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1. For any positive real number $x$, let $\langle x\rangle$ denote the fractional part of $x$, i.e. the unique element of $[0,1)$ such that $x-\langle x\rangle$ is an integer. If $N$ is a positive integer, the scale based on $x$ and $N$ is the set $\{0,\langle x\rangle,\langle 2 x\rangle, \cdots,\langle N x\rangle, 1\}$. This has at most $N+2$ distinct elements, possibly fewer. If we list the distinct elements of the scale in order, $0=s_{0}<s_{1}<\cdots<s_{k}=1$, the intervals in the scale are the differences $s_{1}-s_{0}, s_{2}-s_{1}, \cdots, s_{k}-s_{k-1}$. Prove that there are at most three different intervals.

Solution. The only way there could be fewer than $N+2$ elements in the scale is if $x$ is rational and can be written in lowest terms as $\frac{p}{q}$, with $1 \leq q \leq N$. In this case, it is clear that the scale based on $\frac{p}{q}$ and $N$ is $\left\{0, \frac{1}{q}, \frac{2}{q}, \cdots, \frac{q-1}{q}, 1\right\}$, and all the intervals equal $\frac{1}{q}$. In more detail: for all $0 \leq i \leq q-1$, we have $\left\langle m \frac{p}{q}\right\rangle=\frac{i}{q}$ whenever $m \equiv i p^{-1} \bmod q$, where $p^{-1}$ denotes the multiplicative inverse of $p$ in $\mathbb{Z} / q \mathbb{Z}$ (if $q=1, p^{-1}=0$ ). The set of values $0 \leq m \leq N$ which satisfy this congruence is of the form

$$
m_{i}, m_{i}+q, m_{i}+2 q, \cdots, m_{i}+\left\lfloor\frac{N-m_{i}}{q}\right\rfloor q=m_{i}^{\prime},
$$

where $m_{i}$ is the smallest nonnegative integer congruent to $i p^{-1} \bmod q$ and $m_{i}^{\prime}$ is the largest integer not exceeding $N$ satisfying the same congruence. Note that $m_{i} \leq q-1<N$ and $m_{i}^{\prime} \geq N-q+1$. (Of course $m_{0}=0$ and $m_{0}^{\prime}=\left\lfloor\frac{N}{q}\right\rfloor q$.)
Now if $x$ is not of the above form, we let $\frac{p}{q}$ be the largest number of the above form which is less than $x$, and write $x=\frac{p}{q}+\epsilon$. For each $0 \leq i \leq q-1$, we have a set of scale values

$$
\left\langle m_{i} x\right\rangle,\left\langle\left(m_{i}+q\right) x\right\rangle,\left\langle\left(m_{i}+2 q\right) x\right\rangle, \cdots,\left\langle m_{i}^{\prime} x\right\rangle
$$

corresponding to the values which were equal to $\frac{i}{q}$ in the $\frac{p}{q}$ scale. Our claim is, firstly, that these values equal

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{i}{q}+m_{i} \epsilon, \frac{i}{q}+\left(m_{i}+q\right) \epsilon, \frac{i}{q}+\left(m_{i}+2 q\right) \epsilon, \cdots, \frac{i}{q}+m_{i}^{\prime} \epsilon \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively, and, secondly, that the scale consists exactly of the concatenation of the 'subscales' (1) from $i=0$ to $i=q-1$ with no overlapping, followed by 1 . To see this, note that the claim is certainly true for $\epsilon$ sufficiently small; and as $\epsilon$ increases, the 'first time' it fails is when there is some coincidence of scale values. But such a coincidence means exactly that $\frac{p}{q}+\epsilon=\frac{p^{\prime}}{q^{\prime}}$ where $1 \leq q^{\prime} \leq N$, and our maximality assumption on $\frac{p}{q}$ ensures that we do not reach this point.
So the possible intervals are as follows: within each sub-scale (1), all intervals equal $q \epsilon$; and between the end of one sub-scale and (1 or) the beginning of the next, we have an interval

$$
\frac{1}{q}+\left(m_{i+1}-m_{i}^{\prime}\right) \epsilon
$$

where we set $m_{q}=0$ to cover the final interval also. But $m_{i+1}-m_{i}^{\prime} \equiv p^{-1} \bmod q$, and we have the bounds

$$
-N \leq m_{i+1}-m_{i}^{\prime} \leq q-1-(N-q+1)=-N+2 q-2
$$

Hence there are at most two possible values $m_{i+1}-m_{i}^{\prime}$ can take, and at most three possible intervals all told.
2. Find the volume of the region in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ defined by the inequalities

$$
|x|^{2 / 3}+|y|^{2 / 3} \leq 1,|x|^{2 / 3}+|z|^{2 / 3} \leq 1,|y|^{2 / 3}+|z|^{2 / 3} \leq 1 .
$$

Solution. Let $R_{\alpha}$ denote the region defined analogously but with $2 / 3$ replaced by a general positive exponent $\alpha$. It is clear that $R_{\alpha}$ contains the cube

$$
C_{\alpha}=\left\{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^{3}| | x\left|,|y|,|z| \leq 2^{-1 / \alpha}\right\} .\right.
$$

Moreover, if $(x, y, z) \in R_{\alpha} \backslash C_{\alpha}$, then exactly one of $|x|,|y|,|z|$ exceeds $2^{-1 / \alpha}$. So $R_{\alpha} \backslash C_{\alpha}$ is the disjoint union of six regions congruent to

$$
\left\{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^{3}\left|2^{-1 / \alpha}<x \leq 1,|y|,|z| \leq\left(1-x^{\alpha}\right)^{1 / \alpha}\right\} .\right.
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{vol}\left(R_{\alpha}\right) & =\operatorname{vol}\left(C_{\alpha}\right)+6 \int_{2^{-1 / \alpha}}^{1} 4\left(1-x^{\alpha}\right)^{2 / \alpha} \mathrm{d} x \\
& =2^{3-3 / \alpha}+\frac{24}{\alpha} \int_{1 / 2}^{1} u^{1 / \alpha-1}(1-u)^{2 / \alpha} \mathrm{d} u
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have made the substitution $x=u^{1 / \alpha}$ in the integral. In the case when $\alpha=2 / 3$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{vol}\left(R_{2 / 3}\right) & =2^{-3 / 2}+36 \int_{1 / 2}^{1} u^{1 / 2}(1-u)^{3} \mathrm{~d} u \\
& =\frac{\sqrt{2}}{4}+36\left[\frac{2}{3} u^{3 / 2}-\frac{6}{5} u^{5 / 2}+\frac{6}{7} u^{7 / 2}-\frac{2}{9} u^{9 / 2}\right]_{1 / 2}^{1} \\
& =\frac{128-71 \sqrt{2}}{35}
\end{aligned}
$$

3. Let $D$ be a regular dodecahedron with edges of length 1 . Find the shortest possible length of a path on the surface of $D$ starting at one vertex and finishing at the antipodal vertex.

Solution. (Sketch.) It is easy to see from a picture or model that the only paths which could feasibly be minimal are of two types: one type crossing four faces and one type crossing three. We can then unfold the relevant faces and picture them as regular pentagons in the plane; the minimal length paths are now straight lines. Recall that, the edges being of length 1 , the diagonals of the pentagons are of length $\tau=\frac{\sqrt{5}+1}{2}$. The first kind of path is part of a triangle whose other sides are $2 \tau$ and 1 , with opposite angle $\frac{4 \pi}{5}$; thus by the cosine rule its square is

$$
4 \tau^{2}+1-4 \tau \cos \frac{4 \pi}{5}=6 \tau+7 \approx 16 \cdot 7
$$

The other kind of path is part of a triangle whose other sides are $\tau+1$ and $\tau$, with opposite angle $\frac{4 \pi}{5}$; thus its square is

$$
(\tau+1)^{2}+\tau^{2}-2 \tau(\tau+1) \cos \frac{4 \pi}{5}=7 \tau+5 \approx 16 \cdot 3
$$

So the second kind of path is shorter, and the answer is $\sqrt{7 \tau+5}=\sqrt{\frac{7 \sqrt{5}+17}{2}}$.
4. In this problem, 'number' means positive integer. Suppose we consider two numbers to be essentially equal (written $\approx$ ) if they become the same when all zeroes are deleted from their decimal expression (for instance, $1023 \approx 120030$ ). For consistency with multiplication, we had better extend the notion of essential equality so that

$$
a \approx b \Longleftrightarrow a \times c \approx b \times c, \text { for any numbers } a, b, c .
$$

(For instance, the fact that $2 \times 6=12 \approx 102=17 \times 6$ implies that $2 \approx 17$.) Of course, we also stipulate that $a \approx b$ and $b \approx c$ together imply $a \approx c$. Show that for any number $a$, there is another number $b$ such that $a \times b \approx 1$.

Solution. Consider the numbers $1,11,111$, etc. Since there are only finitely many congruence classes modulo $a$, two of these numbers must be congruent; in other words, $a$ has a multiple of the form $11 \cdots 100 \cdots 0$. We will show that any number of the latter form is essentially equal to 1 ; obviously we can forget about the string of zeroes.
We first prove by ad hoc methods that various other numbers are essentially equal to 1 . From $15 \times 7=105 \approx 15$ we see that $7 \approx 1$. Then from $11 \times 13 \approx 7 \times 11 \times 13=1001 \approx 11$ we see that $13 \approx 1$. From $2 \approx 2 \times 7=14 \approx 104=8 \times 13$ we see that $4 \approx 1$. But also $18 \times 6=108 \approx 18$, so $6 \approx 1$. Thus $6 \approx 4$, so $3 \approx 2$ and $9=3 \times 3 \approx 2 \times 3 \approx 1$. Similarly from $10 \approx 1 \approx 4$ we get $5 \approx 2$ and $25 \approx 1$. Now $5 \times 5=25 \approx 205=5 \times 41$, so $41 \approx 5 \approx 2$; also $4 \times 23=92 \approx 902=2 \times 41 \times 11 \approx 4 \times 11$, so $23 \approx 11$. But also $23 \approx 9 \times 23=207 \approx 27 \approx 3 \approx 2$, so $11 \approx 2$. From $9 \approx 81 \approx 801=9 \times 89$ we get $89 \approx 1$, whence $2 \approx 2 \times 89=178 \approx 1078=2 \times 11 \times 49 \approx 4 \times 49 \approx 1$. This means that every number mentioned in this paragraph is essentially equal to 1 .
We now note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
11 \cdots 1 & \approx 11 \cdots 1 \times 2 \times 41=911 \cdots 102 \\
& \approx 911 \cdots 12 \times 9=8200 \cdots 08 \\
& \approx 828=4 \times 207 \approx 1
\end{aligned}
$$

as required. It seems plausible that in fact all numbers are essentially equal to 1 .
5. Let $n$ be a positive integer. Show that the average of the numbers $\left(\tan \frac{\pi}{2 n+1}\right)^{2}$, $\left(\tan \frac{2 \pi}{2 n+1}\right)^{2}, \cdots$, $\left(\tan \frac{n \pi}{2 n+1}\right)^{2}$ equals their product.
Solution. We will in fact prove an equality of polynomials:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(x+\left(\tan \frac{\pi}{2 n+1}\right)^{2}\right)\left(x+\left(\tan \frac{2 \pi}{2 n+1}\right)^{2}\right) \cdots\left(x+\left(\tan \frac{n \pi}{2 n+1}\right)^{2}\right)=\sum_{j=0}^{n}\binom{2 n+1}{2 j} x^{n-j} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

From this equality it follows that the sum of the numbers in the question is $\binom{2 n+1}{2}=n(2 n+1)$ (so their average is $2 n+1$ ), and their product is $\binom{2 n+1}{2 n}=2 n+1$ also. To prove (2), let $P(x)$ denote the right-hand side. Now $P(x)$ is certainly a monic polynomial of degree $n$, and
the factors on the left-hand side are all different because $\tan$ is increasing on $\left(0, \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$. So it suffices to show, for each $1 \leq k \leq n$, that $P\left(-\left(\tan \frac{k \pi}{2 n+1}\right)^{2}\right)=0$. But if we think in terms of polynomials with complex coefficients,

$$
P\left(-x^{2}\right)=\sum_{j=0}^{n}\binom{2 n+1}{2 j}(i x)^{2 n-2 j}=\frac{1}{2 i x}\left((1+i x)^{2 n+1}-(1-i x)^{2 n+1}\right) .
$$

So it suffices to show that $\left(1+i \tan \frac{k \pi}{2 n+1}\right)^{2 n+1}=\left(1-i \tan \frac{k \pi}{2 n+1}\right)^{2 n+1}$. This holds because

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1+i \tan \frac{k \pi}{2 n+1}}{1-i \tan \frac{k \pi}{2 n+1}} & =\frac{1-\left(\tan \frac{k \pi}{2 n+1}\right)^{2}+2 i \tan \frac{k \pi}{2 n+1}}{1+\left(\tan \frac{k \pi}{2 n+1}\right)^{2}} \\
& =\cos ^{2} \frac{k \pi}{2 n+1}-\sin ^{2} \frac{k \pi}{2 n+1}+2 i \sin \frac{k \pi}{2 n+1} \cos \frac{k \pi}{2 n+1} \\
& =\cos \frac{2 k \pi}{2 n+1}+i \sin \frac{2 k \pi}{2 n+1},
\end{aligned}
$$

which is one of the $(2 n+1)$ th complex roots of 1 .
6. Fix positive integers $n, k$ such that $k \leq n-1$. A permutation $a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n}$ of the numbers $1,2, \cdots, n$ is called a $k$-shuffle if $1,2, \cdots, k$ occur in the correct order and $k+1, k+2, \cdots, n$ occur in the correct order. For example, the 2 -shuffles of $1,2,3,4$ are those permutations where 1 precedes 2 and 3 precedes 4 , namely (omitting the commas) 1234, 1324, 1342, 3124, 3142, and 3412 . For any distinct complex numbers $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}$, show that

$$
\sum_{\substack{a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n} \\ \mathrm{a} k \text {-shuffe }}} \frac{1}{\left(x_{a_{1}}-x_{a_{2}}\right)\left(x_{a_{2}}-x_{a_{3}}\right) \cdots\left(x_{a_{n-1}}-x_{a_{n}}\right)}=0
$$

Solution. Let $S_{k}(n)$ be the set of all $k$-shuffles of $1, \cdots, n$. Clearly any $k$-shuffle must end either with $k$ or with $n$; let $S_{k}(n)^{\prime}$ and $S_{k}(n)^{\prime \prime}$ be the sets of $k$-shuffles of these two kinds. It suffices to show that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\substack{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n} \\
\in S_{k}(n)^{\prime}}}\left(x_{a_{1}}-x_{a_{2}}\right)^{-1} \cdots\left(x_{a_{n-1}}-x_{a_{n}}\right)^{-1} \\
& \quad=\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right)^{-1} \cdots\left(x_{k-1}-x_{k}\right)^{-1}\left(x_{k+1}-x_{k+2}\right)^{-1} \cdots\left(x_{n-1}-x_{n}\right)^{-1}\left(x_{n}-x_{k}\right)^{-1}, \\
& \sum_{\substack{\left.a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n} \\
\text { SS } \\
\text { Sk } \\
n \\
n\right)^{\prime \prime}}}\left(x_{a_{1}}-x_{a_{2}}\right)^{-1} \cdots\left(x_{a_{n-1}}-x_{a_{n}}\right)^{-1} \\
& \quad=\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right)^{-1} \cdots\left(x_{k-1}-x_{k}\right)^{-1}\left(x_{k+1}-x_{k+2}\right)^{-1} \cdots\left(x_{n-1}-x_{n}\right)^{-1}\left(x_{k}-x_{n}\right)^{-1},
\end{aligned}
$$

since the sum of the right-hand sides is clearly zero. We prove these equations by induction on $n$ (they are trivial when $n=2$ ). The two equations are related simply by replacing $k$ by $n-k$ and swapping $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{k}$ and $x_{k+1}, \cdots, x_{n}$, so it suffices to prove the second one. If $k=n-1$, then the only element of $S_{k}(n)^{\prime \prime}$ is the trivial permutation, and the claim is obvious. Otherwise, $a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n}$ is in $S_{k}(n)^{\prime \prime}$ if and only if $a_{n}=n$ and $a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n-1}$ is in $S_{k}(n-1)$. Hence by the
induction hypothesis,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\substack{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n} \\
\epsilon S_{k}(n)^{\prime \prime}}}\left(x_{a_{1}}-x_{a_{2}}\right)^{-1} \cdots\left(x_{a_{n-1}}-x_{a_{n}}\right)^{-1} \\
& =\sum_{\substack{a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n-1} \\
\in \in h_{k}(n-1)^{\prime}}}\left(x_{a_{1}}-x_{a_{2}}\right)^{-1} \cdots\left(x_{a_{n-2}}-x_{a_{n-1}}\right)^{-1}\left(x_{k}-x_{n}\right)^{-1} \\
& \quad+\sum_{\substack{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n-1} \\
\epsilon S_{k}(n-1)^{\prime \prime}}}\left(x_{a_{1}}-x_{a_{2}}\right)^{-1} \cdots\left(x_{a_{n-2}}-x_{a_{n-1}}\right)^{-1}\left(x_{n-1}-x_{n}\right)^{-1} \\
& =\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right)^{-1} \cdots\left(x_{k-1}-x_{k}\right)^{-1}\left(x_{k+1}-x_{k+2}\right)^{-1} \cdots\left(x_{n-2}-x_{n-1}\right)^{-1}\left(x_{n-1}-x_{k}\right)^{-1}\left(x_{k}-x_{n}\right)^{-1} \\
& \quad+\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right)^{-1} \cdots\left(x_{k-1}-x_{k}\right)^{-1}\left(x_{k+1}-x_{k+2}\right)^{-1} \cdots\left(x_{n-2}-x_{n-1}\right)^{-1}\left(x_{k}-x_{n-1}\right)^{-1}\left(x_{n-1}-x_{n}\right)^{-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The desired expression now follows from the identity

$$
\left(x_{n-1}-x_{k}\right)^{-1}\left(x_{k}-x_{n}\right)^{-1}+\left(x_{k}-x_{n-1}\right)^{-1}\left(x_{n-1}-x_{n}\right)^{-1}=\left(x_{n-1}-x_{n}\right)^{-1}\left(x_{k}-x_{n}\right)^{-1} .
$$

7. Suppose we have $m$ white balls and $n$ black balls, indistinguishable apart from their colour. We put them in a bag to hide the colour, and then draw out $b$ of the $m+n$ balls, chosen at random. For any $a$, let $P(a ; b, m, n)$ denote the probability that at least $a$ of these $b$ balls are white. On the assumption that $a$ and $b$ are nonnegative integers satisfying $0 \leq b \leq m+n, 0 \leq a \leq m$, and $0 \leq b-a \leq n$, prove that

$$
P(a+1 ; b, m, n)<P(a+1 ; b+1, m+1, n+1)<P(a ; b, m, n)
$$

Solution. This result is proved in the paper 'On the comparison of two observed frequencies' by M. Phipps and E. Seneta, Biometrical Journal 43 (2001), no. 1, pp. 23-43.
8. Let $A$ be the set of rational numbers $r$ such that $0<r<1$. It is well known that $A$ is countable, i.e. the elements of $A$ can be listed $r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}, \cdots$ so that every element appears exactly once on the list. Given such a listing, we define a function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$
f(x)=\sum_{\substack{n \geq 1 \\ r_{n} \leq x}} 2^{-n} .
$$

a) Show that there exists a listing of $A$ for which the corresponding function $f$ takes no rational values other than 0 and 1 .
b) Show that there exists a listing of $A$ for which $f$ takes infinitely many rational values.

## Solution.

a) Express all the elements of $A$ as fractions in lowest terms, and then list them by order of their denominators, and by order of numerators within ones with the same denominator:

$$
\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}, \frac{1}{5}, \frac{2}{5}, \frac{3}{5}, \frac{4}{5}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{5}{6}, \frac{1}{7}, \frac{2}{7}, \frac{3}{7}, \frac{4}{7}, \frac{5}{7}, \frac{6}{7}, \cdots
$$

Note that there are $\phi(q)$ numbers on this list with denominator $q$. Let $f$ be the corresponding function. It is obvious that $f(x)=0$ for $x \leq 0$, and $f(x)=\sum_{n \geq 1} 2^{-n}=1$ for
$x \geq 1$. So assume $0<x<1$, and suppose for a contradiction that $f(x)$ is rational. Then the infinite binary expansion of $f(x)$ has some initial segment and then a repeating block of length $N$ (if $f(x)=\frac{p}{2^{k} l}$ where $\operatorname{gcd}(l, 2 p)=1, N$ is the multiplicative order of 2 in $\mathbb{Z} / l \mathbb{Z})$. But by definition of $f(x)$, the $n$th bit after the 'binary point' is 1 if $r_{n} \leq x$ and 0 otherwise. Thus for our listing, the first $\phi(2)=1$ bit determines whether $\frac{1}{2} \leq x$; the next $\phi(3)=2$ bits determine whether $\frac{1}{3} \leq x$ and $\frac{2}{3} \leq x$, and so on. Clearly if $q$ is prime, the corresponding $q-1$ bits consist of $\lfloor q x\rfloor$ ones followed by $q-1-\lfloor q x\rfloor$ zeroes. When $q$ is sufficiently large both these numbers exceed $N$, contradicting the supposed periodicity.
b) Let $a_{1}>a_{2}>a_{3}>\cdots$ be any infinite decreasing sequence of irrational numbers in the interval $(0,1)$ whose limit is 0 . Then $A$ is the disjoint union $A_{1} \cup A_{2} \cup A_{3} \cup \cdots$, where $A_{1}=A \cap\left(a_{1}, 1\right)$ and $A_{j}=A \cap\left(a_{j}, a_{j-1}\right)$ for all $j \geq 2$. Also $\mathbb{Z}^{+}$is the disjoint union $N_{1} \cup N_{2} \cup N_{3} \cup \cdots$, where $N_{j}=\left\{n \in \mathbb{Z}^{+} \mid n \equiv 2^{j-1} \bmod 2^{j}\right\}$. It is clear that each set $A_{j}$ and $N_{j}$ is countably infinite. Hence we can define bijections $q_{j}: N_{j} \rightarrow A_{j}$ and put them together to define a bijection $q: \mathbb{Z}^{+} \rightarrow A$ (that is, a listing as in the problem). If $f$ is the corresponding function, then for all integers $k \geq 1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
f\left(a_{k}\right) & =\sum_{\substack{n \geq 1 \\
q(n) \in A_{k+1} \cup A_{k+2} \cup \ldots}} 2^{-n} \\
& =\sum_{n \geq 1}^{n \in N_{k+1} \cup N_{k+2} \cup \ldots} 2^{-n} \\
& =\sum_{n \in 2^{k} \mathbb{Z}^{+}} 2^{-n} \\
& =\frac{1}{2^{2^{k}}-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

So the numbers $f\left(a_{k}\right)$ constitute the required set of infinitely many rational values of $f$.
9. Fix a positive integer $n$ and let $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}$ be indeterminates. For any permutation $a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n}$ of $1, \cdots, n$, define a polynomial in $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}$ :
$\Pi_{a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n}}=\left(x_{a_{1}}-x_{a_{2}}\right)\left(x_{a_{1}}+x_{a_{2}}-x_{a_{3}}\right)\left(x_{a_{1}}+x_{a_{2}}+x_{a_{3}}-x_{a_{4}}\right) \cdots\left(x_{a_{1}}+x_{a_{2}}+\cdots+x_{a_{n-1}}-x_{a_{n}}\right)$.
Prove that each of these polynomials is a linear combination, with integer coefficients, of the polynomials attached to permutations where $a_{1}=1$.
Solution. We prove this by induction on $n$, it being trivial when $n=1$. First suppose that $1=a_{j}$ for $1 \leq j \leq n-1$. In this case we observe that

$$
\Pi_{a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n}}=\Pi_{a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n-1}}\left(x_{a_{1}}+x_{a_{2}}+\cdots+x_{a_{n-1}}-x_{a_{n}}\right) .
$$

By the result for $n-1$ applied to the indeterminates $x_{1}, x_{a_{1}}, \cdots, \widehat{x_{a_{j}}}, \cdots, x_{a_{n-1}}$, the polynomial $\Pi_{a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n-1}}$ is an integral linear combination of polynomials $\Pi_{1, b_{2}, \cdots, b_{n-1}}$ where $b_{2}, \cdots, b_{n-1}$ is a permutation of $\left\{a_{i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n-1, i \neq j\right\}$. For such polynomials we have

$$
\Pi_{1, b_{2}, \cdots, b_{n-1}}\left(x_{a_{1}}+x_{a_{2}}+\cdots+x_{a_{n-1}}-x_{a_{n}}\right)=\Pi_{1, b_{2}, \cdots, b_{n-1}, a_{n}},
$$

so this gives the required linear combination. So we need only handle the case where $1=a_{n}$; by symmetry, it will suffice to show that $\Pi_{2,3, \cdots, n, 1}$ is an integral linear combination of polynomials $\Pi_{1, b_{2}, \cdots, b_{n}}$. This is obvious if $n=2$, so assume $n \geq 3$. Now

$$
\Pi_{2,3, \cdots, n, 1}=\left.\left(x_{2}-x_{3}\right) \Pi_{2,4, \cdots, n, 1}\right|_{x_{2} \mapsto x_{2}+x_{3}},
$$

where on the right-hand side we have a polynomial in the indeterminates $x_{2}, x_{4}, \cdots, x_{n}, x_{1}$, but with $x_{2}+x_{3}$ substituted for $x_{2}$. By the result for $n-1$ again, $\Pi_{2,4, \cdots, n, 1}$ is an integral linear combination of polynomials $\Pi_{1, c_{2}, \cdots, c_{n-1}}$ where $\left\{c_{2}, \cdots, c_{n-1}\right\}=\{2,4, \cdots, n\}$, and $2=c_{k}$ say. Now let $X$ be the product of all the factors in such a polynomial except $x_{1}+x_{c_{2}}+\cdots+x_{c_{k-1}}-x_{2}$, and let $Y$ denote $x_{1}+x_{c_{2}}+\cdots+x_{c_{k-1}}$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.\left(x_{2}-x_{3}\right) \Pi_{1, c_{2}, \cdots, c_{n-1}}\right|_{x_{2} \mapsto x_{2}+x_{3}}= & \left.X\right|_{x_{2 \mapsto} \mapsto x_{2}+x_{3}}\left(x_{2}-x_{3}\right)\left(Y-x_{2}-x_{3}\right) \\
= & \left.X\right|_{x_{2} \mapsto x_{2}+x_{3}}\left(Y-x_{2}\right)\left(Y+x_{2}-x_{3}\right) \\
& -\left.X\right|_{x_{2} \mapsto x_{2}+x_{3}}\left(Y-x_{3}\right)\left(Y+x_{3}-x_{2}\right) \\
= & \Pi_{1, c_{2}, \cdots, c_{k-1}, 2,3, c_{k+1}, \cdots, c_{n-1}}-\Pi_{1, c_{2}, \cdots, c_{k-1}, 3,2, c_{k+1}, \cdots, c_{n-1}},
\end{aligned}
$$

which is of the required form.
10. Fix an integer $n \geq 2$. Determine for which real numbers $c$ the following polynomial has $n$ real roots (counting multiplicities):

$$
x^{n}+c x^{n-1}+\binom{c}{2} x^{n-2}+\binom{c}{3} x^{n-3}+\cdots+\binom{c}{n}
$$

where $\binom{c}{s}$ means $\frac{c(c-1)(c-2) \cdots(c-s+1)}{s!}$.
Solution. We will show that the set of $c$ satisfying this condition is as follows: if $n=2$, it is the interval $[0,2]$; if $n=3$, it is the union $\{0\} \cup[1,2] \cup\{3\}$; if $n \geq 4$, it is the finite set $\{0,1, \cdots, n\}$.
In the $n=2$ case, we just need to establish for which $c$ the quadratic $x^{2}+c x+\frac{c(c-1)}{2}$ has real roots. The discriminant is $c^{2}-2\left(c^{2}-c\right)=c(2-c)$, which is nonnegative precisely when $c \in[0,2]$. From now on we assume $n \geq 3$. Denote the polynomial in question by $f_{c}$.
Firstly, note that if $c \in\{0,1, \cdots, n\}$, then $f_{c}(x)=x^{n-c}(x+1)^{c}$, so these values of $c$ definitely work. So assume that $c \notin\{0,1, \cdots, n\}$. The key observation is the following equation of polynomials:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(n x-c+n) f_{c}(x)=x(x+1) f_{c}^{\prime}(x)-\frac{c(c-1) \cdots(c-n)}{n!} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

One way to prove this is by comparing $x^{-n} f_{c}(x)$ with the power series expansion of $\left(1+x^{-1}\right)^{c}$ in the variable $x^{-1}$. More directly, we can simply find the coefficients of the powers of $x$ on both sides of (3). Both sides have leading term $n x^{n+1}$. The constant term on the left-hand side is exactly the second term on the right-hand side, so constant terms also match. For $0 \leq s \leq n-1$, the coefficient of $x^{n-s}$ on the left-hand side is

$$
n\binom{c}{s+1}+(-c+n)\binom{c}{s}=\frac{1}{s+1}\binom{c}{s}[n(c-s)+(-c+n)(s+1)]
$$

while the coefficient of $x^{n-s}$ on the right-hand side is

$$
(n-s-1)\binom{c}{s+1}+(n-s)\binom{c}{s}=\frac{1}{s+1}\binom{c}{s}[(n-s-1)(c-s)+(n-s)(s+1)] .
$$

These are clearly the same.
Since we have assumed the constant term on the right-hand side of (3) is nonzero, we see instantly that $f_{c}$ and $f_{c}^{\prime}$ have no common root, i.e. $f_{c}$ has no repeated root. By elementary
calculus, if $x_{1}>x_{2}>\cdots>x_{k}$ are the real roots of $f_{c}$, we must have $f_{c}^{\prime}\left(x_{1}\right)>0, f_{c}^{\prime}\left(x_{2}\right)<0$, $f_{c}^{\prime}\left(x_{3}\right)>0$, and so on. But (3) obviously implies that 0 and -1 are not roots of $f_{c}$, and within each interval $(-\infty,-1),(-1,0),(0, \infty)$, the sign of $f_{c}^{\prime}(x)$ is the same for all roots. We conclude that $f_{c}$ has at most 3 roots (counting multiplicities). So for $n \geq 4$, we do not get any values of $c$ outside $\{0,1, \cdots, n\}$.
If $n=3$ and $c \notin\{0,1,2,3\}$ is such that $f_{c}$ does have 3 real roots, then by the above reasoning there must be one in each of the intervals $(-\infty,-1),(-1,0)$, and $(0, \infty)$, and moreover $f_{c}^{\prime}\left(x_{1}\right)>0$ where $x_{1}$ is the root in $(0, \infty)$. Equation (3) then implies that $c(c-1)(c-2)(c-3)>$ 0 . Moreover, $f_{c}^{\prime}(x)=3 x^{2}+2 c x+\frac{c(c-1)}{2}$ must have two real roots, so its discriminant $2 c(3-c)$ is positive. We conclude that $1<c<2$. Conversely, assume $1<c<2$, and let $u<v$ be the roots of $f_{c}^{\prime}$; we have

$$
f_{c}^{\prime}\left(\frac{c}{3}-1\right)=3\left(\frac{c}{3}-1\right)^{2}+2 c\left(\frac{c}{3}-1\right)+\frac{c(c-1)}{2}=\frac{3}{2}(c-1)(c-2)<0,
$$

so $u<\frac{c}{3}-1<v$. Substituting $x=u$ in (3), we see that $(3 u-c+3) f_{c}(u)<0$, which means that $f_{c}(u)>0$; similarly, $f_{c}(v)<0$. Hence $f_{c}$ has three real roots.

