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## Solution to Tutorial 13

1. Note that $y_{i}$ is the total mileage for branch $i$.
(a) 1-stage cluster sample

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Cluster } & -\operatorname{branches}(N=12 ; n=4) \\
\text { Element } & -\operatorname{cars}(M=810 ; m=240)
\end{aligned}
$$

Population mean no. of cars per branch $\bar{M}=\frac{M}{N}=\frac{810}{12}=67.5$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Sample mean mileage per branch } \bar{y} & =\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i}}{n}=\frac{6340.9}{4}=1585.225 \\
\text { Sample mean mileage per car } r & =\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} M_{i}}=\frac{6340.9}{240}=26.4204
\end{aligned}
$$

The sampling method is a single-stage cluster sampling and the quantity to be estimated is $R$, the average mileage per car.

The ordinary estimate of mean mileage per car is

$$
\widehat{R}_{c 1}=\frac{\bar{y}}{\bar{M}}=\frac{1585.225}{67.5}=23.4848
$$

with estimated variance

$$
\operatorname{var}\left(\widehat{R}_{c 1}\right)=\frac{1}{\bar{M}^{2}}\left(1-\frac{n}{N}\right) \frac{s_{y}^{2}}{n}=\frac{1}{67.5^{2}}\left(1-\frac{4}{12}\right) \frac{1083221.642}{4}=39.624
$$

The ratio estimate of mean mileage per car is

$$
\widehat{R}_{c 1, r}=r=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} M_{i}}=\frac{6340.9}{240}=26.4204
$$

with estimated variance

$$
\begin{aligned}
s_{r}^{2} & =\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(y_{i}-r M_{i}\right)^{2}=\frac{1}{n-1}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i}^{2}-2 r \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i} M_{i}+r^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} M_{i}^{2}\right) \\
& =\frac{13301418.13-2 \cdot 26.4204 \cdot 496751+26.4204^{2} 18600}{3}=\frac{36195.885}{3} \\
\operatorname{var}\left(\widehat{R}_{c 1, r}\right) & =\frac{1}{\bar{M}^{2}}\left(1-\frac{n}{N}\right) \frac{s_{r}^{2}}{n} \\
& =\frac{1}{67.5^{2}}\left(1-\frac{4}{12}\right) \frac{1}{4} \frac{36195.883}{3}=0.441 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note: The ordinary estimate has a much larger variance (39.624) than the ratio estimate (0.441). This is due to the great variability in cluster sizes $\left(M_{i}=\right.$ $60,110,20,50$ for the selected clusters).
(b) 2-stage cluster sample

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Cluster } & -\operatorname{branches}(N=12 ; n=4) \\
\text { Element } & -\operatorname{cars}(M=810 ; m=240)
\end{aligned}
$$

Mean no. of car per branch $\bar{M}=\frac{M}{N}=\frac{810}{12}=67.5$
Estimated sample mean mileage per branch $\hat{\bar{y}}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \hat{y}_{i}}{n}=\frac{6,521.58}{4}=1,630.395$
Estimated sample mean mileage per car $\hat{r}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \hat{y}_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} M_{i}}=\frac{6,521.58}{240}=27.17325$.

| Branch | $M_{i}$ | $y_{i}$ | $M_{i} \hat{r}$ | $\left(y_{i}-M_{i} \hat{r}\right)$ | $\left(y_{i}-M_{i} \hat{r}\right)^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 60 | 1459.2 | 1585.225 | -126.025 | 15882.301 |
| 2 | 110 | 3036.0 | 2906.246 | 129.754 | 16836.144 |
| 3 | 20 | 568.2 | 528.408 | 39.792 | 1583.377 |
| 4 | 50 | 1277.5 | 1321.021 | -43.521 | 1894.063 |
| Sum | 240 | 6340.9 |  |  | 36195.885 |

Variance due to estimated $\hat{y}_{i}$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{N}{n M^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{var}\left(\hat{y}_{i}\right) & =\frac{N}{n M^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} M_{i}^{2}\left(1-\frac{m_{i}}{M_{i}}\right) \frac{s_{y i}^{2}}{m_{i}} \\
& =\frac{12}{4 \cdot 810^{2}}\left[60^{2}\left(1-\frac{10}{60}\right) \frac{4.90684}{10}+\cdots+50^{2}\left(1-\frac{10}{50}\right) \frac{13.42161}{10}\right] \\
& =\frac{12}{4 \cdot 810^{2}}(1,472.052+13,811.743+121.8964+2,684.322) \\
& =\frac{54,270.04}{810^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

We also have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s_{y}^{2}=\frac{1}{n-1}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \hat{y}_{i}^{2}-n \overline{\hat{y}}^{2}\right)=\frac{1}{3}\left[14,161,800.33-4(1,630.395)^{2}\right]=1,176,349.635 . \\
& s_{r}^{2}=\frac{1}{n-1}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \hat{y}_{i}^{2}-2 \hat{r} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \hat{y}_{i} M_{i}+\hat{r}^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} M_{i}^{2}\right) \\
&=\frac{1}{3}\left(14,161,800.33-2 \times 27.173 \times 512,461.2+27.173^{2} \times 18,600\right) \\
&=15,099.44
\end{aligned}
$$

The ordinary estimate of the average mileage per car $R$ is

$$
\widehat{R}_{c 2}=\frac{\hat{\bar{y}}}{\bar{M}}=\frac{1,630.395}{67.5}=24.154
$$

with estimated variance:

$$
\operatorname{var}\left(\widehat{R}_{c 2}\right)=\frac{1}{\bar{M}^{2}}\left(1-\frac{n}{N}\right) \frac{s_{y}^{2}}{n}=\frac{12^{2}}{810^{2}}\left(1-\frac{4}{12}\right) \frac{1,176,349.635}{4}=\frac{28,232,391.24}{810^{2}} .
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{var}\left(\widehat{R}_{c 2}\right) & =\operatorname{var}\left(\widehat{R}_{c 1}\right)+\frac{N}{n M^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{var}\left(\hat{y}_{i}\right) \\
& =\frac{28,232,391.24+54,270.04}{810^{2}}=43.0306+0.0827=43.1133
\end{aligned}
$$

The ratio estimate of the average mileage per car $R$ is

$$
\widehat{R}_{c 2, r}=\hat{r}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \hat{y}_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} M_{i}}=\frac{6,521.58}{240}=27.173
$$

with estimated variance

$$
\operatorname{var}\left(\widehat{R}_{c 1, r}\right)=\frac{1}{\bar{M}^{2}}\left(1-\frac{n}{N}\right) \frac{s_{r}^{2}}{n}=\frac{12^{2}}{810^{2}}\left(1-\frac{4}{12}\right) \frac{15,099.44}{4}=\frac{362,386.544}{810^{2}} .
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{var}\left(\widehat{R}_{c 2, r}\right) & =\operatorname{var}\left(\widehat{R}_{c 1, r}\right)+\frac{N}{n M^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{var}\left(\widehat{y}_{i}\right) \\
& =\frac{362,386.544+54,270.04}{810^{2}}=0.5523+0.0827=0.635
\end{aligned}
$$

Note:

1. The ordinary estimate has a much larger variance (43.1133) than the ratio estimate (0.635). This is due to the great variability in cluster sizes $\left(N_{i}=\right.$ $60,110,20,50$ for the selected clusters).
2. Both estimates of ordinary and ratio in 2-stage sampling have larger variance than the corresponding estimates in singe-stage sampling due to the increase in variability in estimating $\hat{y}_{i}$ in the 2 -stage sampling. However the increase (0.0837) is not great since the subsample sizes of 10 in each selected cluster are not too small.
3. 2-stage cluster sample

| Plant | $M_{i}$ | $m_{i}$ | $\overline{\bar{y}}_{i}$ | $\hat{y}_{i}=M_{i} \overline{\bar{y}}_{i}$ | $s_{y i}^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 50 | 10 | 5.40 | 270.00 | 11.38 |
| 2 | 65 | 13 | 4.00 | 260.00 | 10.67 |
| 3 | 45 | 9 | 5.67 | 255.15 | 16.75 |
| 4 | 48 | 10 | 4.80 | 230.40 | 13.29 |
| 5 | 52 | 10 | 4.30 | 223.60 | 11.12 |
| 6 | 58 | 12 | 3.83 | 222.14 | 14.88 |
| 7 | 42 | 8 | 5.00 | 210.00 | 5.14 |
| 8 | 66 | 13 | 3.85 | 254.10 | 4.31 |
| 9 | 40 | 8 | 4.88 | 195.20 | 6.13 |
| 10 | 56 | 11 | 5.00 | 280.00 | 11.80 |

$$
\sum_{i} \hat{y}_{i}=2400.59, \sum_{i} M_{i}=522, \sum_{i} \hat{y}_{i}^{2}=583,198.6721, \sum_{i} \hat{y}_{i} M_{i}=126,530.87, \sum_{i} M_{i}^{2}=27978
$$

$$
\text { Cluster }-\operatorname{plant}(N=90 ; n=10)
$$

$$
\text { Element }- \text { machine }(M=4,500 ; m=522)
$$

Mean no. of machine per plant $\bar{M}=\frac{M}{N}=\frac{4,500}{90}=50$
Mean downtime per plant $\bar{y}=\frac{\sum_{i \in \mathcal{S}} \hat{y}_{i}}{n}=\frac{2,400.59}{10}=240.059$
Mean downtime per machine $\overline{\bar{y}}=\frac{\sum_{i \in \mathcal{S}} \hat{y}_{i}}{\sum_{i \in \mathcal{S}} M_{i}}=\frac{2,400.59}{522}=4.5988$.
(a) The ordinary estimate of the average downtime per machine $\overline{\bar{Y}}$ is

$$
\hat{\overline{\bar{Y}}}_{c 2}=\frac{\overline{\hat{y}}}{\bar{M}}=\frac{240.059}{50}=4.80118
$$

with estimated variance for stage 1 as

$$
\operatorname{var}\left(\widehat{\overline{\bar{Y}}}_{c 1}\right)=\frac{1}{\bar{M}^{2}}\left(1-\frac{n}{N}\right) \frac{s_{y}^{2}}{n}=\frac{1}{50^{2}}\left(1-\frac{10}{90}\right) \frac{768.38}{10}=0.027320246
$$

where

$$
s_{y}^{2}=\frac{\sum_{i \in \mathcal{S}}\left(\hat{y}_{i}-\overline{\hat{y}}\right)^{2}}{n-1}=\frac{\sum_{i \in \mathcal{S}} \hat{y}_{i}^{2}-n \overline{\hat{y}}^{2}}{n-1}=\frac{1}{3}\left[583,198.6721-10(240.059)^{2}\right]=768.38
$$

Variance due to estimated $\hat{y}_{i}$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{N}{n M^{2}} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{S}} \operatorname{var}\left(\widehat{y}_{i}\right)= & \frac{N}{n M^{2}} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{S}} M_{i}^{2}\left(1-\frac{m_{i}}{M_{i}}\right) \frac{s_{y i}^{2}}{m_{i}} \\
= & \frac{90}{10 \cdot 4500^{2}}\left[50^{2}\left(1-\frac{10}{50}\right) \frac{11.38}{10}+65^{2}\left(1-\frac{13}{65}\right) \frac{10.67}{13}+\cdots+\right. \\
& \left.56^{2}\left(1-\frac{11}{56}\right) \frac{11.80}{11}\right] \\
= & \frac{90}{10 \cdot 4500^{2}}(26,285.475)=0.01168243 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{var}\left(\hat{\overline{\bar{Y}}}_{c 2}\right) & =\operatorname{var}\left(\hat{\overline{\bar{Y}}}_{c 1}\right)+\frac{N}{n M^{2}} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{S}} \operatorname{var}\left(\widehat{y}_{i}\right) \\
& =0.02732025+0.01168243=0.03900268
\end{aligned}
$$

Error bound $\left(\widehat{\overline{\bar{Y}}}_{c 2}\right)=1.96 \sqrt{0.03900268}=1.96(0.19749096)=0.38708228$
(b) The ratio estimate of the average downtime per machine $\overline{\bar{Y}}$ is

$$
\widehat{\overline{\bar{Y}}}_{c 2, r}=\frac{\sum_{i \in \mathcal{S}} \hat{y}_{i}}{\sum_{i \in \mathcal{S}} M_{i}}=\frac{2,400.59}{522}=4.5988
$$

with estimated variance for stage 1 :

$$
\operatorname{var}\left(\hat{\overline{\bar{Y}}}_{c 1, r}\right)=\frac{1}{\bar{M}^{2}}\left(1-\frac{n}{N}\right) \frac{s_{y}^{2}}{n}=\frac{1}{50^{2}}\left(1-\frac{10}{90}\right) \frac{1,236.01328}{10}=0.043947138 .
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
s_{r}^{2} & =\frac{\sum_{i \in \mathcal{S}}\left(\hat{y}_{i}-M_{i} \overline{\overline{\hat{y}}}\right)^{2}}{n-1}=\frac{\sum_{i \in \mathcal{S}} \hat{y}_{i}^{2}-2 \overline{\overline{\hat{y}}} \sum_{i} \hat{y}_{i} M_{i}+\overline{\hat{y}}^{2} \sum_{i} M_{i}^{2}}{n-1} \\
& =\frac{1}{9}\left(583,198.6721-2 \times 4.5988 \times 126,530.87+4.5988^{2} \times 27,978\right)=1,236.01328
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{var}\left(\hat{\overline{\bar{Y}}}_{c 2, r}\right) & =\operatorname{var}\left(\hat{\overline{\bar{Y}}}_{c 1, r}\right)+\frac{N}{n M^{2}} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{S}} \operatorname{var}\left(\widehat{y}_{i}\right) \\
& =0.043947138+0.01168243=0.05562957
\end{aligned}
$$

Error bound $\left(\widehat{\overline{\bar{Y}}}_{c 2, r}\right)=1.96 \sqrt{0.05562957}=1.96(0.23585922)=0.46228407$

Note that $s_{r}^{2}>s_{y}^{2}$ because $\hat{\rho}=0.54316681<0.74687872=\frac{s_{x} \bar{y}}{2 s_{y} \bar{x}}$.
3. (a) HH estimator:
(i) Six-digit random numbers are generated, ignoring 000000 and any numbers greater than 186030 . If the list are $001052,185953,000600,000987$ say, the selected hospitals are $2,1158,1$ and 2 such that hospital 2 appears twice in the sample.
(ii) We have $n=4, N=1,158, \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{y_{i}}{p_{i}}=563,602, \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\frac{y_{i}}{p_{i}}\right)^{2}=79,470,194,284$, $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{y_{i}^{\prime}}{p_{i}}=399.62$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\frac{y_{i}^{\prime}}{p_{i}}\right)^{2}=91,336.32$ $\widehat{Y}_{H H}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{y_{i}}{p_{i}}=\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{350}{0.0024}+\frac{1,100}{0.0081}+\frac{500}{0.0036}+\frac{350}{0.0024}\right)=140,900.5$ $\widehat{\bar{Y}}_{H H}=\frac{1}{n \times N} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{y_{i}}{p_{i}}$
$=\frac{1}{4 \times 1,158}\left(\frac{350}{0.0024}+\frac{1,100}{0.0081}+\frac{500}{0.0036}+\frac{350}{0.0024}\right)=121.6757(\$ 000)$
$s_{y / p}^{2}=\frac{1}{n-1}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\frac{y_{i}}{p_{i}}\right)^{2}-n \frac{\bar{y}^{2}}{p}\right]$
$=\frac{1}{3}\left(79,470,194,284-4 \times 140,900.5^{2}\right)=19,463,561$
$\operatorname{var}\left(\hat{\bar{Y}}_{H H}\right)=\frac{1}{N^{2}} \frac{s_{y / p}^{2}}{n}=\frac{1}{1,158^{2}} \frac{19,463,561}{4}=3.628651$
$\operatorname{se}\left(\widehat{\bar{Y}}_{H H}\right)=\sqrt{3.628651}=1.904902$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widehat{P}_{H H} & =\frac{1}{n N} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{y_{i}^{\prime}}{p_{i}} \\
& =\frac{1}{4 \times 1,158}\left(\frac{0}{0.0024}+\frac{1}{0.0081}+\frac{1}{0.0036}+\frac{0}{0.0024}\right)=0.086274 \\
s_{y^{\prime} / p}^{2} & =\frac{1}{n-1}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\frac{y_{i}^{\prime}}{p_{i}}\right)^{2}-n \frac{{\overline{y^{\prime}}}^{2}}{p}\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{3}\left(91,336.32-4 \times 99.905^{2}\right)=17,137.43 \\
\operatorname{var}\left(\widehat{\bar{Y}}_{H H}\right) & =\frac{1}{N^{2}} \frac{s_{y^{\prime} / p}^{2}}{n}=\frac{1}{1,158^{2}} \frac{17,137.43}{4}=0.003195 \\
\operatorname{se}\left(\widehat{\bar{Y}}_{H H}\right) & =\sqrt{0.003195}=0.056524
\end{aligned}
$$

The total estimates are respectively $(1,158)(121.6757)=140,900.5(\$ 000)$ and $(1,158)(0.086274)=100$.
(b) With IPPS and $n=3$ :
(i) The HT estimate is:

$$
\widehat{\bar{Y}}_{H T}=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{y_{i}}{\pi_{i}}=\frac{1}{1158}\left(\frac{500}{\frac{15}{1378}}+\frac{350}{\frac{5}{689}}+\frac{1100}{\frac{50}{2067}}\right)=120.5849(\$ 000)
$$

The total estimate of hospital purchases and count for product Y is $(1,158)(120.5849)=$ $139,637.3$ ( $\$ 000$ ) It is hard to find their s.e. unless we know $\pi_{i j}$. If we can assume the usual the draw-by-draw $p_{i}$ for sampling with replacement, the second order inclusion probabilities are

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi_{1} & =1-\left(1-p_{1}\right)^{3}=\frac{15}{1378} \Rightarrow p_{1}=1-\left(1-\frac{15}{1378}\right)^{1 / 3}=0.003641693 \\
\pi_{2} & =1-\left(1-p_{2}\right)^{3}=\frac{5}{689} \Rightarrow p_{2}=1-\left(1-\frac{5}{689}\right)^{1 / 3}=0.002424840 \\
\pi_{1158} & =1-\left(1-p_{1158}\right)^{3}=\frac{50}{2067} \Rightarrow p_{1158}=1-\left(1-\frac{50}{2067}\right)^{1 / 3}=0.008129119 \\
\pi_{1,2} & =\pi_{1}+\pi_{2}-\left[1-\left(1-p_{1}-p_{2}\right)^{3}\right] \\
& =\underbrace{\frac{15}{1378}+\frac{5}{689}}_{12,1 \overline{1}, 12, \overline{1} 2}-\underbrace{\left[1-(1-0.003641693-0.002424840)^{3}\right]}_{12,1 \overline{2}, \overline{1} 2}=0.00005282242 \\
\pi_{1,1158} & =\pi_{1}+\pi_{1158}-\left[1-\left(1-p_{1}-p_{1158}\right)^{3}\right] \\
& =\frac{15}{1378}+\frac{50}{2067}-\left[1-(1-0.003641693-0.008129119)^{3}\right]=0.0001765771 \\
\pi_{2,1158} & =\pi_{2}+\pi_{1158}-\left[1-\left(1-p_{2}-p_{1158}\right)^{3}\right] \\
& =\frac{5}{689}+\frac{50}{2067}-\left[1-(1-0.002424840-0.008129119)^{3}\right]=0.0001176468
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that the draw-by-draw sampling is a required assumption when calculating the second order inclusion probabilities. We have $\pi_{1}=\frac{15}{1378}=$ $0.01088534, \pi_{2}=\frac{5}{689}=0.007256894, \pi_{1158}=\frac{50}{2067}=0.024189647$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{var}\left(\widehat{Y}_{H T, 1}\right)= & \frac{1}{N^{2}}\left(\sum_{i \in \mathcal{S}} \frac{1-\pi_{i}}{\pi_{i}^{2}} y_{i}^{2}+2 \sum_{i<j} \sum \frac{\pi_{i j}-\pi_{i} \pi_{j}}{\pi_{i} \pi_{j} \pi_{i j}} y_{i} y_{j}\right) \\
= & \frac{1}{1158^{2}}\left[\frac{1-0.01088534}{0.01088534^{2}} 500^{2}+\frac{1-0.007256894}{0.007256894^{2}} 350^{2}+\frac{1-0.024189647}{0.024189647^{2}} 1100^{2}+\right. \\
& 2\left(\frac{0.00005282242-0.01088534 \times 0.007256894}{0.01088534 \times 0.007256894 \times 0.00005282242} 500 \times 350+\right. \\
& \frac{0.0001765771-0.01088534 \times 0.024189647}{0.01088534 \times 0.024189647 \times 0.0001765771} 500 \times 1100+ \\
& \left.\left.\frac{0.0001176468-0.007256894 \times 0.024189647}{0.007256894 \times 0.024189647 \times 0.0001176468} 350 \times 1100\right)\right]=6.080117 \\
\operatorname{se}\left(\hat{\bar{Y}}_{H T, 1}\right)= & \sqrt{6.080117}=2.465789
\end{aligned}
$$

(ii) With systematic IPPS sampling and $n=3, \widehat{\bar{Y}}_{\text {sys,pps }}=120.269$, same as (i) but the se estimate is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{var}\left(\widehat{\bar{Y}}_{\text {sys }, p p s}\right) \simeq & \frac{1}{N^{2}} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{S}}\left(1-\frac{n-1}{n} \pi_{i}\right)\left(\frac{y_{i}}{\pi_{i}}-\frac{\widehat{Y}_{\text {sys }}}{n}\right)^{2} \\
= & \frac{1}{1,158^{2}}\left[\left(1-\frac{2}{3} 0.010885\right)\left(\frac{500}{0.010885}-\frac{139,637.3}{3}\right)^{2}+\right. \\
& \left(1-\frac{2}{3} 0.007257\right)\left(\frac{350}{0.007257}-\frac{139,637.3}{3}\right)^{2}+ \\
& \left.\left(1-\frac{2}{3} 0.024190\right)\left(\frac{1100}{0.024190}-\frac{139,637.3}{3}\right)^{2}\right] \\
\operatorname{se}\left(\hat{\bar{Y}}_{\text {sys,pps }}\right)= & 3.225613
\end{aligned}
$$

4. The second order inclusion probabilities are

| $(i, j)$ |  | $\pi_{i j}$ | sum | $(i, j)$ |  | $\pi_{i j}$ | Sum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(1,2)$ | JB,JS | $\frac{1}{2} \cdot 1 \cdot \frac{1}{2}$ |  | $(4,9)$ | AJ,DE | $\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{1}{2}$ |  |
| $(2,3)$ | MB,JS | $\frac{1}{2} \cdot 1 \cdot \frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $(5,9)$ | PJ,DE | $\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{6}$ |
| $(4,6)$ | AJ,JC | $\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{3}$ |  | $(6,9)$ | JC,DE | $\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{1}{3}$ |  |
| $(5,6)$ | PJ,JC | $\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{3}$ |  | $(7,9)$ | SC,DE | $\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{1}{3}$ |  |
| $(4,7)$ | AJ,SC | $\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{3}$ |  | $(8,9)$ | MC,DE | $\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{1}{3}$ | $\frac{1}{6}$ |
|  | $(5,7)$ | PJ,SC | $\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{3}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $(4,8)$ | AJ,MC | $\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $(5,8)$ | PJ,MC | $\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{3}$ | $\frac{1}{6}$ |  |  |  |  |

Note that this sampling scheme defines a set of $\pi_{i}$ and $\pi_{i j}$ but it is not an inclusion probability proportional to size (IPPS) sampling as there is no $X$ variable which defines $\pi_{i}=\frac{n x_{i}}{X}$ even though $\pi_{i}$ varies across individuals.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widehat{\bar{Y}}_{H T} & =\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{S}} \frac{y_{i}}{\pi_{i}}=\frac{1}{9}\left(\frac{25}{1 / 6}+\frac{60}{1 / 3}\right)=36.67 \\
\operatorname{var}\left(\widehat{Y}_{H T, 1}\right) & =\left(1-\pi_{1}\right) \frac{y_{1}^{2}}{\pi_{1}^{2}}+\left(1-\pi_{2}\right) \frac{y_{2}^{2}}{\pi_{2}^{2}}+2\left(\frac{\pi_{12}-\pi_{1} \pi_{2}}{\pi_{12}} \frac{y_{1} y_{2}}{\pi_{1} \pi_{2}}\right) \\
& =\left(1-\frac{1}{6}\right) \frac{25^{2}}{\left(\frac{1}{6}\right)^{2}}+\left(1-\frac{1}{3}\right) \frac{60^{2}}{\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^{2}}+2\left(1-\frac{\frac{1}{6} \frac{1}{3}}{\frac{1}{12}}\right) \frac{25(60)}{\frac{1}{6} \frac{1}{3}}=594.4444 \\
\operatorname{se}\left(\widehat{Y}_{H T, 1}\right) & =\sqrt{594.4444}=24.38 \\
\operatorname{var}\left(\widehat{\bar{Y}}_{H T, 2}\right) & ==\frac{1}{N^{2}}\left(\frac{\pi_{1} \pi_{2}-\pi_{1,2}}{\pi_{1,2}}\right)\left(\frac{y_{1}}{\pi_{2}}-\frac{y_{2}}{\pi_{2}}\right)^{2}=\frac{1}{9^{2}}\left(\frac{\frac{1}{6} \frac{1}{3}-\frac{1}{12}}{\frac{1}{12}}\right)\left(\frac{25}{1 / 6}-\frac{60}{1 / 3}\right)^{2}=-\mathrm{ve}
\end{aligned}
$$

Formula one can be applied to any sampling scheme which defines a set of $\pi_{i}$ and $\pi_{i j}$ but formula two can only be applied to sampling schemes without replacement.

## Extra exercise

1. 2-stage cluster sample. We have $N=30$ and $n=3$.

| $i$ | $M_{i}$ | $m_{i}$ | Sample data $y_{i j}$ | Sample mean $\overline{\bar{y}}_{i}$ | Sample var. $s_{y i}^{2}$ | $\hat{y}_{i}=M_{i} \overline{\bar{y}}_{i}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 10 | 4 | $1,3,3,4$ | 2.75 | 1.5833 | 27.50 |
| 2 | 12 | 4 | $3,4,0,1$ | 2.00 | 3.3333 | 24.00 |
| 3 | 9 | 4 | $4,2,0,1$ | 1.75 | 2.1875 | 15.75 |
| Total | 31 | 12 |  |  | 67.25 |  |

$$
\sum_{i} M_{i}=31 ; \sum_{i} M_{i}^{2}=325 ; \sum_{i} \hat{y}_{i}=67.25 ; \sum_{i} \hat{y}_{i}^{2}=1,580.3125 ; \sum_{i} \hat{y}_{i} M_{i}=704.75
$$

Additional variance due to those households of size $\geq 4$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{N}{n} \sum_{i} M_{i}^{2}\left(1-\frac{m_{i}}{M_{i}}\right) \frac{s_{y i}^{2}}{m_{i}}=\frac{N}{n} \sum_{i} M_{i}^{2}\left(1-\frac{m_{i}}{M_{i}}\right) \frac{s_{y i}^{2}}{m_{i}} \\
= & \frac{30}{3}\left[10^{2}\left(1-\frac{4}{10}\right) \frac{1.5833}{4}+12^{2}\left(1-\frac{4}{12}\right) \frac{3.3333}{4}+9^{2}\left(1-\frac{4}{9}\right) \frac{2.1875}{4}\right] \\
= & 10 \times 128.358075=1,283.58075
\end{aligned}
$$

Ratio estimator of total:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widehat{Y}_{c 2, r} & =M \times \hat{\overline{\bar{y}}}=M \times \frac{\sum_{i \in \mathcal{S}} \hat{y}_{i}}{\sum_{i \in \mathcal{S}} M_{i}}=315 \times \frac{67.25}{31}=315 \times 2.169354839=683.3467743 \\
s_{r}^{2} & =\frac{1}{n-1}\left(\sum_{i \in \mathcal{S}} \hat{y}_{i}^{2}-2 \hat{\overline{\bar{y}}} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{S}} \hat{y}_{i} M_{i}+\hat{\overline{\bar{y}}}^{2} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{S}} M_{i}^{2}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left(1,580.3125-2 \cdot 2.169354839 \cdot 704.75+2.169354839^{2} \cdot 325\right) \\
& =26.04474505 \\
\operatorname{var}\left(\widehat{Y}_{c 1, r}\right) & =N^{2}\left(1-\frac{n}{N}\right) \frac{s_{r}^{2}}{n}=30^{2}\left(1-\frac{3}{30}\right) \frac{26.04474505}{3}=7,032.081163 \\
\operatorname{var}\left(\widehat{Y}_{c 2, r}\right) & =\operatorname{var}\left(\widehat{Y}_{c 1, r}\right)+\text { Add. variance } \\
& =7,032.081163+1,283.5807=8,315.661913 \\
\operatorname{var}\left(\widehat{Y}_{c 2, r}\right) & =\sqrt{8,315.661913}=91.1902512
\end{aligned}
$$

Naive estimator of total:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widehat{Y}_{c 1} & =N \times \hat{\bar{y}}=N \times \frac{\sum_{i} y_{i}}{n}=30 \times \frac{67.25}{3}=672.5 \\
s_{y}^{2} & =\frac{1}{n-1}\left(\sum_{i \in \mathcal{S}} y_{i}^{2}-n \hat{y}^{2}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(1,580.3125-3 \cdot 22.4167^{2}\right)=36.3958334 \\
\operatorname{var}\left(\widehat{Y}_{c 2}\right) & =N^{2}\left(1-\frac{n}{N}\right) \frac{s_{y}^{2}}{n}+\frac{N}{n} \sum_{i} M_{i}^{2}\left(1-\frac{m_{i}}{M_{i}}\right) \frac{s_{y i}^{2}}{m_{i}} \\
& =30^{2}\left(1-\frac{3}{30}\right) \frac{36.3958334}{3}+1,283.5807 \\
& =9,826.875017+1,283.58075=11,110.45577 \\
\operatorname{var}\left(\widehat{Y}_{c 2}\right) & =\sqrt{11,110.45577}=105.4061467
\end{aligned}
$$

The s.e. of ordinary estimate is slightly larger but the two s.e. are quite close to each other because the cluster total $\hat{y}_{i}$ is not highly correlated to the cluster size $M_{i}$ and also the cluster sizes $M_{i}$ are all close to 10 . We still prefer ratio estimator to ordinary estimator as it uses the information of cluster size $M_{i}$. The two estimators will be the same if the cluster sizes $M_{i}$ are all equal.
2. We have $\bar{M}=\frac{M}{N}=\frac{426}{41}=10.3902439$ and $\bar{y}=\frac{\sum_{i} y_{i}}{n}=\frac{279}{4}=69.75$.
(a) 1-stage cluster sampling:
(i) Ordinary estimator for mean per element:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{\overline{\bar{Y}}}_{c 1} & =\frac{1}{\bar{M}} \times \hat{\bar{y}}=\frac{69.75}{10.3902439}=6.713028169 \\
s_{y}^{2} & =\frac{1}{n-1}\left(\sum_{i \in \mathcal{S}} y_{i}^{2}-n \hat{\mathcal{y}}^{2}\right)=\frac{1}{3}\left(20,009-4 \cdot 69.75^{2}\right)=182.91 \dot{6} \\
\operatorname{var}\left(\widehat{Y}_{c 1}\right) & =\frac{1}{\bar{M}^{2}}\left(1-\frac{n}{N}\right) \frac{s_{y}^{2}}{n}=\frac{1}{10.3902439^{2}}\left(1-\frac{4}{41}\right) \frac{182.91 \dot{6}}{4} \\
& =0.382260716 \\
\operatorname{se}\left(\hat{\bar{Y}}_{c 1}\right) & =\sqrt{0.382260716}=0.618272363
\end{aligned}
$$

(ii) Ordinary estimator is preferred because the cluster size differs only slightly and it is easier to compute. The ratio and ordinary estimates should be close as the cluster sizes are similar.
(b) 2-stage cluster sampling:
(i) Calculation:

| $i$ | $m_{i}$ | Mark $y_{i j}$ | $\hat{y}_{i}=M_{i} \overline{\bar{y}}_{i}=M_{i} \times \frac{\sum_{j} y_{i j}}{m_{i}}$ | $M_{i}$ | $s_{y i}^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 5 | $7,6,4,8,10$ | $10 \times 35 / 5=70$ | 10 | 5 |
| 2 | 6 | $8,9,10,9,7,7$ | $11 \times 50 / 6=91 . \dot{6}$ | 11 | 1.47 |
| 3 | 5 | $7,6,4,8,9$ | $9 \times 34 / 5=61.2$ | 9 | 3.7 |
| 4 | 5 | $7,8,9,7,2$ | $10 \times 33 / 5=66$ | 10 | 7.3 |
| 5 | 5 | $6,7,4,5,4$ | $9 \times 26 / 5=46.8$ | 9 | 1.7 |
| 6 | 6 | $5,2,5,4,1,0$ | $11 \times 17 / 6=31.1 \dot{6}$ | 11 | 4.57 |
| 7 | 6 | $4,6,4,3,9,2$ | $11 \times 28 / 6=51 . \dot{3}$ | 11 | 6.27 |
| 8 | 5 | $8,7,5,7,9$ | $9 \times 36 / 5=64.8$ | 9 | 2.2 |

We have

$$
\sum_{i} M_{i}=80 ; \sum_{i} M_{i}^{2}=806 ; \sum_{i} \hat{y}_{i}=482.9 \dot{6} ; \sum_{i} \hat{y}_{i}^{2}=31,399.97 ; \sum_{i} \hat{y}_{i} M_{i}=4,831.0 \dot{3}
$$

(ii) Ordinary estimator for mean per element:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{\bar{y}} & =\frac{\sum_{i} \hat{y}_{i}}{n}=\frac{482.9 \dot{6}}{8}=60.3708 \dot{3} \\
\hat{\overline{\bar{Y}}}_{c 2} & =\frac{1}{\bar{M}} \hat{\bar{y}}=\frac{60.3708 \dot{3}}{10.3902439} \\
s_{y}^{2} & =\frac{1}{n-1}\left(\sum_{i} \hat{y}_{i}^{2}-n \hat{\bar{y}}^{2}\right)=\frac{1}{7}\left(31,399.97-8 \times 60.3708 \dot{3}^{2}\right)=320.5249714
\end{aligned}
$$

Add. var. $=\frac{1}{n N \bar{M}} \sum_{i} M_{i}^{2}\left(1-\frac{m_{i}}{M_{i}}\right) \frac{s_{y i}^{2}}{m_{i}} \simeq \frac{1}{n N \bar{M}}\left(1-\frac{1}{2}\right) \sum_{i} M_{i}^{2} \frac{s_{y i}^{2}}{m_{i}}$

$$
=\frac{1}{2 \times 8 \times 41 \times 10.3902439^{2}}\left(10^{2} \frac{5}{5}+11^{2} \frac{1.47}{6}+9^{2} \frac{3.7}{5}+10^{2} \frac{7.3}{5}\right.
$$

$$
\left.+9^{2} \frac{1.7}{5}+11^{2} \frac{4.57}{6}+11^{2} \frac{6.27}{6}+9^{2} \frac{2.2}{5}\right)=0.00871748824
$$

$$
\operatorname{var}\left(\widehat{\overline{\bar{Y}}}_{c 2}\right)=\operatorname{var}\left(\widehat{\overline{\bar{Y}}}_{c 1}\right)+\text { Add. var. due to } \hat{y}_{i}
$$

$$
=\frac{1}{\bar{M}^{2}}\left(1-\frac{n}{N}\right) \frac{s_{y}^{2}}{n}+\frac{1}{n N \bar{M}^{2}} \sum_{i} M_{i}^{2}\left(1-\frac{m_{i}}{M_{i}}\right) \frac{s_{y i}^{2}}{m_{i}}
$$

$$
=\frac{1}{10.3902439^{2}}\left(1-\frac{8}{41}\right) \frac{320.5249714}{8}+0.00871748824
$$

$$
=0.298710495+0.00871748824=0.307427983
$$

$$
\operatorname{se}\left(\hat{\overline{\bar{Y}}}_{c 2}\right)=\sqrt{0.307427983}=0.554461886
$$

(iii) The first sample of 4 classes consist mainly classes of high marks. As a result, $\widehat{\overline{\bar{Y}}}_{c 1}$ will overestimate the true mark. Also the s.e. se $\left(\widehat{\bar{Y}}_{c 1}\right)$ based on mainly classes of large total marks will underestimate the true s.e.. This is the result of sampling error.
(iv) Since the additional 4 classes are mainly classes of low marks, this shows great variability of marks across classes. The two-stage cluster sampling is preferred as more classes can be selected from the classes with more variability in class totals.
3. (a) (i) When the variability in cluster size $M_{i}$ is large, 2-stage cluster sampling is preferred as we can subsample from those large cluster. As a result, the total sample size is easier to control.
(ii) When the variability of $Y$, the variable of interest within the cluster is relatively less than that between clusters, the 2-stage cluster sampling is preferred as it enables the selection of more clusters for a given total sample size of elements. The selection of more cluster is necessary as the variability between clusters is high.
(b) We have $n=6, N=48, M=5,200$ and $\bar{M}=\frac{M}{N}=\frac{5,200}{48}=108 . \dot{3}$.

| $i$ | $M_{i}$ | $y_{i}$ | $\bar{y}_{i}=y_{i} / M_{i}$ |
| :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 161 | 334 | 2.0745 |
| 2 | 148 | 356 | 2.4054 |
| 3 | 83 | 245 | 2.9518 |
| 4 | 157 | 412 | 2.6242 |
| 5 | 96 | 207 | 2.1563 |
| 6 | 103 | 315 | 3.0583 |
| Total | 748 | 1869 | 15.2705 |

$$
\sum_{i} M_{i}=748 ; \sum_{i} M_{i}^{2}=99,188 ; \sum_{i} \hat{y}_{i}=1,869 ; \sum_{i} \hat{y}_{i}^{2}=610,135 ; \sum_{i} \hat{y}_{i} M_{i}=243,798
$$

We have $\sum_{i} \bar{y}_{i}=15.2705, \sum_{i} \bar{y}_{i}^{2}=39.6919$ and $\overline{\bar{y}}=\frac{\sum_{i} \bar{y}_{i}}{n}=\frac{15.2705}{6}=$ $2.54508 \dot{3}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{\overline{\bar{Y}}}_{1} & =\overline{\bar{y}}=2.54508 \dot{3} \\
s_{\bar{y}}^{2} & =\frac{1}{n-1}\left(\sum_{i} \bar{y}_{i}^{2}-n \overline{\bar{y}}^{2}\right)=\frac{1}{5}\left(39.6919-6 \times 2.54508 \dot{3}^{2}\right)=0.165436365 \\
\operatorname{var}\left(\hat{\overline{\bar{Y}}}_{1}\right) & =\left(1-\frac{n}{N}\right) \frac{s_{\bar{y}}^{2}}{n}=\left(1-\frac{6}{48}\right) \frac{0.165436365}{6}=0.024126136 \\
\operatorname{se}\left(\hat{\overline{\bar{Y}}}_{1}\right) & =\sqrt{0.024126136}=0.155325904
\end{aligned}
$$

(ii) We have $\bar{y}=\frac{\sum_{i} y_{i}}{n}=\frac{1869}{6}=311.5$. Ordinary estimate for mean per element:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{\overline{\bar{Y}}}_{2} & =\frac{1}{\bar{M}} \bar{y}=\frac{311.5}{108 . \dot{3}}=2.875384815 \\
s_{y}^{2} & =\frac{1}{n-1}\left(\sum_{i} y_{i}^{2}-n \bar{y}^{2}\right)=\frac{1}{5}\left(610,135-6 \times 311.5^{2}\right)=5,588.3 \\
\operatorname{var}\left(\hat{\bar{Y}}_{2}\right) & =\frac{1}{\bar{M}^{2}}\left(1-\frac{n}{N}\right) \frac{s_{y}^{2}}{n}=\frac{1}{108 . \dot{3}^{2}}\left(1-\frac{6}{48}\right) \frac{5,588.3}{6}=0.069440414 \\
\operatorname{se}\left(\hat{\bar{Y}}_{2}\right) & =\sqrt{0.069440414}=0.263515491
\end{aligned}
$$

(iii) Ratio estimate of mean per element:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{\overline{\bar{Y}}}_{3} & =r=\frac{\sum_{i} y_{i}}{\sum_{i} M_{i}}=\frac{1,869}{748}=2.498663102 \\
s_{r}^{2} & =\frac{1}{n-1}\left(\sum_{i} y_{i}^{2}-2 r \sum_{i} M_{i} y_{i}+r^{2} \sum_{i} M_{i}^{2}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{5}\left(610,135-2 \times 2.498663102 \times 243,798+2.498663102^{2} \times 99,188\right) \\
& =2211.804441 \\
\operatorname{var}\left(\hat{\overline{\bar{Y}}}_{3}\right) & =\frac{1}{\bar{M}^{2}}\left(1-\frac{n}{N}\right) \frac{s_{r}^{2}}{n}=\frac{1}{108 . \dot{3}^{2}}\left(1-\frac{6}{48}\right) \frac{2211.804441}{6}=0.02748396 \\
\operatorname{se}\left(\hat{\overline{\bar{Y}}}_{3}\right) & =\sqrt{0.02748396}=0.165782871
\end{aligned}
$$

(iv) 1. $\widehat{\bar{Y}}_{3}$ is preferred when $M$ is unknown, the variation of $M_{i}$ is high and $M_{i}$ is highly and positively correlated to $y_{i}$ because $M$ is not required in the estimation of $\overline{\bar{Y}}$. Moreover the strong and positive relationship between $M_{i}$ and $y_{i}$ is accounted for in the ratio estimate. Moreover $\overline{\bar{Y}}_{1}$ is a biased estimator similar to the ratio estimator $\overline{\bar{Y}}_{3}$ but $\overline{\bar{Y}}_{2}$ is an unbiased estimator.
2. $\overline{\bar{Y}}_{2}$ is preferred when $M$ is known and the variation of $M_{i}$ is low because $M$ is required but $M_{i}$ is not required in the estimation of $\overline{\bar{Y}}$.
4. One-stage cluster sampling is preferred to 2-stage cluster sampling when

1. The cluster size is small so that sub-sampling is unnecessary and result in too small the sample size.
2. The variability of elements within cluster is high so that we would like to include all units within cluster into the sample. The usual rule is to have a higher sampling fraction from cluster of higher variability between elements in the cluster.
3. Easier to implement.

Two-stage cluster sampling is preferred to 1 -stage cluster sampling when

1. The cluster size is large so that it is infeasible to include all units within cluster into the sample.
2. If the cluster size varies a lot across clusters, it would be difficult to control the total sample size for a 1-stage cluster sampling.
3. If the variability of elements within cluster is low, it is unnecessary to include all elements within a cluster into the sample.
4. If the variability across clusters is high, a 2 -stage cluster sample enables a sample of more clusters than a 1 -sate cluster sample given the same sample size.
