defined by the hyperplane complement. There is a stark topological contrast between $M(\mathbb{C})$ and $M(\mathbb{R})$:

- ▶ $M(\mathbb{C})$ is a $K(\pi, 1)$ space for the **pure Artin group** of W .
- ▶ $M(\mathbb{R})$ is a union of contractible cones called **chambers**. The group W permutes these chambers simply transitively. Hence $H^0(M(\mathbb{R}), \mathbb{Q})$ is the regular representation of W , which has character $\text{tr}(w, H^0(M(\mathbb{R}), \mathbb{Q})) = |W|\delta_{1w}$.

If $W = S_n$, then

$$M_n = \left\{ \sum a_k e_k \in V_n \mid a_i \neq a_j, \forall i \neq j \right\}$$

is the configuration space of ordered n -tuples in \mathbb{A}^1 . Note that the image \mathcal{M}_n of M_n in $\mathbb{P}(V_n)$ is the configuration space $\mathcal{M}_{0,n+1}$ of ordered $(n+1)$ -tuples in \mathbb{P}^1 (since the last point can be set to ∞). So here the action of S_n actually extends to S_{n+1} .

There are two general ways to describe $H^*(M(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q})$:

- ▶ as the **Orlik–Solomon algebra** of the hyperplane arrangement;
- ▶ as the **Whitney homology** of the lattice Π_W of reflection subgroups of W (= the lattice of hyperplane intersections).

There are two general ways to describe $H^*(M(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q})$:

- ▶ as the **Orlik–Solomon algebra** of the hyperplane arrangement;
- ▶ as the **Whitney homology** of the lattice Π_W of reflection subgroups of W (= the lattice of hyperplane intersections).

If $W = S_n$ there is an inductive approach. The whole vector space $V_n(\mathbb{C})$ can be stratified according to which coordinates are equal: $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ is one stratum, and every other stratum is homeomorphic to $M_m(\mathbb{C})$ for some $m < n$. The alternating sum $\sum (-1)^i H_c^i$ is additive on stratifications, and one can distinguish the H_c^i 's using Hodge weights (hyperplane complements are **minimally pure**).

There are two general ways to describe $H^*(M(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q})$:

- ▶ as the **Orlik–Solomon algebra** of the hyperplane arrangement;
- ▶ as the **Whitney homology** of the lattice Π_W of reflection subgroups of W (= the lattice of hyperplane intersections).

If $W = S_n$ there is an inductive approach. The whole vector space $V_n(\mathbb{C})$ can be stratified according to which coordinates are equal: $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ is one stratum, and every other stratum is homeomorphic to $M_m(\mathbb{C})$ for some $m < n$. The alternating sum $\sum (-1)^i H_c^i$ is additive on stratifications, and one can distinguish the H_c^i 's using Hodge weights (hyperplane complements are **minimally pure**).

Theorem (Lehrer)

$$1 + p_1 + \sum_{n \geq 2} \sum_i \text{ch}_{S_n}(H^i(M_n(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q})) (-t)^i = \text{Exp}[t^{-1}L[tp_1]],$$

where $L = \sum \frac{\mu(d)}{d} \log(1 + p_d)$ is the solution of $\text{Exp}[L] = 1 + p_1$.

Lehrer found similar character formulas for types B and D .

The De Concini–Procesi model of the arrangement

This projective variety $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ is defined as the closure of the image of

$$M \rightarrow \prod_{W' \in \Pi_W^{\text{irr}, \text{rk} \geq 2}} \mathbb{P}(V/V^{W'}).$$

If $W = S_n$, $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_n$ is the moduli space $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0, n+1}$ of stable genus 0 curves with $n + 1$ marked points ($\Pi_{S_n}^{\text{irr}, \text{rk} \geq 2} \leftrightarrow \{K \subseteq [n], |K| \geq 3\}$).

The De Concini–Procesi model of the arrangement

This projective variety $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ is defined as the closure of the image of

$$M \rightarrow \prod_{W' \in \Pi_W^{\text{irr}, \text{rk} \geq 2}} \mathbb{P}(V/V^{W'}).$$

If $W = S_n$, $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_n$ is the moduli space $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0, n+1}$ of stable genus 0 curves with $n + 1$ marked points ($\Pi_{S_n}^{\text{irr}, \text{rk} \geq 2} \leftrightarrow \{K \subseteq [n], |K| \geq 3\}$).

De Concini and Procesi gave a presentation of $H^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q})$ (generalizing the $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_n$ case due to Keel), where the generators in H^2 are the classes of the divisors labelled by $W' \in \Pi_W^{\text{irr}, \text{rk} \geq 2}$.

The De Concini–Procesi model of the arrangement

This projective variety $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ is defined as the closure of the image of

$$M \rightarrow \prod_{W' \in \Pi_W^{\text{irr}, \text{rk} \geq 2}} \mathbb{P}(V/V^{W'}).$$

If $W = S_n$, $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_n$ is the moduli space $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0, n+1}$ of stable genus 0 curves with $n + 1$ marked points ($\Pi_{S_n}^{\text{irr}, \text{rk} \geq 2} \leftrightarrow \{K \subseteq [n], |K| \geq 3\}$).

De Concini and Procesi gave a presentation of $H^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q})$ (generalizing the $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_n$ case due to Keel), where the generators in H^2 are the classes of the divisors labelled by $W' \in \Pi_W^{\text{irr}, \text{rk} \geq 2}$.

It follows that $H^{2i}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{F}_2) \cong H^i(\overline{\mathcal{M}}(\mathbb{R}), \mathbb{F}_2)$, and one can conclude that if $w \in W$ has odd order,

$$\sum_i (-1)^i \text{tr}(w, H^{2i}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q})) = \sum_i (-1)^i \text{tr}(w, H^i(\overline{\mathcal{M}}(\mathbb{R}), \mathbb{Q})).$$

But overall, $H^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q})$ and $H^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}(\mathbb{R}), \mathbb{Q})$ are very different.

$\overline{\mathcal{M}}_n$ has a stratification where the strata are indexed by rooted trees with n leaves (these indicate the intersection pattern of the components of the curve); each stratum is isomorphic to a product $\mathcal{M}_{n_1} \times \mathcal{M}_{n_2} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{M}_{n_k}$ where $n_1 + n_2 + \cdots + n_k = n$.

$\overline{\mathcal{M}}_n$ has a stratification where the strata are indexed by rooted trees with n leaves (these indicate the intersection pattern of the components of the curve); each stratum is isomorphic to a product $\mathcal{M}_{n_1} \times \mathcal{M}_{n_2} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{M}_{n_k}$ where $n_1 + n_2 + \cdots + n_k = n$.

Theorem (Ginzburg–Kapranov 1994)

The following elements of A are inverses for plethystic substitution:

$$p_1 + \sum_{n \geq 2} \sum_i \text{ch}_{S_n}(H^{2i}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_n(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q})) t^i \text{ and}$$

$$p_1 - \sum_{n \geq 2} \sum_i \text{ch}_{S_n}(H^i(\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q})) (-1)^i t^{n-2-i}.$$

$\overline{\mathcal{M}}_n$ has a stratification where the strata are indexed by rooted trees with n leaves (these indicate the intersection pattern of the components of the curve); each stratum is isomorphic to a product $\mathcal{M}_{n_1} \times \mathcal{M}_{n_2} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{M}_{n_k}$ where $n_1 + n_2 + \cdots + n_k = n$.

Theorem (Ginzburg–Kapranov 1994)

The following elements of A are inverses for plethystic substitution:

$$p_1 + \sum_{n \geq 2} \sum_i \text{ch}_{S_n}(H^{2i}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_n(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q})) t^i \text{ and}$$

$$p_1 - \sum_{n \geq 2} \sum_i \text{ch}_{S_n}(H^i(\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q})) (-1)^i t^{n-2-i}.$$

Since Lehrer's result determines the latter, this can be viewed as a very complicated recursion for $\text{ch}_{S_n}(H^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_n(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q}))$. There are some results about other W : bases for $H^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q})$ in types B and D (Yuzvinsky), character formula in type B (myself).

Surprisingly, $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_n(\mathbb{R})$ is analogous to $M_n(\mathbb{C})$.

- ▶ As shown by Davis–Januszkiewicz–Scott, it is a $K(\pi, 1)$ space for the **pure cactus group**.
- ▶ Etingof–Henriques–Kamnitzer–Rains gave a presentation for $H^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_n(\mathbb{R}), \mathbb{Q})$ which is like the Orlik–Solomon algebra, but with generators labelled by $K \subseteq [n]$, $|K| = 3$.

Surprisingly, $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_n(\mathbb{R})$ is analogous to $M_n(\mathbb{C})$.

- ▶ As shown by Davis–Januszkiewicz–Scott, it is a $K(\pi, 1)$ space for the **pure cactus group**.
- ▶ Etingof–Henriques–Kamnitzer–Rains gave a presentation for $H^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_n(\mathbb{R}), \mathbb{Q})$ which is like the Orlik–Solomon algebra, but with generators labelled by $K \subseteq [n]$, $|K| = 3$.

There is also an analogue of the Whitney homology description:

Theorem (Rains 2006)

Let $\Pi_W^{(2)}$ be the subset of Π_W consisting of W' whose irreducible components all have even rank. There is a natural isomorphism

$$H^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}(\mathbb{R}), \mathbb{Q}) \cong \bigoplus_{W' \in \Pi_W^{(2)}} \tilde{H}_*^{\Pi_W^{(2)}}(\{\{1\}, W'\}, \mathbb{Q}) \otimes \text{or}(V/V^{W'}).$$

Surprisingly, $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_n(\mathbb{R})$ is analogous to $M_n(\mathbb{C})$.

- ▶ As shown by Davis–Januszkiewicz–Scott, it is a $K(\pi, 1)$ space for the **pure cactus group**.
- ▶ Etingof–Henriques–Kamnitzer–Rains gave a presentation for $H^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_n(\mathbb{R}), \mathbb{Q})$ which is like the Orlik–Solomon algebra, but with generators labelled by $K \subseteq [n]$, $|K| = 3$.

There is also an analogue of the Whitney homology description:

Theorem (Rains 2006)

Let $\Pi_W^{(2)}$ be the subposet of Π_W consisting of W' whose irreducible components all have even rank. There is a natural isomorphism

$$H^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}(\mathbb{R}), \mathbb{Q}) \cong \bigoplus_{W' \in \Pi_W^{(2)}} \tilde{H}_*^{\Pi_W^{(2)}}(\{1\}, W', \mathbb{Q}) \otimes \text{or}(V/V^{W'}).$$

Rains deduced a formula for $\text{ch}_{S_n}(H^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_n(\mathbb{R}), \mathbb{Q}))$, similar to Lehrer's for $M_n(\mathbb{C})$. He and I generalized this to types B and D .

The toric variety of the arrangement

Associated to the lattice $\Lambda \subset V$, and the fan defined by the hyperplane arrangement, there is a toric variety \overline{T} , which is nonsingular and projective. (It has an alternative definition as a **Hessenberg variety**, a certain closed subvariety of the flag variety.)

The toric variety of the arrangement

Associated to the lattice $\Lambda \subset V$, and the fan defined by the hyperplane arrangement, there is a toric variety \overline{T} , which is nonsingular and projective. (It has an alternative definition as a **Hessenberg variety**, a certain closed subvariety of the flag variety.) Choose a chamber, and let I denote the set of hyperplanes which bound it. Then the T -orbits on \overline{T} are labelled by the cones in the fan, which are in bijection with $\coprod_{J \subseteq I} W/W_J$.

The toric variety of the arrangement

Associated to the lattice $\Lambda \subset V$, and the fan defined by the hyperplane arrangement, there is a toric variety \overline{T} , which is nonsingular and projective. (It has an alternative definition as a **Hessenberg variety**, a certain closed subvariety of the flag variety.) Choose a chamber, and let I denote the set of hyperplanes which bound it. Then the T -orbits on \overline{T} are labelled by the cones in the fan, which are in bijection with $\coprod_{J \subseteq I} W/W_J$.

Using the **Stanley–Reisner presentation** of $H_T^*(\overline{T}(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q})$, one gets:

Theorem (Procesi)

$$\sum_i \text{tr}(w, H^{2i}(\overline{T}(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q})) t^i = \det_V(1 - tw) \sum_{J \subseteq I} 1_{W/W_J}^W(w) \left(\frac{t}{1-t}\right)^{|\Lambda \setminus J|}.$$

The toric variety of the arrangement

Associated to the lattice $\Lambda \subset V$, and the fan defined by the hyperplane arrangement, there is a toric variety \overline{T} , which is nonsingular and projective. (It has an alternative definition as a **Hessenberg variety**, a certain closed subvariety of the flag variety.) Choose a chamber, and let I denote the set of hyperplanes which bound it. Then the T -orbits on \overline{T} are labelled by the cones in the fan, which are in bijection with $\coprod_{J \subseteq I} W/W_J$. Using the **Stanley–Reisner presentation** of $H_T^*(\overline{T}(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q})$, one gets:

Theorem (Procesi)

$$\sum_i \text{tr}(w, H^{2i}(\overline{T}(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q})) t^i = \det_V(1 - tw) \sum_{J \subseteq I} 1_{W_J}^W(w) \left(\frac{t}{1-t}\right)^{|I \setminus J|}.$$

For the symmetric groups, Stanley deduced that

$$1 + p_1 + \sum_{n \geq 2} \sum_i \text{ch}_{S_n}(H^{2i}(\overline{T}_n(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q})) t^i = \frac{1-t}{\text{Exp}[(t-1)p_1] - t}.$$

When $W = S_n$, \overline{T}_n can be described as a De Concini–Procesi model of the arrangement of coordinate hyperplanes; namely, it is the closure of the image of

$$T_n \rightarrow \prod_{K \subseteq [n], |K| \geq 2} \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{A}^K).$$

When $W = S_n$, \overline{T}_n can be described as a De Concini–Procesi model of the arrangement of coordinate hyperplanes; namely, it is the closure of the image of

$$T_n \rightarrow \prod_{K \subseteq [n], |K| \geq 2} \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{A}^K).$$

Identifying this arrangement with the hyperplanes $H_{i,n+1}$ in V_{n+1} gives a birational map $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{n+1} \rightarrow \overline{T}_n$. Hence $H^*(\overline{T}_n(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q})$ maps (injectively?) to the subring of $H^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{n+1}(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q})$ generated by the divisors labelled by the subsets $K \cup \{n+1\} \subseteq [n+1]$.

When $W = S_n$, \overline{T}_n can be described as a De Concini–Procesi model of the arrangement of coordinate hyperplanes; namely, it is the closure of the image of

$$T_n \rightarrow \prod_{K \subseteq [n], |K| \geq 2} \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{A}^K).$$

Identifying this arrangement with the hyperplanes $H_{i,n+1}$ in V_{n+1} gives a birational map $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{n+1} \rightarrow \overline{T}_n$. Hence $H^*(\overline{T}_n(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q})$ maps (injectively?) to the subring of $H^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{n+1}(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q})$ generated by the divisors labelled by the subsets $K \cup \{n+1\} \subseteq [n+1]$.

Rains' theorem applies to general building sets; here, the relevant poset is that of even-size subsets of $[n]$. One deduces:

$$1 + p_1 + \sum_{n \geq 2} \sum_i \text{ch}_{S_n}(H^i(\overline{T}_n(\mathbb{R}), \mathbb{Q})) (-t)^i = \text{Exp}(\text{Cosh}^\varepsilon[t^{1/2} p_1])^{-1},$$

where ε indicates multiplying by the sign character. In particular, $\dim H^i(\overline{T}_n(\mathbb{R}), \mathbb{Q}) = \binom{n}{2i} A_{2i}$, where A_{2i} is the Euler secant number.

Problem: find a presentation for $H^*(\overline{T}_n(\mathbb{R}), \mathbb{Q})$.

Lehrer and I have a project to describe $H^*(\overline{T}(\mathbb{R}), \mathbb{Q})$ in general; preferably as a representation of W , but at present even the Betti numbers are unknown. Using the fact that $\sum (-1)^i H_c^i$ is additive on the stratification into T -orbits, we found the 'Euler character':

Theorem (H.–Lehrer 2009)

$$\sum_i (-1)^i \text{tr}(w, H^i(\overline{T}(\mathbb{R}), \mathbb{Q})) = \sum_{J \subseteq I} (-1)^{|J|} \text{Ind}_{W_J}^W (\varepsilon \cdot \pi_{W_J}^{(2)})(w).$$

Over \mathbb{R} , we have no Hodge weights to distinguish individual H^i 's.

Lehrer and I have a project to describe $H^*(\overline{T}(\mathbb{R}), \mathbb{Q})$ in general; preferably as a representation of W , but at present even the Betti numbers are unknown. Using the fact that $\sum (-1)^i H_c^i$ is additive on the stratification into T -orbits, we found the ‘Euler character’:

Theorem (H.–Lehrer 2009)

$$\sum_i (-1)^i \text{tr}(w, H^i(\overline{T}(\mathbb{R}), \mathbb{Q})) = \sum_{J \subseteq I} (-1)^{|J|} \text{Ind}_{W_J}^W (\varepsilon \cdot \pi_{W_J}^{(2)})(w).$$

Over \mathbb{R} , we have no Hodge weights to distinguish individual H^i 's. There is an alternative proof. It is known that the real toric variety $\overline{T}(\mathbb{R})$ can be constructed by gluing together $2^{\dim V}$ copies of the polytope dual to the fan; in the resulting cell chain complex, C_j has character $\sum_{J \subseteq I, |J|=j} \text{Ind}_{W_J}^W (\varepsilon \cdot \pi_{W_J}^{(2)})$.

Lehrer and I have a project to describe $H^*(\overline{T}(\mathbb{R}), \mathbb{Q})$ in general; preferably as a representation of W , but at present even the Betti numbers are unknown. Using the fact that $\sum (-1)^i H_c^i$ is additive on the stratification into T -orbits, we found the ‘Euler character’:

Theorem (H.–Lehrer 2009)

$$\sum_i (-1)^i \text{tr}(w, H^i(\overline{T}(\mathbb{R}), \mathbb{Q})) = \sum_{J \subseteq I} (-1)^{|J|} \text{Ind}_{W_J}^W (\varepsilon \cdot \pi_{W_J}^{(2)})(w).$$

Over \mathbb{R} , we have no Hodge weights to distinguish individual H^i 's. There is an alternative proof. It is known that the real toric variety $\overline{T}(\mathbb{R})$ can be constructed by gluing together $2^{\dim V}$ copies of the polytope dual to the fan; in the resulting cell chain complex, C_j has character $\sum_{J \subseteq I, |J|=j} \text{Ind}_{W_J}^W (\varepsilon \cdot \pi_{W_J}^{(2)})$.

Problem: compute the homology of this complex.