

## Lecture 8 (Ring Homomorphisms)

Last times we defined products of rings and subrings.

We also looked at rings of functions. For example let  $I$  be an interval in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then

$$\mathbb{R}^I = \text{Map}(I, \mathbb{R}),$$

the set of all functions from  $I$  to  $\mathbb{R}$  is a ring under usual (point-wise) addition and multiplication. Its zero is the constant 0 function and it has identity the constant function 1.

Then  $C(I)$ , all continuous functions from  $I$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ , and  $C^1(I)$ , all differentiable functions from  $I$  to  $\mathbb{R}$  are each subrings of  $\mathbb{R}^I = \text{Map}(I, \mathbb{R})$ . These subrings all share the same identity, the constant function 1.

**Subrings and Identity Elements** Suppose  $R$  is a ring and  $S$  is a subring.

- (1) If  $R$  has an identity element must  $S$  necessarily have one?
- (2) If  $R$  and  $S$  each have identity elements are they necessarily equal?
- (3) If  $S$  has an identity need  $R$  have one?

In cases the answer in all cases is no!

Examples of different possibilities:

### Examples

- (1)  $S = 2\mathbb{Z}$  is a subring of  $R = \mathbb{Z}$ , but  $2\mathbb{Z}$  does not have an identity element.

- (2)  $S = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mid a \in \mathbb{Q} \right\}$  is a subring of  $R = M_2(\mathbb{Q})$ .

Then  $1_R = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $1_S = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ , so that  $1_R \neq 1_S$ .

- (3) The zero subring  $S = \{0\}$  of  $2\mathbb{Z}$  has identity  $0$ , but  $2\mathbb{Z}$  has no identity element.

$S = \{(x, 0) \mid x \in \mathbf{R}\}$  is subring of  $R \times R$ , (component-wise operations)  
 $S$  has unit

- (4) Let  $R = M_n(\mathbb{Q})$  and  $S = \{(m_{ij}) \in \mathbb{Q} \mid m_{ij} = 0 \text{ if } i > j\}$ , the upper triangular matrices with rational entries. Then  $S$  is a subring of  $R$  and the  $n \times n$  identity matrix is the identity element of both rings.

**Definition 8.1 (Ring Homomorphism)** A ring homomorphism is a map  $\varphi : R \rightarrow S$  from a ring  $R$  to a ring  $S$  which preserves addition and multiplication, viz for all  $a, b \in R$ , both

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(a + b) &= \varphi(a) + \varphi(b) \\ \text{and } \varphi(ab) &= \varphi(a)\varphi(b)\end{aligned}$$

**Note** On the left hand side operation are in  $R$  and on the right hand side in  $S$ . If we want to be ultra pedantic we should write,

$$\varphi(a +_R b) = \varphi(a) +_S \varphi(b) \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi(a \cdot_R b) = \varphi(a) \cdot_S \varphi(b)$$

### Examples

1. For any ring  $R$  the identity map  $R \rightarrow R, r \rightarrow r, r \in R$ .
2. If  $S$  is subring of  $R$ , the inclusion map  $S \hookrightarrow R$  is homomorphism, e.g.  $2\mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ .
3. The map  $x \mapsto 2x, : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 2\mathbb{Z}$  is not a ring homomorphism.
4. The evaluation map,

$$\varphi : C(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad f \mapsto f(1)$$

5. For  $A \in \text{Gl}_n(\mathbb{Q})$ , invertible  $n \times n$  matrices with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Q}$

$$\varphi_A : M_n(\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow M_n(\mathbb{Q}); \quad M \mapsto AMA^{-1}$$

is a homomorphism.

**Proposition 8.2** Suppose  $\varphi : R \rightarrow S$  is a ring homomorphism. Then

- (1)  $\varphi(0_R) = 0_S$  and  $\varphi(-a) = -\varphi(a)$  for all  $a \in R$ .
- (2)  $\text{im } \varphi = \{\varphi(r) \mid r \in R\}$  is a subring of  $S$ .
- (3) If  $R$  has an identity element  $1$ ,  $\text{im } \varphi$  has identity element  $\varphi(1)$ .
- (4) If  $R$  is commutative so is  $\text{im } \varphi$ .

## Proof

- (1) From  $0_R + 0_R = 0_R$  in  $R$  we deduce  $\varphi(0_R) + \varphi(0_R) = \varphi(0_R)$  in  $S$ . Subtracting  $\varphi(0_R)$  from each side gives  $\varphi(0_R) = 0_S$ .

Now suppose  $a \in R$ . Then from  $a + (-a) = 0_R$  in  $R$  we deduce  $\varphi(a) + \varphi(-a) = \varphi(0_R) = 0_S$ . Hence  $\varphi(-a) = -\varphi(a)$ .

- (2) From the first part  $\text{im } \varphi \neq \emptyset$  because it contains  $0_S = \varphi(0_R)$ , and is closed under taking inverses.

The definition of homomorphism shows  $\text{im } \varphi$  is closed under addition and multiplication.

- (3) For any  $a \in R$ ,  $\varphi(1a) = \varphi(a) = \varphi(a1)$ ,

$$\varphi(1)\varphi(a) = \varphi(1a) = \varphi(a) = \varphi(a1) = \varphi(a)\varphi(1).$$

- (4) Exercise. □

**Definition 8.3 (Kernels)** If  $\varphi : R \rightarrow S$  is a homomorphism of rings the *kernel* of  $\varphi$ ,  $\ker \varphi$  is the subset of  $R$  of elements mapped to 0.

$$\ker \varphi = \{x \in R \mid \varphi(x) = 0\}.$$

**Observation** Kernels are subrings with extra important closure properties.

**Theorem 8.4** Let  $\varphi : R \rightarrow S$  be homomorphism of rings, Then  $\ker \varphi$  is subring of  $R$ . Moreover it is closed under left and right multiplication from elements of  $R$ , i.e.  $ax, xa \in \ker \varphi$  for any  $a \in R$  if  $x \in \ker \varphi$ .

**Proof** We check the subring conditions.

First note  $\ker \varphi \neq \emptyset$ , because from above  $\varphi(0) = 0$  shows  $0 \in \ker \varphi$ .

Now we check closure under ring operations in  $R$ .

Suppose  $x, y \in \ker \varphi$  So  $\varphi(x) = \varphi(y) = 0$ . Then

$$\varphi(x + y) = \varphi(x) + \varphi(y) = 0 + 0 = 0,$$

$$\varphi(xy) = \varphi(x)\varphi(y) = 0 \times 0 = 0$$

$$\text{and } \varphi(-x) = -\varphi(x) = -0 = 0$$

Hence  $x + y$ ,  $xy$  and  $-x$  are in  $\ker \varphi$ .  
 Further for  $x \in \ker \varphi$  and any  $r \in R$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(rx) &= \varphi(r)\varphi(x) = \varphi(r)0 = 0 \\ \text{and } \varphi(xr) &= \varphi(x)\varphi(r) = 0\varphi(r) = 0,\end{aligned}$$

show  $rx, xr \in \ker \varphi$ .

**Proposition 8.5** Let  $\varphi : R \rightarrow S$  be a homomorphism of rings. Then  $\varphi$  is injective if and only if  $\ker \varphi = \{0\}$ .

**Proof** Suppose  $\varphi$  is injective.

Let  $x \in \ker \varphi$ . Then  $\varphi(x) = 0 = \varphi(0)$  implies  $x = 0$ . Also from above  $0 \in \ker \varphi$ . Hence  $\ker \varphi = \{0\}$ .

Suppose  $\ker \varphi = \{0\}$ .

Let  $x, y \in R$  satisfy  $\varphi(x) = \varphi(y)$ . Then

$$\varphi(x - y) = \varphi(x) + \varphi(-y) = \varphi(x) - \varphi(y) = 0.$$

So  $x - y \in \ker \varphi = \{0\}$ . Hence  $x - y = 0$ , and thus  $x = y$ .

This shows  $\varphi$  is injective.

**Note** Not every subring can be kernel.

1.  $\mathbb{Q}$  is subring of  $\mathbb{R}$ , but  $1 \in \mathbb{Q}$ ,  $\sqrt{2} \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $1 \times \sqrt{2} = \sqrt{2} \notin \mathbb{Q}$ , shows it is not the kernel of any ring homomorphism.
2.  $\mathbb{Z}$  subring of  $\mathbb{Q}$ , but  $1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $1/2 \in \mathbb{Q}$ ,  $1 \times 1/2 = 1/2 \notin \mathbb{Z}$ , shows it is not the kernel of any ring homomorphism.

**Definition 8.6 (Ideals)** A subring  $I$  of a ring  $S$  such that  $rx, xr \in I$  for all  $x \in I$ ,  $r \in R$  is called an *ideal*.

**Sufficient Conditions** Note if  $I$  closed under multiplication on the left and right by elements of  $R$  then it is certainly closed under multiplication. So a non-empty subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  is an ideal if for all  $a, b \in I$  and  $r \in R$

$$(1) \quad a + b \in I, \quad (2) \quad -a \in I, \quad (3) \quad ra, ar \in I.$$

**NB** If the ring has an identity taking  $r = -1$ , we find (3) implies (2).