

PD_4 -complexes with free fundamental group

Jonathan A. Hillman

ABSTRACT

We reconsider some recent work on Poincaré duality complexes with free fundamental group. In particular we give a new proof of the fact that such complexes are determined by their intersection pairings, and that every hermitian form on a finitely generated free module over the group ring of a free group is realized by some such complex.

AMS Subject Classification (1991): Primary 57P10.

Key words and phrases: free group. 4-manifold. Poincaré duality.

The purpose of this note is to show that some of the basic properties of PD_4 -complexes with free fundamental group can be derived homologically, without reference to the topology of 4-manifolds or stabilization by connected sums, as used in [MK95, CH97, HP02]. We also avoid explicit calculations of obstructions, relying instead on the easily verified fact that the 3-skeletons of the complexes considered have sufficiently many self homotopy equivalences. In the final section we consider briefly the classification of closed 4-manifolds with free fundamental group up to homeomorphism or s -cobordism.

§1. Modules over free groups

Let $F(r)$ be the free group with basis $\{x_1, \dots, x_r\}$, and let $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}[F(r)]$. Let $w : \pi \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$ be a homomorphism and define an involution on Γ by $\bar{g} = w(g)g^{-1}$ for all $g \in \pi$. If R is a right Γ -module let \overline{R} be the corresponding left Γ -module with the conjugate structure given by $g.r = r.\bar{g}$, for all $g \in \Gamma$ and $r \in R$. In particular, if L is a left Γ -module let $L^\dagger = \overline{Hom_\Gamma(L, \Gamma)}$ be the conjugate dual module. Let $\varepsilon : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be the augmentation homomorphism, and let $\varepsilon_w : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^w$ be the w -twisted augmentation, determined by $\varepsilon(g) = w(g)$ for all $g \in \pi$.

Let $\partial : \Gamma^r \rightarrow \Gamma$ be the homomorphism given by $\partial(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_r) = \Sigma \gamma_i(x_i - 1)$, with image the augmentation ideal. Let $\delta_w = \partial^\dagger : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma^r$ and let $E_w^1 \mathbb{Z} = \text{Coker}(\delta_w) = \overline{Ext_\Gamma^1(\mathbb{Z}, \Gamma)}$. (We emphasize that the conjugation depends on

w). If $w' : \pi \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$ is another homomorphism then $\text{Hom}_\Gamma(E_w^1\mathbb{Z}, E_{w'}^1\mathbb{Z}) = \text{Hom}_\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}^{w'}, \mathbb{Z}^w)$, which is \mathbb{Z} if $w = w'$ and is 0 if $w \neq w'$. In particular, $\text{End}_\Gamma(E_w^1\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}$ and $E_w^1\mathbb{Z}$ is hopfian.

Lemma 1. *If L is a left Γ -module then L^\dagger is a free module.*

Proof. Let $F_1 \xrightarrow{p} F_0 \rightarrow L$ be a presentation for L . Dualizing gives an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow L^\dagger \rightarrow F_0^\dagger \xrightarrow{p^\dagger} F_1^\dagger$. Now $\text{Cok}(p^\dagger)$ has a projective resolution of length at most 2, since Γ has global dimension 2. Hence L^\dagger is projective, by Schanuel's Lemma, and therefore free [Ba64]. \square

§2. The 3-skeleton

If X is a space with basepoint $*$ and fundamental group π let \tilde{X} be the universal covering space and $c_X : X \rightarrow K(\pi, 1)$ the classifying map for the fundamental group, and let $f_X : X \rightarrow P_2(X)$ denote the second stage of the Postnikov tower for X . Let $E(X)$ and $E_*(X)$ denote the groups of self homotopy equivalences of the space X and the pair $(X, *)$, respectively, and let $E_\pi(X)$ be the subgroup of self homotopy equivalences which induce the identity on π . Then $E_*(K(\pi, 1)) \cong \text{Aut}(\pi)$ and $E_\pi(X) = \text{Ker}(E_*(c_X))$.

Let P be a PD_4 -complex with fundamental group $\pi \cong F(r)$. We may assume that P is a finite complex, since projective Γ -modules are free [Ba64], and we shall fix an isomorphism $\pi = F(r)$, once and for all. Let $w = w_1(P)$ be the orientation character, and let P^+ be the orientable covering space associated to $\pi^+ = \text{Ker}(w)$. Let $C_* = C_*(P; \Gamma)$ be the cellular chain complex of \tilde{P} , with respect to the natural π -equivariant cell structure. This is a complex of free left Γ -modules. Let $B_q \leq Z_q$ denote the q -dimensional boundaries and q -cycles in C_q , respectively, and let $H_q = H_q(C_*) = Z_q/B_q$, for $q \geq 0$. Then $H_q = H_q(P; \Gamma)$ is isomorphic to $H_q(\tilde{P}; \mathbb{Z})$, with the left Γ -module structure given by the action of the covering group π on \tilde{P} . In particular, $H_2 \cong \Pi = \pi_2(P)$. The equivariant cohomology modules are defined by $H^q = H^q(P; \Gamma) = H^q(C^*)$, where C^* is the dual cochain complex, with $C^q = C_q^\dagger$.

We may assume that $P = P_\theta = P_o \cup_\theta D^4$, where P_o is a 3-complex with one 0-cell and $\theta \in \pi_3(P_o)$ is the attaching map for the 4-cell [Wa67].

Theorem 2. Π is free of rank $\beta = \beta_2(P)$ and $P_o \simeq \vee^r(S^1 \vee S^3) \vee (\vee^\beta S^2)$.

Proof. There are exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow B_0 \rightarrow C_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0,$$

$$0 \rightarrow B_1 \rightarrow C_1 \rightarrow B_0 \rightarrow 0,$$

$$0 \rightarrow Z_2 \rightarrow C_2 \rightarrow B_1 \rightarrow 0,$$

$$0 \rightarrow Z_3 \rightarrow C_3 \rightarrow Z_2 \rightarrow \Pi \rightarrow 0,$$

and

$$0 \rightarrow H_4 \rightarrow C_4 \rightarrow Z_3 \rightarrow H_3 \rightarrow 0.$$

Since π is a free group the augmentation module \mathbb{Z} has a short free resolution and so B_0 is stably free, by Schanuel's Lemma, and hence free [Ba64]. Therefore the second and third of these sequences are split exact. In particular, B_0 , B_1 and Z_2 are finitely generated free Γ -modules. Poincaré duality gives $H_4 = H_4(\tilde{P}; \mathbb{Z}) = H^0(C^*) = 0$ and an isomorphism $\Pi \cong \Pi^\dagger$. Hence Π is also a free left Γ -module, by Lemma 1, and so the fourth sequence also splits. Therefore Z_3 is free and the complex C_* is chain homotopy equivalent to the sum of the three free complexes $B_0 \rightarrow C_0$, Π and $C_4 \rightarrow Z_3$ (with $C_0 \cong C_4 \cong \Gamma$, B_0 in degree 1, Π in degree 2 and the degrees otherwise given by the subscripts). Therefore $B_0 \cong Z_3 \cong \Gamma^r$, $\mathbb{Z} \otimes_\Gamma \Pi \cong H_2(\mathbb{Z} \otimes_\Gamma C_*) \cong H_2(P; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^\beta$, and so $\Pi \cong \Gamma^\beta$.

If P is nonorientable then $\pi^+ \cong F(2r - 1)$ and Π has rank 2β as a $\mathbb{Z}[\pi^+]$ -module. It follows easily from Poincaré duality in P^+ that $\chi(P) = \chi(P^+)/|w(\pi)| = 2 - 2r + \beta$ (in all cases). Hence $\chi(P_o) = 1 - 2r + \beta$, and so $H_3(P_o; \Gamma) = Z_3 \cong \Gamma^r$.

The Hurewicz homomorphism in degree 3 for a 1-connected space is onto ([Wh50], or see §5 below), and so we may represent a basis of $H_3(P_o; \Gamma)$ by elements of $\pi_3(P_o)$. Hence there is a map $j : (\vee^r S^1) \vee (\vee^\beta S^2) \vee (\vee^r S^3) \rightarrow P_o$ which induces isomorphisms $\pi_1(j)$, $\pi_2(j)$ and $H_3(j; \Gamma)$, and which is therefore a homotopy equivalence. \square

In [MK95] this result was proven for closed 4-manifolds from a stable factorization theorem. The fact that Π is free also follows from Theorem II.12 of [Hi].

§3. The case $\beta = 0$

Let $i_o : Q_o = \vee^r(S^1 \vee S^3) \rightarrow P_o = \vee^r(S^1 \vee S^3) \vee (\vee^\beta S^2)$ be the natural inclusion and let $c : P_o \rightarrow Q_o$ be the retraction which collapses the S^2 s to the basepoint. Let $c_\phi : P_\phi \rightarrow Q_\phi = Q_o \cup_{c_\phi} D^4$ be the canonical extension of c . If P_ϕ is an orientable PD_4 -complex then so is Q_ϕ , and c_ϕ is a 2-connected degree 1 map, and conversely, if Q_ϕ is a PD_4 complex with fundamental class $[Q_\phi]$ cap product with the corresponding class in $H_4(P_\phi; \mathbb{Z})$ induces duality isomorphisms on the (co)homology of \widetilde{P}_ϕ , excepting perhaps in degree 2 [HP02]. Their argument extends immediately to the nonorientable case.

Theorem 3. *The complex Q_ϕ is a PD_4 -complex with orientation character w if and only if $c_\phi = \alpha \delta_w(1)$ for some automorphism $\alpha \in GL(r, \Gamma)$.*

Proof. We may identify $\pi_3(Q_o)$ with $H_3(\widetilde{Q}_o; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \Gamma^r$, by the Hurewicz Theorem for \widetilde{Q}_o . The equivariant cellular chain complex for \widetilde{Q}_ϕ is chain homotopy equivalent to the complex $C_4 \rightarrow Z_3 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow B_0 \rightarrow C_0$, where $\partial_1 = \partial$, $Z_3 = \pi_3(Q_o) \cong \Gamma^r$, $C_4 \cong \Gamma$, and ∂_3 is given by $\partial_3(\gamma) = \gamma.c_\phi$. If Q_ϕ is a PD_4 -complex with orientation character w then C_* is chain homotopy equivalent to C^{4-*} and $H_3(C_*) \cong E_w^1 \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore $\mathbb{Z} = H_0(C_*) \cong \text{Coker}(\partial_3^\dagger)$, and so there is an automorphism $\mu \in GL(r, \Gamma)$ such that $\partial_3^\dagger \mu = \partial_1 = \partial : \Gamma^r \rightarrow \Gamma$. Therefore $c_\phi = \alpha \delta_w(1)$, where $\alpha = u\mu^{\dagger^{-1}}$ for some unit $u \in \Gamma$. The converse is clear. \square

Let $S^1 \widetilde{\times} S^3$ be the nonorientable S^3 -bundle over S^1 .

Corollary A. *If Q_ϕ is orientable then $Q_\phi \simeq \sharp^r(S^1 \times S^3)$; otherwise $Q_\phi \simeq (S^1 \widetilde{\times} S^3) \sharp(\sharp^{r-1}(S^1 \times S^3))$.*

Proof. We may assume that $w(x_i) = 1$ for $2 \leq i \leq r$, as every automorphism of $F(r)$ may be realized by a basepoint-preserving self homotopy equivalence of Q_o . As these orientation characters are realized by the given 4-manifolds and as every automorphism of Γ^r may be realized by a self homotopy equivalence of Q_o which is the identity on the 1-skeleton $\vee^r S^1$, the result follows from the theorem. \square

This argument is simpler than the Postnikov argument used in [Hi95]. (The argument for this result in [CH94] appears to have a gap on page 242, since $\pi_3(\widetilde{Y}^*)$ is not finitely generated, and so $\pi_3(F^*) \neq 0$).

Corollary B. *If P_ϕ and P_ψ are PD_4 -complexes with the same 3-skeleton P_o and orientation character then $\psi \equiv \alpha\phi \pmod{\Gamma_W(\pi_2)}$ for some self homotopy equivalence $\alpha \in E(P_o)$.*

Proof. The boundary map $C_4 \rightarrow C_3$ in the cellular chain complex for \tilde{P}_ϕ is essentially determined by $\text{hwz}(\phi)$, the image of the attaching map, and this may be identified with $c\phi$. \square

The corresponding assertion in [HP02] does not take into account the role of self homotopy equivalences of P_o .

§4. Homotopy equivalences of pairs

We wish to determine how $P_\phi = P_o \cup_\phi D^4$ depends on the attaching map $\phi \in \pi_3(P_o)$ and when it is a PD_4 -complex. Let $j_\phi : P_o \rightarrow P_\phi$ be the inclusion. A homotopy equivalence $f : P_\phi \rightarrow P_\psi$ is *rel* P_o if $fj_\phi \simeq j_\psi$.

Theorem 4. *Suppose that P_ϕ and P_ψ are PD_4 -complexes. Then*

- (i) *there is a homotopy equivalence of pairs $(P_\phi, P_o) \simeq (P_\psi, P_o)$ if and only if there is a self homotopy equivalence $\alpha \in E(P_o)$ such that $\alpha\phi = \psi$;*
- (ii) *$P_\phi \simeq P_\psi$ rel P_o if and only if $\phi = \pm g.\psi$ for some $g \in \pi$.*

Proof. If $f : (P_\phi, P_o) \simeq (P_\psi, P_o)$ is a map of pairs then $f\phi$ is nullhomotopic in P_ψ . Thus $f\phi \in \text{Ker}(\pi_3(j_\psi)) = \langle \phi \rangle$, which is freely generated as a $\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(P_\psi)]$ -module by ψ , by the relative Hurewicz Theorem. If f is a homotopy equivalence of pairs with homotopy inverse f^{-1} we must also have $f^{-1}\psi \in \langle \phi \rangle$, and so $f\phi = u.\psi$ for some unit $u \in \mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(P_\psi)]^\times$. Since free groups are orderable their group rings have only trivial units and so $u = \pm g$ for some $g \in \pi_1(P_\psi)$. If α is the composite of $f|_{P_o}$ with a self homotopy equivalence of P_o which drags the basepoint around a loop representing g^{-1} then $\alpha\phi = \pm\psi$. We may adjust the sign by composition with a self homeomorphism of P_o which is the identity on the 2-skeleton and has degree -1 on each 3-sphere. Conversely, a self homotopy equivalence $\alpha \in E(P_o)$ induces a homotopy equivalence $(P_\phi, P_o) \simeq (P_{\alpha\phi}, P_o)$.

Since P_ϕ is a PD_4 -complex $H_3(P_\phi; \Gamma) \cong H^1(P_\phi; \Gamma) \cong E_w^1\mathbb{Z}$. (Note that if $r = 0$ then P_ϕ is orientable and $H_3(P_\phi; \Gamma) = 0$). If $f : P_\phi \rightarrow P_\psi$ is a map such that $fj_\phi \sim j_\psi$ then $H_3(f; \Gamma)$ is an epimorphism. Therefore if P_ϕ and P_ψ are each PD_4 -complexes they have the same orientation character

($f^*w_1(P_\psi) = w_1(P_\phi)$) and $H_3(f; \Gamma)$ is an isomorphism (since $E_w^1\mathbb{Z}$ is hopfian). Moreover $\langle \phi \rangle = \langle \psi \rangle$, so $\phi = \pm g.\psi$ for some $g \in \pi$, and there is a map $f' : P_\psi \rightarrow P_\phi$ such that $f'j_\psi \sim j_\phi$. Clearly $f'f \sim 1_{P_\phi}$ and $ff' \sim 1_{P_\psi} \text{ rel } P_o$. The converse is clear. (Here we may adjust the sign by composition with a degree -1 self homeomorphism of S^3). \square

If $f : P_\phi \rightarrow P_\psi$ is a homotopy equivalence, is it homotopic to a cellular map F such that $F|_{P_o}$ is a homotopy equivalence?

§5. Intersection pairings

If X is a 1-connected cell complex there is an exact sequence

$$H_4(X; \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{b_4} \Gamma_W(\pi_2(X)) \rightarrow \pi_3(X) \xrightarrow{\text{hwz}} H_3(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow 0,$$

where $A \mapsto \Gamma_W(A)$ is the universal quadratic functor of Whitehead and hwz is the Hurewicz homomorphism [Wh50]. (See Chapter 1 of [Ba] for a recent exposition of Whitehead's work, in particular, for a description of the "secondary boundary" b_4). The Whitehead sequence is functorial, and so the Whitehead sequence for \widetilde{P}_o is an exact sequence of Γ -modules. If X has dimension at most 3 the group of automorphisms of $\pi_3(X)$ which preserve the Whitehead exact sequence for \widetilde{X} and restrict to the identity on $\Gamma_W(\Pi)$ is a semidirect product $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi]}(H_3(X; \mathbb{Z}[\pi]), \Gamma_W(\Pi)) \rtimes \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi]}(H_3(X; \mathbb{Z}[\pi]))$.

We may use this observation to understand the action of $E_\pi(P_o)$ on $\pi_3(P_o)$. Let $V = \vee^r S^1 \vee (\vee^\beta S^2)$ be the 2-skeleton of P_o , $j_o : V \rightarrow P_o$ the inclusion and $d : P_o \rightarrow V$ be the retraction which collapses the 3-cells to the basepoint. Then $s = i_o c$ and $t = j_o d$ induce complementary projections on $\pi_3(P_o) = \text{Im}(s_*) \oplus \text{Im}(t_*) \cong \Gamma^r \oplus \Gamma_W(\Pi)$, splitting the Whitehead sequence for \widetilde{P}_o . Since $H_3(P_o; \Gamma)$ is free of rank r we have $\text{Hom}_\Gamma(H_3(P_o; \Gamma), \Gamma_W(\Pi)) \cong \Gamma_W(\Pi)^r$ and it is easily seen that $E_\pi(P_o)$ has a subgroup $\Gamma_W(\Pi)^r \rtimes (GL(r, \Gamma) \times GL(\beta, \Gamma))$ which acts on $\pi_3(P_o)$ via $(\xi, M, N).(\gamma, v) = (M(\gamma), \Gamma_W(N)(v) + \gamma.\xi)$ for all $(\gamma, v) \in \Gamma^r \oplus \Gamma_W(\Pi)$, and thus generates the action of $E_\pi(P_o)$ on $\pi_3(P_o)$. (It can be shown that $E_\pi(V) \cong E_\pi(P_2(P_o)) \cong GL(\beta, \Gamma)$ and $E_\pi(Q_o) \cong GL(r, \Gamma)$). If $\gamma = \delta_w(1)$ the orbits of the action correspond bijectively to the orbits of the induced action of $GL(\beta, \Gamma)$ on $\mathbb{Z}^w \otimes_\Gamma \Gamma_W(\Pi)$.

If $u, v \in \Pi^\dagger$ and $x \in \Pi$ then $[uv](x) = \overline{v(x)u(x)}$ is \mathbb{Z} -quadratic in x and w -hermitian in u and v (i.e., it is Γ -linear in u and $[vu](x) = \overline{[uv](x)}$ for all

$x \in \Pi$ and $u, v \in \Pi^\dagger$). Moreover $[uv](gx) = [uv](x)$ for all $g \in \pi$. Therefore this function determines a homomorphism B from $\mathbb{Z}^w \otimes_\Gamma \Gamma_W(\Pi)$ to the group of w -hermitian forms on $\Pi^\dagger \times \Pi^\dagger$.

If $H_4(P_\phi; \mathbb{Z}^w) = H_4(\mathbb{Z}^w \otimes_\Gamma C_*) \neq 0$ then it is infinite cyclic; fix a generator $[P_\phi]$. Cap product with $[P_\phi]$ defines a homomorphism from Π^\dagger to Π , and hence determines a cohomology intersection pairing $\lambda^\phi : \Pi^\dagger \times \Pi^\dagger \rightarrow \Gamma$, given by $\lambda^\phi(u, v) = v(u \cap [P_\phi])$ for all $u, v \in \Pi^\dagger$. This pairing is a w -hermitian form, by Proposition 4.58 of [Ra]. It is nonsingular if P_ϕ is a PD_4 -complex. In the latter case we may use duality to define the equivalent homology intersection pairing λ_ϕ .

Tensoring the Whitehead sequence for \widetilde{P}_ϕ with the bimodule \mathbb{Z}^w gives a homomorphism from $Tor_1^\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}^w, H_3)$ to $\mathbb{Z}^w \otimes_\Gamma \Gamma_W(\Pi)$, while tensoring C_* with \mathbb{Z}^w gives an isomorphism $H_4(P_\phi; \mathbb{Z}^w) \cong Tor_1^\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}^w, H_3)$. If P_ϕ is a PD_4 -complex then $(\varepsilon_w \otimes \text{hwz})(\phi) = 0$, by Theorem 3. Therefore $(\varepsilon_w \otimes 1)(\phi)$ is in the subgroup $\mathbb{Z}^w \otimes_\Gamma \Gamma_W(\Pi)$ of $\mathbb{Z}^w \otimes_\Gamma \pi_3(P_o)$, and the relative Hurwicz isomorphism $\pi_4(P_\phi, P_o) \cong H_4(P_\phi, P_o; \Gamma)$ may be used to identify this element with the image of $[P_\phi]$ here. (See page 12 of [Ba]).

Theorem 5. *Let $\phi \in \pi_3(P_o)$ and let $w : \pi \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$ be a homomorphism, and assume that $(\varepsilon_w \otimes \text{hwz})(\phi) = 0$. Then*

- (i) $H_4(P_\phi; \mathbb{Z}^w) \cong \mathbb{Z}^w$ and $B((\varepsilon_w \otimes 1)(\phi)) \cong \lambda^\phi$;
- (ii) $P_\phi = P_o \cup_\phi D^4$ is a PD_4 -complex with $w_1(P_\phi) = w$ if and only if $\text{hwz}(\phi) = \alpha \delta_w(1)$ in $H_3(P_o; \Gamma) \cong \Gamma^r$ for some automorphism $\alpha \in GL(r, \Gamma)$ and $B((\varepsilon_w \otimes 1)(\phi))$ is nonsingular;
- (iii) every nonsingular w -hermitian form on a finitely generated free Γ -module is the cohomology intersection pairing of some PD_4 -complex P with $\pi_1(P) \cong F(r)$ and $w_1(P) = w$;
- (iv) two such PD_4 -complexes P_ϕ and P_ψ are homotopy equivalent via a map $f : P_\phi \rightarrow P_\psi$ such that $f_*([P_\phi]) = [P_\psi]$ if and only if $\lambda^\phi \cong \lambda^\psi$;

Proof. The image of the connecting homomorphism from $H_4(P_\phi, P_o; \mathbb{Z}^w) \cong \mathbb{Z}^w$ to $H_3(P_o; \mathbb{Z}^w)$ in the exact sequence of the pair (P_ϕ, P_o) is generated by $(\varepsilon_w \otimes \text{hwz})(\phi)$, and so the inclusion of $H_4(P_\phi; \mathbb{Z}^w)$ into the relative group is an isomorphism if this element is 0.

Since $\pi_3(P_2(P_o)) = 0$ the secondary boundary homomorphism for $\widetilde{P}_2(P_o)$

induces an isomorphism $b_4 : H_4(P_2(P_o); \Gamma) \cong \Gamma_W(\Pi)$, and hence an isomorphism $b'_4 : H_4(P_2(P_o); \mathbb{Z}^w) \cong \mathbb{Z}^w \otimes_{\Gamma} \Gamma_W(\Pi)$. Every homology class in $H_4(P_2(P_o); \Gamma) \cong H_4(K(\Pi, 2); \mathbb{Z})$ is the image of a class in $H_4((CP^\infty)^n; \mathbb{Z})$ under some map $j : (CP^\infty)^n \rightarrow \widetilde{P}_2(P_o)$, for some $n > 0$, since Π is the union of its finitely generated free abelian subgroups and homology commutes with direct limits. Now $v(u \cap j_* h) = j^* v(j^* u \cap h)$ and $[uv](j_* x) = [j^* u j^* v](x)$ for all $x \in \Pi$, $u, v \in \Pi^\dagger$ and $h \in H_4((CP^\infty)^n; \mathbb{Z})$. Therefore to show that $B(\varepsilon_w \otimes 1)(\phi) \cong \lambda^\phi$ it shall suffice to verify that $B(b_4(h))(u, v) = v(u \cap h)$ for all h in a basis for $H_4((CP^\infty)^n; \mathbb{Z})$ and all $u, v \in H^2((CP^\infty)^n; \mathbb{Z})$. Since $H_4((CP^\infty)^n; \mathbb{Z})$ is generated by the images of such maps from CP^∞ or $(CP^\infty)^2$, it suffices to assume $n = 1$ or 2 . Since moreover Γ is torsion-free and $2(x \otimes y) = (x + y) \otimes (x + y) - x \otimes x - y \otimes y$ in $H_4((CP^\infty)^2; \mathbb{Z})$, for all $x, y \in \Pi \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$, we may reduce further to the case $n = 1$, which is easy.

If P_ϕ is a PD_4 -complex with $w_1(P_\phi) = w$ then $\text{hwz}(\phi) = \alpha \delta_w(1)$, by Theorem 3, and λ^ϕ is nonsingular, so the conditions in part (ii) are necessary. Suppose that they hold. Then Q_ϕ is a PD_4 -complex, by Theorem 3 and the assumption on $\text{hwz}(\phi)$. Cap product with $[P_\phi]$ induces an isomorphism $H^2 \cong H_2$, by the assumption on λ^ϕ , and induces isomorphisms $H^q \cong H_{4-q}$ in all other degrees, by comparison with Q_ϕ . (In fact $H^0 = H_4 = H^3 = H_1 = 0$, so it is only necessary to check that $\cap[P_\phi] : H^1 \rightarrow H_3$ is an isomorphism).

The final assertions follow from the fact that B induces a bijection from the $E_\pi(P_o)$ orbits in $\pi_3(P_o)$ via the $GL(\beta, \Gamma)$ orbits in $\mathbb{Z}^w \otimes_{\Gamma} \Gamma_W(\Pi)$ to the equivalence classes of Γ -sequilinear pairings on $\Pi^\dagger \times \Pi^\dagger$. \square

The notion of PD_4 -polarized Postnikov 2-stage from [HK88] is used in [CH94] to prove that $P_\phi \simeq P_\psi$ if and only if $\lambda_\phi \cong \pm \lambda_\psi$, for oriented closed 4-manifolds with $\beta \neq 0$. In [CH97] it is asserted that the image of $[P_\phi]$ in $\mathbb{Z}^w \otimes_{\Gamma} \pi_3(P_o)$ may be identified with the homology intersection pairing λ_ϕ , via Poincaré duality.

§6. 4-Manifolds

If $r = 0$ or 1 the fundamental group $\pi \cong F(r)$ is abelian, and so we may use topological surgery to classify 4-manifolds with fundamental group π .

Suppose first that $r = 0$. Then $\pi = 1$, $P_o \simeq \vee^\beta S^2$, $\pi_3(P_o) = \Gamma_W(\Pi)$ and $E_\pi(P_o) \cong GL(\beta, \mathbb{Z})$. The homomorphism B from $\Gamma_W(\Pi)$ to the set of

symmetric forms on Π^\dagger is an isomorphism which maps ϕ to λ^ϕ and the orbits of the natural action of $GL(\beta, \mathbb{Z})$ on $\Gamma_W(\Pi)$ correspond to the equivalence classes of such forms. Hence every nonsingular symmetric form over \mathbb{Z} is the intersection form of a 1-connected PD_4 -complex, which is well defined up to homotopy equivalence. Every such complex is homotopy equivalent to a closed 4-manifold, and two such manifolds are homeomorphic if and only if their intersection pairings are isomorphic and the KS smoothing obstructions agree. (If the intersection pairing is even the KS invariant is determined by the signature). See [FQ].

A similar result holds when $r = 1$ (i.e., $\pi \cong Z$). Every nonsingular hermitian form λ on a finitely generated free $\mathbb{Z}[Z]$ -module is the equivariant intersection form of some closed oriented 4-manifold with fundamental group Z , and two such manifolds are homeomorphic if and only if their intersection pairings are isomorphic and the KS invariants agree. (The KS invariant is again determined by the signature in the even-dimensional case). See Chapter 10 of [FQ] (as corrected in [SW00]). The classification is extended to the nonorientable cases in [Wa95]. Every such manifold is stably homeomorphic to the connected sum of $S^1 \times S^3$ or $S^1 \widetilde{\times} S^3$ with a 1-connected 4-manifold [HT97, Wa95]. (However stabilization is necessary, as there are intersection forms over $\mathbb{Z}[Z]$ which are not extended from symmetric forms over \mathbb{Z} [HT97]).

If $r > 1$ the most we can hope for at present is to obtain classifications up to s -cobordism or up to stabilization by connected sum with copies of $S^2 \times S^2$. Homotopy equivalences are simple, since $Wh(F(r)) = 0$ [St65]. It follows from Lemma V.7 of [Hi] (or Lemma 6.9 of [Hi']) that if M is a closed 4-manifold and $\pi_1(M)$ is a free group then homotopy equivalences $f_1 : M_1 \rightarrow M$ and $f_2 : M_2 \rightarrow M$ are s -cobordant if and only if they have the same normal invariants in $[M, G/TOP]$. The Hurewicz homomorphism from $\pi_2(M)$ to $H_2(M; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ is onto since $\pi_1(M)$ is free. Hence if moreover M is orientable and $w_2(\widetilde{M}) = 0$ every normal invariant with surgery obstruction 0 is realizable ([No64] - see Lemma V.6 of [Hi]), and so 4-manifolds homotopy equivalent to M are s -cobordant to M .

If M and N are h -cobordant closed 4-manifolds then $M \sharp (\sharp^k S^2 \times S^2)$ is homeomorphic to $N \sharp (\sharp^k S^2 \times S^2)$ for some $k \geq 0$. (See Chapter VII of [FQ]). In the spin case $w_2(M) = 0$ this is an elementary consequence of the existence

of a well-indexed handle decomposition of the h -cobordism. Moreover, the KS invariant of a TOP 4-manifold M is 0 if and only if $M \sharp (\sharp^k S^2 \times S^2)$ is smoothable for some $k \geq 0$ [LS71].

If a nonsingular hermitean form on a finitely generated free Γ -module is even then it is stably equivalent to one extended from the integers, since such forms may be equipped with a quadratic enhancement, and the inclusion of the trivial group induces an epimorphism of quadratic surgery groups : $L_4(1) \cong Z \rightarrow L_4(F(r), w) \cong Z$ or $Z/2Z$. In the odd case one needs to know the corresponding result for the Witt groups (symmetric surgery groups) $L^0(\pi)$ and that $T(\Gamma) = Z/2Z$, as in §3 of [HT97]. Thus every PD_4 -complex P_ϕ with free fundamental group and $w_2(\widetilde{P}_\phi) = 0$ is stably homotopy equivalent to a connected sum of Q_ϕ with a 1-connected manifold [CH94], [MK95]. In the remaining cases ($w_2(\widetilde{P}_\phi) \neq 0$) there are at most two s -cobordism classes in each homotopy type. Is every such complex itself homotopy equivalent to a closed 4-manifold?

References

- [Ba64] Bass, H. Projective modules over free group rings are free,
J. Algebra 1 (1964), 367-373.
- [Ba] Baues, H.J. *Combinatorial Homotopy and 4-Dimensional Complexes*,
W. de Gruyter, Berlin - New York (1991).
- [CH94] Cavicchioli, A. and Hegenbarth, F. On 4-manifolds with free fundamental
group, Forum Math. 6 (1994), 415-429.
- [CH97] Cavicchioli, A. and Hegenbarth, F. A note on four-manifolds with free
fundamental groups, J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo 4 (1997), 435-451.
- [FQ] Freedman, M. and Quinn, F. *Topology of 4-Manifolds*,
Princeton University Press, Princeton (1990).
- [HK88] Hambleton, I. and Kreck, M. On the classification of topological 4-manifolds
with finite fundamental group, Math. Ann. 280 (1988), 85-104.
- [HT97] Hambleton, I. and Teichner, P. A non-extended hermitian form over $\mathbb{Z}[Z]$,
Manus. Math. 93 (1997), 435-442.
- [HP02] Hegenbarth, F. and Piccarreta, S. On Poincaré four-complexes with free
fundamental groups, Hiroshima J. Math. 32 (2002), 145-154.
- [Hi] Hillman, J.A. *The Algebraic Characterization of Geometric 4-Manifolds*,
London Math. Soc. Lecture Series 198,
Cambridge University Press (1994).
- [Hi'] Hillman, J.A. *Four-Manifolds, Geometries and Knots*,
Geometry and Topology Monographs Vol.5,
Geometry and Topology Publications, Warwick (2002).
- [Hi95] Hillman, J.A. Free products and 4-dimensional connected sums,
Bull. London Math. Soc. 27 (1995), 387-391.
- [LS71] Lashof, R. and Shaneson, J.L. Smoothing 4-manifolds,
Inventiones Math. 14 (1971), 197-210
- [MK95] Matumoto, T. and Katanaga, A. On 4-dimensional closed manifolds with
free fundamental groups, Hiroshima J. Math. 25 (1995), 367-370.
- [No64] Novikov, S.P. Homotopically equivalent smooth manifolds,
Izv. AN SSSR 28 (1964), 365-474.
- [Ra] Ranicki, A.A. *Algebraic and Geometric Surgery*
Oxford Mathematical Monographs, Oxford University Press (2002).
- [St65] Stallings, J.R. Whitehead torsion of free products,
Ann. Math. 82 (1965), 354-363.

- [SW00] Stong, R. and Wang, Z. Self-homeomorphisms of 4-manifolds with fundamental group Z , *Topology Appl.* 106 (2000), 49-56.
- [Wa67] Wall, C.T.C. Poincaré complexes: I, *Ann. Math.* 86 (1967), 213-245.
- [Wa95] Wang, Z. Classification of closed non-orientable 4-manifolds with infinite cyclic fundamental group. *Math. Res. Letters* 2 (1995), 339-344.
- [Wh50] Whitehead, J.H.C. A certain exact sequence, *Ann. Math.* 52 (1950), 51-110.

School of Mathematics and Statistics,
The University of Sydney,
Sydney, NSW 2006,
AUSTRALIA

e-mail: jonh@maths.usyd.edu.au