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#### Abstract

Cramér-type large deviations for means of samples from a £nite population are established under weak conditions. The results are comparable to results for the so-called self-nomalized large deviation for independent random variables. Cramér-type large deviations for £nite population Student $t$-statistic are also investigated.
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## 1 Introduction and results

Let $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}$ be a simple random sample drawn without replacement from a $£$ nite population $\{a\}_{N}=\left\{a_{1}, \cdots, a_{N}\right\}$, where $n<N$. Denote $\mu=E X_{1}, \sigma^{2}=\operatorname{var}\left(X_{1}\right)$,

$$
S_{n}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} X_{k}, \quad p=n / N, \quad q=1-p, \quad \omega_{N}^{2}=N p q .
$$

Under appropriate conditions, the $£$ nite central limit theorem [see Erdös and Rényi (1959)] states that $P\left(S_{n}-n \mu \geq x \sigma \omega_{N}\right)$ may be approximated by $1-\Phi(x)$, where $\Phi(x)$ is the distribution function of a standard normal variate. The absolute error of this normal approximation, via Berry-Esseen bounds and Edgeworth expansions, has been widely investigated in the literature. We only refer to Bikelis (1969) and Höglund (1978) for the rates in the Erdös and Rényi central limit theorem; Robinson (1978), Bickel and van Zwet (1978), Babu and Bai (1996) as well as Bloznelis (2000a, b) for the Edgeworth expansions. Extensions to $U$-statistics and, more
generally, symmetric statistics can be found in Nandi and Sen (1963), Zhao and Chen (1987, 1990), Kokic and Weber (1990) as well as Bloznelis and Götze (2000, 2001).

In this paper we shall be concerned with the relative error of $P\left(S_{n}-n \mu \geq x \sigma \omega_{N}\right)$ to $1-\Phi(x)$. In this direction, Robinson (1977) derived a large deviation result that is similar to the type for sums of independent random variables in Petrov (1975, Chapter VIII). However, to make the main results in Robinson (1977) applicable, it essentially requires the assumption that $0<p_{1} \leq p \leq p_{2}<1$. This kind of condition not only takes away a major diffculty in proving large deviation results but also limits its potential applications. The aim of this paper is to establish a Cramér-type large deviation for samples from a $£$ nite population under weak conditions. In a reasonably wide range for $x$, we show that the relative error of $P\left(S_{n}-n \mu \geq\right.$ $\left.x \sigma \omega_{N}\right)$ to $1-\Phi(x)$ is only related to $E\left|X_{1}-\mu\right|^{3} / \sigma^{3}$ with an absolute constant. We also obtain a similar result for the so-called £nite population Student $t$-statistic de£ned by

$$
t_{n}=\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}-\mu) /(\hat{\sigma} \sqrt{q}),
$$

where $\bar{X}=S_{n} / n$ and $\hat{\sigma}^{2}=\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(X_{j}-\bar{X}\right)^{2} /(n-1)$. It is interesting to note that the results for both £nite population standardized mean and Student $t$-statistic are comparable to the so-called self-nomalized large deviation for independent random variables, which has been recently developed by Jing, Shao and Wang (2003). Indeed, Theorems 1.1 and 1.3 below can be considered as analogous to Theorem 2.1 by Jing, Shao and Wang (2003) in the independent case. The Berry-Esseen bounds and Edgeworth expansions for the Student $t$-statistic have been investigated in Babu and Singh (1985), Rao and Zhao (1994) and Bloznelis (1999, 2003).

We now state our main £ndings.
Theorem 1.1. There is an absolute constant $A>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exp \left\{-A(1+x)^{3} \beta_{3 N} / \omega_{N}\right\} \leq \frac{P\left(S_{n}-n \mu \geq x \sigma \omega_{N}\right)}{1-\Phi(x)} \leq \exp \left\{A(1+x)^{3} \beta_{3 N} / \omega_{N}\right\} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $0 \leq x \leq(1 / A) \omega_{N} \sigma / \max _{k}\left|a_{k}-\mu\right|$, where $\beta_{3 N}=\sigma^{-3} E\left|X_{1}-\mu\right|^{3}$.
The following result is a direct consequence of Theorem 1.1, and provides a Cramér-type large deviation result for samples from a £nite population.

Theorem 1.2. There exists an absolute constant $A>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{P\left(S_{n}-n \mu \geq x \sigma \omega_{N}\right)}{1-\Phi(x)}=1+O(1)(1+x)^{3} \beta_{3 N} / \omega_{N} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{P\left(S_{n}-n \mu \leq-x \sigma \omega_{N}\right)}{\Phi(-x)}=1+O(1)(1+x)^{3} \beta_{3 N} / \omega_{N} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $0 \leq x \leq(1 / A) \min \left\{\omega_{N} \sigma / \max _{k}\left|a_{k}-\mu\right|,\left(\omega_{N} / \beta_{3 N}\right)^{1 / 3}\right\}$, where $O(1)$ is bounded by an absolute constant. In particular, if $\omega_{N} / \beta_{3 N} \rightarrow \infty$, then, for any $0<\eta_{N} \rightarrow 0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{P\left(S_{n}-n \mu \geq x \sigma \omega_{N}\right)}{1-\Phi(x)} \rightarrow 1, \quad \frac{P\left(S_{n}-n \mu \leq-x \sigma \omega_{N}\right)}{\Phi(-x)} \rightarrow 1, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

uniformly in $0 \leq x \leq \eta_{N} \min \left\{\omega_{N} \sigma / \max _{k}\left|a_{k}-\mu\right|,\left(\omega_{N} / \beta_{3 N}\right)^{1 / 3}\right\}$.
Results (2) and (3) are useful because they provide not only the relative error but also a Berry-Esseen rate of convergence. Indeed, by the fact that $1-\Phi(x) \leq 2 e^{-x^{2} / 2} /(1+x)$ for $x \geq 0$, we may obtain

$$
\left|P\left(S_{n}-n \mu \leq x \sigma \omega_{N}\right)-\Phi(x)\right| \leq A(1+|x|)^{2} e^{-x^{2} / 2} \beta_{3 N} / \omega_{N},
$$

for $|x| \leq(1 / A) \min \left\{\omega_{N} \sigma / \max _{k}\left|a_{k}-\mu\right|,\left(\omega_{N} / \beta_{3 N}\right)^{1 / 3}\right\}$. This provides an exponential nonuniform Berry-Esseen bound for samples from a $£$ nite population.

Remark 1.1. We do not have any restriction on the $\{a\}_{N}$ in Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. Indeed, for any $\{a\}_{N}$,

$$
\mu=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} a_{k}, \quad \sigma^{2}=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N}\left(a_{k}-\mu\right)^{2}, \quad E\left|X_{1}-\mu\right|^{3}=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N}\left|a_{k}-\mu\right|^{3} .
$$

Removing the trivial case that all $a_{k}$ are the same, we always have $\max _{k}\left|a_{k}-\mu\right|>0, \sigma^{2}>0$ and $E\left|X_{1}-\mu\right|^{3}<\infty$.

Remark 1.2. Hájek (1960) proved that if $0<p_{1} \leq p \leq p_{2}<1$, then $\left(S_{n}-n \mu\right) / \sigma \omega_{N} \rightarrow_{\mathcal{D}}$ $N(0,1)$ if and only if $\omega_{N} \sigma / \max _{k}\left|a_{k}-\mu\right| \rightarrow \infty$. Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 therefore provide reasonably wide ranges for $x$ to make the results hold true. To be more precise, as an example, consider $a_{k}=k^{\alpha}$, where $\alpha>-1 / 3$. In this special case, simple calculations show that

$$
\min \left\{\omega_{N} \sigma / \max _{k}\left|a_{k}-\mu\right|,\left(\omega_{N} / \beta_{3 N}\right)^{1 / 3}\right\} \asymp(N p q)^{1 / 6}
$$

which implies that Theorem 1.2 holds true for $x$ being in the best range $\left(0, o\left[(N p q)^{1 / 6}\right]\right)$.
The following Theorem 1.3 provides a relative error $P\left(t_{n} \geq x\right)$ to $1-\Phi(x)$, which is only related to $E\left|X_{1}-\mu\right|^{3} / \sigma^{3}$ with an absolute constant as in Theorem 1.1. Cramér-type large deviation results for the Student $t$-statistic may be obtained accordingly as in Theorem 1.2. We omit the details.

Theorem 1.3. There is an absolute constant $A>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exp \left\{-A(1+x)^{3} \beta_{3 N} / \omega_{N}\right\} \leq \frac{P\left(t_{n} \geq x\right)}{1-\Phi(x)} \leq \exp \left\{A(1+x)^{3} \beta_{3 N} / \omega_{N}\right\} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $0 \leq x \leq(1 / A) \omega_{N} \sigma / \max _{k}\left|a_{k}-\mu\right|$, where $\beta_{3 N}$ is de£ned as in Theorem 1.1.

This paper is organized as follows. Major steps of the proofs of Theorems 1.1-1.3 are given in Section 2. As a preliminary, in a general setting, Section 3 provides a Berry-Esseen bound for the associated distribution of $P\left(S_{n}-n \mu \leq x\right)$ related to the conjugate method. Proofs of three propositions used in the main proofs are offered in Sections 4-6. Throughout the paper we shall use $A, A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots$ to denote absolute constants whose values may differ at each occurrence. We also write $b=x / \omega_{N}, V_{n}^{2}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} X_{k}^{2}$,

$$
V_{1 n}=V_{n}^{2}-n \quad \text { and } \quad V_{2 n}=\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left[\left(X_{k}^{2}-1\right)^{2}-E\left(X_{k}^{2}-1\right)^{2}\right],
$$

and, when no confusion arises, $\sum$ denotes $\sum_{k=1}^{N}$, and $\prod$ denotes $\prod_{k=1}^{N}$. The symbol $i$ will be used exclusively for $\sqrt{-1}$.

## 2 Proofs of theorems

Without loss of generality, we assume $\mu=0$ and $\sigma^{2}=1$. Otherwise, it suffces to consider that $\left\{X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}\right\}$ is a simple random sample drawn without replacement from a $£$ nite population $\left\{a^{\prime}\right\}_{N}=\left\{\left(a_{1}-\mu\right) / \sigma, \cdots,\left(a_{N}-\mu\right) / \sigma\right\}$, where $n<N$.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. When $0 \leq x \leq 2$, property (1) follows from the Berry-Esseen bound for samples from a £nite population (see, Höglund (1978), for example):

$$
\left|P\left(S_{n} \geq x \omega_{N}\right)-(1-\Phi(x))\right| \leq A \beta_{3 N} / \omega_{N}
$$

When $2 \leq x \leq(1 / A) \omega_{N} / \max _{k}\left|a_{k}\right|$, property (1) follows from the following Proposition 2.1 with $\xi=0, \xi_{1}=0$ and $h=0$. Proposition 2.1 will be proved in Section 4.

Proposition 2.1. There exists an absolute constant $A>0$ such that, for all $0 \leq \xi \leq 1 / 2$, $\left|\xi_{1}\right| \leq 36$ and $2 \leq x \leq(1 / A) \omega_{N} / \max _{k}\left|a_{k}\right|$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{P\left(b S_{n}-\xi b^{2} q V_{1 n}+\xi_{1} b^{4} q^{2} V_{2 n} \geq x^{2}\right)}{1-\Phi(x)} \geq \exp \left\{-A x^{3} \beta_{3 N} / \omega_{N}\right\} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{P\left(b S_{n}-\xi b^{2} q V_{1 n}+\xi_{1} b^{4} q^{2} V_{2 n} \geq x^{2}+h\right)}{1-\Phi(x)} \\
& \quad \leq\left[1+9|h| x^{-2}\right] \exp \left\{-h+A x^{3} \beta_{3 N} / \omega_{N}\right\}, \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

where $h$ is an arbitrary constant (which may depend on $x$ ) with $|h| \leq x^{2} / 5$.
Remark 2.1. The restrictions for $\xi$ and $\xi_{1}$ in proposition 2.1 may be reduced to more general $0 \leq \xi \leq A_{0}$ and $\left|\xi_{1}\right| \leq A_{1}$, where $A_{0}$ and $A_{1}$ are two absolute constants.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. This follows immediately from Theorem 1.1.
Proof of Theorem 1.3. When $0 \leq x \leq 4$, property (5) follows from the Berry-Esseen bound for £nite population Student $t$-statistic. See, Bloznelis (1999), for example. Next, assume $4 \leq x \leq(1 / A) \omega_{N} / \max _{k}\left|a_{k}\right|$. Without loss of generality, assume that $A \geq 8$ and $n \geq 4$. Note that $\max _{k}\left|a_{k}\right| \geq 1$ since $\sum a_{k}^{2}=N$. It is readily seen that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{x_{0}}{x}-1\right|=\left|\left[1+\left(x^{2} q-1\right) / n\right]^{-1 / 2}-1\right| \leq 2 x^{2} / n \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x_{0}=x n^{1 / 2} /\left(n+x^{2} q-1\right)^{1 / 2}$. It follows from (8) that $2 \leq x / 2 \leq x_{0} \leq 3 x / 2$ and $\left|x_{0}-x\right| \leq 2 x^{3} \beta_{3 N} / \omega_{N}^{2}$. Hence, by noting $1-\Phi(x) \geq x \Phi^{\prime}(x) /\left(1+x^{2}\right)$ for $x \geq 0$ (see, for example, Revuz and $\operatorname{Yor}(1999)$, p30), we have

$$
\left|\log \frac{1-\Phi\left(x_{0}\right)}{1-\Phi(x)}\right|=\left|\int_{x}^{x_{0}} \frac{\Phi^{\prime}(t)}{1-\Phi(t)} d t\right| \leq\left|\int_{x}^{x_{0}} \frac{1+t^{2}}{t} d t\right| \leq 2 x\left|x-x_{0}\right| \leq x^{3} \beta_{3 N} / \omega_{N}
$$

which yields that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exp \left\{-x^{3} \beta_{3 N} / \omega_{N}\right\} \leq \frac{1-\Phi\left(x_{0}\right)}{1-\Phi(x)} \leq \exp \left\{x^{3} \beta_{3 N} / \omega_{N}\right\} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.3. As is well-known, for $x \geq 0$,

$$
P\left(t_{n} \geq x\right)=P\left(S_{n} / V_{n} \geq x_{0} \sqrt{q}\right) .
$$

Note that $b_{0} x_{0} \sqrt{q} V_{n} \leq\left(x_{0}^{2}+b_{0}^{2} q V_{n}^{2}\right) / 2 \leq x_{0}^{2}+b_{0}^{2} q\left(V_{n}^{2}-n\right) / 2$, where $b_{0}=x_{0} / \omega_{N}$. It follows from (6), (8) and (9) that, for $4 \leq x \leq(1 / A) \omega_{N} / \max _{k}\left|a_{k}\right|$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
P\left(S_{n} \geq x_{0} \sqrt{q} V_{n}\right) & \geq P\left(b_{0} S_{n}-b_{0}^{2} q\left(V_{n}^{2}-n\right) / 2 \geq x_{0}^{2}\right) \\
& \geq\left(1-\Phi\left(x_{0}\right)\right) \exp \left\{-A x_{0}^{3} \beta_{3 N} / \omega_{N}\right\} \\
& \geq(1-\Phi(x)) \exp \left\{-A_{1} x^{3} \beta_{3 N} / \omega_{N}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies the frst inequality of (5).
In view of the following Propositions 2.2 and 2.3, the second inequality of (5) may be obtained by a similar argument to that in the proof of (5.13) in Jing, Shao and Wang (2003), and the details are omitted. The proofs of Propositions 2.2 and 2.3 will be given in Section 5 and Section 6 respectively.

Proposition 2.2. There exists an absolute constant $A>0$ such that

$$
P\left(S_{n} \geq x \sqrt{q} V_{n}\right) \leq(1-\Phi(x)) \exp \left\{A x^{3} \beta_{3 N} / \omega_{N}\right\}+A e^{-4 x^{2}}
$$

for $2 \leq x \leq(1 / A) \omega_{N} / \max _{k}\left|a_{k}\right|$.

Proposition 2.3. There exists an absolute constant $A>0$ such that

$$
P\left(S_{n} \geq x \sqrt{q} V_{n}\right) \leq(1-\Phi(x)) \exp \left\{A x^{3} \beta_{3 N} / \omega_{N}\right\}+A\left(x \beta_{3 N} / \omega_{N}\right)^{4 / 3}
$$

for $2 \leq x \leq(1 / A) \omega_{N} / \max _{k}\left|a_{k}\right|$.

## 3 Preliminaries

The main aim of this section is to derive a Berry-Esseen bound for the associated distribution of $P\left(S_{n} \leq x\right)$ related to the conjugate method. The result and several related lemmas are established in a general setting, and will be used in the proofs of the propositions.

For $z=x+i y$, defne,

$$
\begin{equation*}
K(z)=\log \beta(z) \quad \text { with } \quad \beta(z)=p e^{q z}+q e^{-p z} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p, q>0$ and $p+q=1$. Consider a sequence of constants $\{b\}_{N}=\left\{b_{1}, \cdots, b_{N}\right\}$ with $\sum b_{k}=0$, and let $K_{k}, K_{k}^{\prime}$ and $K_{k}^{\prime \prime}$ be the values of $K(x), K^{\prime}(x)$ and $K^{\prime \prime}(x)$ evaluated at $x=u b_{k}+\alpha_{N}(u)$, where $\alpha_{N}(u)$ is the solution of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum K^{\prime}\left(u b_{k}+\alpha\right)=0 . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Throughout the section we assume that $C_{0}>0$ is a given constant and $|u| \leq C_{0} / \max _{k}\left|b_{k}\right|$. Note that, for any real $u$ with $|u| \leq C_{0} / \max _{k}\left|b_{k}\right|, \sum K^{\prime}\left(u b_{k}+\alpha\right)$ is negative when $\alpha<-C_{0}$ and positive when $\alpha>C_{0}$, and it is strictly monotone in the range $-C_{0} \leq \alpha \leq C_{0}$, by virtue of (13) and (14) below. It is readily seen that (11) has a unique solution $\alpha_{N}=\alpha_{N}(u)$, and $-C_{0} \leq \alpha_{N} \leq C_{0}$.

We continue to assume that $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}$ is a random sample without replacement from $\{b\}_{N}$, where $n<N$, and continue to use the notation $S_{n}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} X_{k}, p, q$ and $\omega_{N}^{2}=N p q$ as in Section 1. Defne

$$
H_{n}(x ; u)=E e^{u S_{n}} I\left(S_{n} \leq x\right) / E e^{u S_{n}}
$$

and assume $C>0$ a constant depending only on $C_{0}$, which may differ at each occurrence.
The main result in this section is as follows.
Theorem 3.1. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{x}\left|H_{n}(x ; u)-\Phi\left(\frac{x-m_{N}}{\sigma_{N}}\right)\right| \leq C(p q)^{-1 / 2} \sum\left|b_{k}\right|^{3} /\left(\sum b_{k}^{2}\right)^{3 / 2} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
m_{N}=\sum b_{k} K_{k}^{\prime}, \quad \sigma_{N}^{2}=\sum b_{k}^{2} K_{k}^{\prime \prime}-\left(\sum b_{k} K_{k}^{\prime \prime}\right)^{2} / \sum K_{k}^{\prime \prime}
$$

Theorem 3.1 provides an extension of the classical result for samples from a $£$ nite population given by Höglund (1978). Its proof will be given after £ve lemmas.

Our $£$ rst lemma summarizes some basic properties of $K(z)$.
Lemma 3.1. We have $K^{\prime}(0)=0$,

$$
\begin{align*}
-p q e^{2 t} \leq & K^{\prime}(-x)<0<K^{\prime}(x) \leq p q e^{2 t}, & & \text { for } 0<x \leq t  \tag{13}\\
& p q e^{-3 t}<K^{\prime \prime}(x)<p q e^{3 t}, & & \text { for }|x| \leq t  \tag{14}\\
& \left|K^{\prime \prime \prime}(x+i y)\right| \leq 2^{3 / 2} e^{5 t} p q, & & \text { for }|x| \leq t \text { and }|y| \leq \pi / 2 . \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

Furthermore, if $|x| \leq 1 / 16$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|K(x) / p q-x^{2} / 2\right| & \leq(1 / 2)|x|^{3},  \tag{16}\\
\left|K^{\prime}(x) / p q-x\right| & \leq x^{2}  \tag{17}\\
\left|K^{\prime \prime}(x) / p q-1-(q-p) x\right| & \leq 8 x^{2} . \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. The proof of Lemma 3.1 is straightforward and the details are omitted.
To introduce the following lemmas, we write, for $1 \leq k \leq N$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{k}=u b_{k}+\alpha_{N} \quad \text { and } \quad \xi_{k}=v b_{k}+y_{0} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\nu$ and $y_{0}$ are two real variables specifed later. By using Lemma 3.1, it is readily seen that $e^{-2 C_{0}} \leq \beta\left(\eta_{k}\right) \leq e^{2 C_{0}}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\eta_{k}\right| \leq 2 C_{0}, \quad\left|K_{k}^{\prime}\right| \leq p q e^{2 C_{0}} \quad \text { and } \quad p q e^{-6 C_{0}} \leq K_{k}^{\prime \prime} \leq p q e^{6 C_{0}} . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

The property (20) will be used heavily in the lemmas below. In the remainder of this section, we also defne

$$
\rho\left(u, v, y_{0}\right)=\prod \beta\left(\eta_{k}+i \xi_{k}\right) .
$$

Lemma 3.2. There exist $0<\varepsilon_{0} \leq \pi / 8$ and $\delta_{0}>0$ depending only on $C_{0}$, such that, for $\left|y_{0}\right| \leq \varepsilon_{0}$ and $|v|<\delta_{0} \sum b_{k}^{2} / \sum\left|b_{k}\right|^{3}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho\left(u, v, y_{0}\right)=\exp \left\{\sum\left(K_{k}+i \xi_{k} K_{k}^{\prime}-\xi_{k}^{2} K_{k}^{\prime \prime} / 2\right)\right\}(1+R), \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\beta(z)$ is defned as in (10) and

$$
|R| \leq C p q \sum\left|\xi_{k}\right|^{3} \exp \left(\frac{1}{4} \sum \xi_{k}^{2} K_{k}^{\prime \prime}\right)
$$

Also, for $\varepsilon_{0} \leq\left|y_{0}\right| \leq \pi$ and $|v|<\delta_{0} \sum b_{k}^{2} / \sum\left|b_{k}\right|^{3}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\rho\left(u, v, y_{0}\right)\right| \leq e^{2 C_{0}} \prod_{k \neq k_{0}}\left|\beta\left(\eta_{k}+i \xi_{k}\right)\right| \leq C \exp \left\{\sum\left[K_{k}-\varepsilon_{0}^{2} K_{k}^{\prime \prime} / 4\right]\right\} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $1 \leq k_{0} \leq N$.
Proof. We £rst prove (21). Defne

$$
D_{1}=\left\{k:\left|v b_{k}\right| \leq \pi / 4\right\} \quad \text { and } \quad D_{2}=\left\{k:\left|v b_{k}\right|>\pi / 4\right\} .
$$

It suffces to show that there exist $0<\varepsilon_{0} \leq \pi / 8$ and $\gamma_{1}>0$ depending only on $C_{0}$ such that, if $\left|y_{0}\right| \leq \varepsilon_{0}$ and $|v|<\gamma_{1} \sum b_{k}^{2} / \sum\left|b_{k}\right|^{3}$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{1 N} & :=\prod_{k \in D_{1}} \beta\left(\eta_{k}+i \xi_{k}\right) \prod_{k \in D_{2}} \beta\left(\eta_{k}\right) \\
& =\exp \left\{\sum\left(K_{k}+i \xi_{k} K_{k}^{\prime}-\xi_{k}^{2} K_{k}^{\prime \prime} / 2\right)\right\}\left(1+R_{1}\right), \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\left|R_{1}\right| \leq C p q \sum\left|\xi_{k}\right|^{3} \exp \left(\frac{1}{4} \sum \xi_{k}^{2} K_{k}^{\prime \prime}\right)$, and

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|I_{2 N}\right| & :=\left|\prod_{k \in D_{1}} \beta\left(\eta_{k}+i \xi_{k}\right)\left[\prod_{k \in D_{2}} \beta\left(\eta_{k}+i \xi_{k}\right)-\prod_{k \in D_{2}} \beta\left(\eta_{k}\right)\right]\right| \\
& \leq C p q \sum\left|\xi_{k}\right|^{3} \exp \left\{\sum\left(K_{k}-\xi_{k}^{2} K_{k}^{\prime \prime} / 4\right)\right\} . \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

Indeed, it follows from (23)-(24) that

$$
\rho\left(u, v, y_{0}\right)=\exp \left\{\sum\left(K_{k}+i \xi_{k} K_{k}^{\prime}-\xi_{k}^{2} K_{k}^{\prime \prime} / 2\right)\right\}(1+R)
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
|R| & \leq\left|R_{1}\right|+\left|I_{2 N}\right| \exp \left\{\sum\left(-K_{k}+\xi_{k}^{2} K_{k}^{\prime \prime} / 2\right)\right\} \\
& \leq 2 C p q \sum\left|\xi_{k}\right|^{3} \exp \left(\frac{1}{4} \sum \xi_{k}^{2} K_{k}^{\prime \prime}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

as required.
We next give the proofs of (23) and (24).
Recall we assume that $\left|\varepsilon_{0}\right| \leq \pi / 8$. If $k \in D_{1}$, then $\left|\xi_{k}\right|<\pi / 2$ since $\left|y_{0}\right| \leq \pi / 8$. This fact, together with (15), (20) and Taylor's formula: for $x, y \in \mathcal{R}$,

$$
K(x+i y)=K(x)+i y K^{\prime}(x)-y^{2} K^{\prime \prime}(x) / 2-i y^{3} \int_{0}^{1}(1-t)^{2} K^{\prime \prime \prime}(x+i t y) d t / 2
$$

implies that, whenever $k \in D_{1}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|K\left(\eta_{k}+i \xi_{k}\right)-K_{k}-i \xi_{k} K_{k}^{\prime}+\xi_{k}^{2} K_{k}^{\prime \prime} / 2\right| \\
& \quad \leq\left|\xi_{k}\right|^{3} \max _{\substack{|x| \leq 2 C_{0} \\
|y|<\pi / 2}}\left|K^{\prime \prime \prime}(x+i y)\right| / 6 \leq e^{10 C_{0}} p q\left|\xi_{k}\right|^{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{k \in D_{1}} \beta\left(\eta_{k}+i \xi_{k}\right)=\exp \left\{\sum_{k \in D_{1}}\left(K_{k}+i \xi_{k} K_{k}^{\prime}-\xi_{k}^{2} K_{k}^{\prime \prime} / 2\right)+\mathcal{L}_{1 N}\right\}, \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left|\mathcal{L}_{1 N}\right| \leq e^{10 C_{0}} p q \sum_{k \in D_{1}}\left|\xi_{k}\right|^{3}$. On the other hand, if $k \in D_{2}$, then $\left|\xi_{k}\right| \geq \pi / 8$ since $\left|y_{0}\right| \leq \pi / 8$. This, together with (20), yields that, whenever $k \in D_{2}$,

$$
\left|i \xi_{k} K_{k}^{\prime}-\xi_{k}^{2} K_{k}^{\prime \prime} / 2\right| \leq\left[(8 / \pi)^{2}+4 / \pi\right] e^{6 C_{0}} p q\left|\xi_{k}\right|^{3},
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{align*}
\prod_{k \in D_{2}} \beta\left(\eta_{k}\right) & =\exp \left\{\sum_{k \in D_{2}} K_{k}\right\}, \\
& =\exp \left\{\sum_{k \in D_{2}}\left(K_{k}+i \xi_{k} K_{k}^{\prime}-\xi_{k}^{2} K_{k}^{\prime \prime} / 2\right)+\mathcal{L}_{2 N}\right\}, \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\left|\mathcal{L}_{2 N}\right| \leq\left[(8 / \pi)^{2}+4 / \pi\right] e^{6 C_{0}} p q \sum_{k \in D_{2}}\left|\xi_{k}\right|^{3}$.
Recalling $\sum b_{k}=0$, if we choose $\varepsilon_{0}$ and $\delta_{0}$ so small that $4 C_{1} \max \left\{\varepsilon_{0}, \delta_{0}\right\} e^{6 C_{0}} \leq 1 / 4$, where $C_{1}=\max \left\{(8 / \pi)^{2}+4 / \pi, e^{4 C_{0}}\right\} e^{6 C_{0}}$, then for $\left|y_{0}\right| \leq \varepsilon_{0}$ and $|v|<\delta_{0} \sum b_{k}^{2} / \sum\left|b_{k}\right|^{3}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\mathcal{L}_{1 N}\right|+\left|\mathcal{L}_{2 N}\right| & \leq C_{1} p q \sum\left|\xi_{k}\right|^{3} \\
& \leq 4 C_{1} p q\left(N\left|y_{0}\right|^{3}+|v|^{3} \sum\left|b_{k}\right|^{3}\right) \\
& \leq 4 C_{1} \max \left\{\varepsilon_{0}, \gamma_{1}\right\} p q\left(N y_{0}^{2}+|v|^{2} \sum b_{k}^{2}\right) \\
& \leq 4 C_{1} \max \left\{\varepsilon_{0}, \gamma_{1}\right\} e^{6 C_{0}} \sum \xi_{k}^{2} K_{k}^{\prime \prime} \\
& \leq(1 / 4) \sum \xi_{k}^{2} K_{k}^{\prime \prime}, \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

by using (20). Combining (25)-(27),

$$
I_{1 N}=\exp \left\{\sum\left(K_{k}+i \xi_{k} K_{k}^{\prime}-\xi_{k}^{2} K_{k}^{\prime \prime} / 2\right)\right\}\left(1+R_{1}\right)
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|R_{1}\right| & =\left|e^{\mathcal{L}_{1 N}+\mathcal{L}_{2 N}}-1\right| \leq\left(\left|\mathcal{L}_{1 N}\right|+\left|\mathcal{L}_{2 N}\right|\right) e^{\left|\mathcal{L}_{1 N}\right|+\left|\mathcal{L}_{2 N}\right|} \\
& \leq C p q \sum\left|\xi_{k}\right|^{3} \exp \left(\frac{1}{4} \sum \xi_{k}^{2} K_{k}^{\prime \prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which yields (23).
As for (24), by noting from (25)-(27) that, for any $k_{0} \in D_{2}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\prod_{k \in D_{1}} \beta\left(\eta_{k}+i \xi_{k}\right) \prod_{k \in D_{2}-\left\{k_{0}\right\}} \beta\left(\eta_{k}\right)\right| \leq e^{2 C_{0}}\left|\prod_{k \in D_{1}} \beta\left(\eta_{k}+i \xi_{k}\right) \prod_{k \in D_{2}} \beta\left(\eta_{k}\right)\right| \\
& \quad \leq e^{2 C_{0}} \exp \left\{\sum\left(K_{k}-\xi_{k}^{2} K_{k}^{\prime \prime} / 2\right)+\left|\mathcal{L}_{1 N}\right|+\left|\mathcal{L}_{2 N}\right|\right\} \\
& \quad \leq e^{2 C_{0}} \exp \left\{\sum\left(K_{k}-\xi_{k}^{2} K_{k}^{\prime \prime} / 4\right)\right\}, \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

since $e^{-2 C_{0}} \leq \beta\left(\eta_{k}\right) \leq e^{2 C_{0}}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|I_{2 N}\right| & \leq \sum_{j \in D_{2}}\left|\beta\left(\eta_{j}+i \xi_{j}\right)-\beta\left(\eta_{j}\right)\right|\left|\prod_{k \in D_{1}} \beta\left(\eta_{k}+i \xi_{k}\right)\right| \prod_{k \in D_{2}-\{j\}}\left|\beta\left(\eta_{k}\right)\right| \\
& \leq e^{2 C_{0}} \exp \left\{\sum\left(K_{k}-\xi_{k}^{2} K_{k}^{\prime \prime} / 4\right)\right\} \sum_{j \in D_{2}}\left|\beta\left(\eta_{j}+i \xi_{j}\right)-\beta\left(\eta_{j}\right)\right| . \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

Now (24) follows from (29) and

$$
\left|\beta\left(\eta_{k}+i \xi_{k}\right)-\beta\left(\eta_{k}\right)\right|=\left|i \xi_{k} \int_{0}^{1} \beta^{\prime}\left(\eta_{k}+i t \xi_{k}\right) d t\right| \leq 2 e^{2 C_{0}} p q\left|\xi_{k}\right| \leq C p q\left|\xi_{k}\right|^{3}
$$

for $k \in D_{2}$, where we have used the estimates: $\left|\xi_{k}\right| \geq \pi / 8$ for $k \in D_{2}$, and for all $0 \leq t \leq 1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\beta^{\prime}\left(\eta_{k}+i t \xi_{k}\right)\right|=p q\left|e^{q\left(\eta_{k}+i t \xi_{k}\right)}-e^{-p\left(\eta_{k}+i t \xi_{k}\right)}\right| \leq 2 e^{2 C_{0}} p q \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

This proves (24) and also completes the proof of (21).
We next prove (22). As in (27) of Robinson (1977), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\beta\left(\eta_{k}+i \xi_{k}\right)\right|^{2} & =e^{2 K_{k}}\left[1-2 K_{k}^{\prime \prime}\left(1-\cos \xi_{k}\right)\right] \\
& \leq \exp \left(2 K_{k}-2 K_{k}^{\prime \prime}\left(1-\cos \xi_{k}\right)\right) \\
& =\exp \left\{2 K_{k}-2 K_{k}^{\prime \prime}\left[1-\cos \left(y_{0}\right)-\mathcal{L}_{1 k}\right]\right\} \tag{31}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathcal{L}_{1 k}=\cos \left(\xi_{k}\right)-\cos \left(y_{0}\right)$. Note that $\left|\mathcal{L}_{1 k}\right| \leq\left|1-\cos \left(v b_{k}\right)\right|+\left|\sin \left(v b_{k}\right)\right| \leq v^{2} b_{k}^{2} / 2+\left|v b_{k}\right|$. It follows from (20) that, for any given $\delta_{0}>0$, if $|v|<\delta_{0} \sum b_{k}^{2} / \sum\left|b_{k}\right|^{3}$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum\left|\mathcal{L}_{1 k}\right| K_{k}^{\prime \prime} & \leq p q e^{6 C_{0}} \sum\left|\mathcal{L}_{1 k}\right| \\
& \leq p q e^{6 C_{0}}\left[\delta_{0}^{2} / 2\left(\sum b_{k}^{2}\right)^{3} /\left(\sum\left|b_{k}\right|^{3}\right)^{2}+\delta_{0} \sum b_{k}^{2} \sum\left|b_{k}\right| / \sum\left|b_{k}\right|^{3}\right] \\
& \leq N p q e^{6 C_{0}}\left(\delta_{0}^{2} / 2+\delta_{0}\right) \leq e^{12 C_{0}}\left(\delta_{0}^{2} / 2+\delta_{0}\right) \sum K_{k}^{\prime \prime} \tag{32}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have used the fact that, by Hölder's inequality,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum\left|b_{k}\right| \leq N^{2 / 3}\left(\sum\left|b_{k}\right|^{3}\right)^{1 / 3} \quad \text { and } \quad \sum b_{k}^{2} \leq N^{1 / 3}\left(\sum\left|b_{k}\right|^{3}\right)^{2 / 3} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

By taking $\delta_{0}=\min \left\{\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right\}$, where $\gamma_{1}$ is defned as in the proofs of (23)-(24) and $\gamma_{2}$ is a constant satisfying $e^{12 C_{0}}\left(\gamma_{2}^{2} / 2+\gamma_{2}\right) \leq\left(1-\cos \varepsilon_{0}\right) / 4$, it follows easily from (31)-(32), and $\left|K_{k_{0}}-K_{k_{0}}^{\prime \prime}\left(1-\cos \xi_{k_{0}}\right)\right| \leq C\left[\right.$ recall (20)] for any $1 \leq k_{0} \leq N$, that if $|v|<\delta_{0} \sum b_{k}^{2} / \sum\left|b_{k}\right|^{3}$ and $\varepsilon_{0} \leq\left|y_{0}\right| \leq \pi$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\prod_{k \neq k_{0}}\left|\beta\left(\eta_{k}+i \xi_{k}\right)\right| & \leq \exp \left\{\sum\left[K_{k}-K_{k}^{\prime \prime}\left(1-\cos \xi_{k}\right)\right]+\left|K_{k_{0}}-K_{k_{0}}^{\prime \prime}\left(1-\cos \xi_{k_{0}}\right)\right|\right\} \\
& \leq C \exp \left\{\sum\left[K_{k}-\varepsilon_{0}^{2} K_{k}^{\prime \prime} / 4\right]\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

for any $1 \leq k_{0} \leq N$, where we have used the well-known facts:

$$
1-\cos \left(y_{0}\right) \geq 1-\cos \left(\varepsilon_{0}\right) \geq \varepsilon_{0}^{2} / 2-\varepsilon_{0}^{4} / 24 \geq \varepsilon_{0}^{2} / 3
$$

since $0<\varepsilon_{0} \leq \pi / 8$. This proves the second inequality of (22). The $£$ fst inequality of (22) holds true since $\left|\beta\left(\eta_{k_{0}}+i \xi_{k_{0}}\right)\right| \leq e^{2 C_{0}}$ for each $1 \leq k_{0} \leq N$.

The proof of Lemma 3.2 is now complete.
Lemma 3.3. Let $\varepsilon_{0}$ and $\delta_{0}$ be defned as in Lemma 3.2. Suppose that $|v|<\delta_{0} \sum b_{k}^{2} / \sum\left|b_{k}\right|^{3}$. Then, for $\left|y_{0}\right| \leq \varepsilon_{0}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\lvert\, \frac{d \rho\left(u, v, y_{0}\right)}{d v}-( \right. & \left.i b_{k} K_{k}^{\prime}-\sum b_{k} \xi_{k} K_{k}^{\prime \prime}\right) \rho\left(u, v, y_{0}\right) \mid \\
& \leq C p q \sum\left|b_{k}\right| \xi_{k}^{2} \exp \left\{\sum\left(K_{k}-\xi_{k}^{2} K_{k}^{\prime \prime} / 4\right)\right\} \tag{34}
\end{align*}
$$

and for $\varepsilon_{0} \leq\left|y_{0}\right| \leq \pi$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{d \rho\left(u, v, y_{0}\right)}{d v}\right| \leq C p q \sum\left|b_{k}\right| \exp \left\{\sum\left(K_{k}-\varepsilon_{0}^{2} K_{k}^{\prime \prime} / 4\right)\right\} . \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Note that

$$
\frac{d \rho\left(u, v, y_{0}\right)}{d v}=i \sum_{j=1}^{N} b_{j} \beta^{\prime}\left(\eta_{j}+i \xi_{j}\right) \prod_{k \neq j} \beta\left(\eta_{k}+i \xi_{k}\right)
$$

where $i=\sqrt{-1}$. The property (35) follows immediately from (22) and (30).
We next prove (34). Defne $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ as in Lemma 3.2. We may write

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d \rho\left(u, v, y_{0}\right)}{d v}=i \sum_{k \in D_{1}} b_{k} K^{\prime}\left(\eta_{k}+i \xi_{k}\right) \rho\left(u, v, y_{0}\right) \\
& \quad+i \sum_{k \in D_{2}} b_{k} \beta^{\prime}\left(\eta_{k}+i \xi_{k}\right) \prod_{j \neq k} \beta\left(\eta_{j}+i \xi_{j}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

By virtue of (28), it suffces to show that

$$
\begin{align*}
I I & :=\left|\sum_{k \in D_{1}} i b_{k} K^{\prime}\left(\eta_{k}+i \xi_{k}\right)-i \sum_{k \in D_{1}} b_{k} K_{k}^{\prime}+\sum b_{k} \xi_{k} K_{k}^{\prime \prime}\right| \\
& \leq C(p q) \sum\left|b_{k}\right| \xi_{k}^{2}, \tag{36}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\beta^{\prime}\left(\eta_{k}+i \xi_{k}\right)-K_{k}^{\prime} \beta\left(\eta_{k}+i \xi_{k}\right)\right| \leq C(p q) \xi_{k}^{2}, \quad \text { for } k \in D_{2} \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, as in the proof of Lemma 3.2, by using the Taylor's formula of $K^{\prime}(x+i y)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
I I & \leq \sum_{k \in D_{1}}\left|b_{k}\right|\left|K^{\prime}\left(\eta_{k}+i \xi_{k}\right)-K_{k}^{\prime}-i \xi_{k} K_{k}^{\prime \prime}\right|+\left|\sum_{k \in D_{2}} b_{k} \xi_{k} K_{k}^{\prime \prime}\right| \\
& \leq(1 / 2) \max _{\substack{|x|<2 C_{0} \\
|y|<\pi / 2}}\left|K^{\prime \prime \prime}(x+i y)\right| \sum_{k \in D_{1}}\left|b_{k}\right|\left|\xi_{k}\right|^{2}+e^{6 C_{0}}(p q) \sum_{k \in D_{2}}\left|b_{k}\right|\left|\xi_{k}\right| \\
& \leq C(p q) \sum\left|b_{k}\right| \xi_{k}^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used (15) and the fact that $\left|\xi_{k}\right|>\pi / 8$ when $k \in D_{2}$. This proves (36). The property (37) follows from $\left|\xi_{k}\right|>\pi / 8$ for $k \in D_{2}$, and hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\beta^{\prime}\left(\eta_{k}+i \xi_{k}\right)-K_{k}^{\prime} \beta\left(\eta_{k}+i \xi_{k}\right)\right| & =\frac{p q e^{q \eta_{k}}\left|e^{i \xi_{k}}-1\right|}{p e^{\eta_{k}}+q} \\
& \leq e^{2 C_{0}}(p q)\left|\xi_{k}\right| \leq\left(8 e^{2 C_{0}} / \pi\right)(p q) \xi_{k}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

The proof of Lemma 3.3 is complete.
Lemma 3.4. There exists a $\delta_{1}>0$ depending only on $C_{0}$, such that for $|v|<\delta_{1} \sum b_{k}^{2} / \sum\left|b_{k}\right|^{3}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
E e^{(u+i v) S_{n}}=\left(G_{n}(p)\right)^{-1}\left(\sum K_{k}^{\prime \prime}\right)^{-1 / 2} \exp \left\{\sum K_{k}+i v m_{N}-\frac{1}{2} v^{2} \sigma_{N}^{2}\right\}(1+R) \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G_{n}(p)=\sqrt{2 \pi}\binom{N}{n} p^{n} q^{N-n}, m_{N}$ and $\sigma_{N}^{2}$ are defned as in Theorem 3.1 and

$$
|R| \leq C\left(|v|^{3}(p q) \sum\left|b_{k}\right|^{3}+1 / \omega_{N}\right) e^{v^{2} \sigma_{N}^{2} / 4}
$$

In particular, by letting $v=0$ in (38),

$$
\begin{equation*}
E e^{u S_{n}}=\left(G_{n}(p)\right)^{-1}\left(\sum K_{k}^{\prime \prime}\right)^{-1 / 2} \exp \left\{\sum K_{k}\right\}\left(1+O_{1} / \omega_{N}\right) \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left|O_{1}\right| \leq C_{1}$ and $C_{1}$ is a constant depending only on $C_{0}$.
Proof. As in Erdos and Renyi(1959), for any $\alpha$,

$$
E e^{(u+i v) S_{n}}=\left(\sqrt{2 \pi} G_{n}(p)\right)^{-1} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \prod_{k=1}^{N}\left(q+p e^{(u+i v) b_{k}+\alpha+i \theta}\right) e^{-n(\alpha+i \theta)} d \theta
$$

Let $\alpha$ be the solution of (11), $y_{0}=\psi / \omega_{N}$, and $\eta_{k}$ and $\xi_{k}$ as in (19). Some algebra shows that

$$
\begin{align*}
E e^{(u+i v) S_{n}} & =\left(\sqrt{2 \pi} \omega_{N} G_{n}(p)\right)^{-1}\left(\int_{|\psi| \leq \varepsilon_{0} \omega_{N}}+\int_{\varepsilon_{0} \omega_{N}<|\psi|<\pi \omega_{N}}\right) \rho\left(u, v, \psi / \omega_{N}\right) d \psi \\
& =I I I_{1}+I I I_{2}, \quad \text { say }, \tag{40}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\varepsilon_{0}$ is defned as in Lemma 3.2.
Let $\delta_{1}=\min \left\{\delta_{0}, e^{-3 C_{0}} \varepsilon_{0} / \sqrt{2}\right\}$, where $\varepsilon_{0}$ and $\delta_{0}$ are defned as in Lemma 3.2. We will show that, for $|v|<\delta_{1} \sum b_{k}^{2} / \sum\left|b_{k}\right|^{3}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|I I I_{2}\right| & \leq\left(C / \omega_{N}\right)\left(G_{n}(p)\right)^{-1}\left(\sum K_{k}^{\prime \prime}\right)^{-1 / 2} \exp \left\{\sum K_{k}-\frac{1}{4} v^{2} \sigma_{N}^{2}\right\} \\
I I I_{1} & =\left(G_{n}(p)\right)^{-1}\left(\sum K_{k}^{\prime \prime}\right)^{-1 / 2} \exp \left\{\sum K_{k}+i v m_{N}-\frac{1}{2} v^{2} \sigma_{N}^{2}\right\}\left(1+R_{1}\right) \tag{42}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\left|R_{1}\right| \leq C\left(|v|^{3}(p q) \sum\left|b_{k}\right|^{3}+1 / \omega_{N}\right) e^{v^{2} \sigma_{N}^{2} / 4}$. Then (38) follows easily from (40)-(42).
The proof of (41) is straightforward by (20) and Lemma 3.2. Indeed, it follows from (20) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{-6 C_{0}} \omega_{N}^{2} \leq \sum K_{k}^{\prime \prime} \leq e^{6 C_{0}} \omega_{N}^{2} \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence for $|v|<\delta_{1} \sum b_{k}^{2} / \sum\left|b_{k}\right|^{3}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
v^{2} \sigma_{N}^{2} & \leq v^{2} \sum b_{k}^{2} K_{k}^{\prime \prime} \leq e^{6 C_{0}} p q v^{2} \sum b_{k}^{2} \\
& \leq \delta_{1}^{2} e^{6 C_{0}} p q\left(\sum b_{k}^{2}\right)^{3} /\left(\sum\left|b_{k}\right|^{3}\right)^{2} \leq \delta_{1}^{2} e^{6 C_{0}} \omega_{N}^{2} \leq \varepsilon_{0}^{2} \sum K_{k}^{\prime \prime} / 2 \tag{44}
\end{align*}
$$

By (43)-(44) and Lemma 3.2, it is readily seen that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|I I I_{2}\right| & \leq C\left(G_{n}(p)\right)^{-1} \exp \left[\sum K_{k}-\varepsilon_{0}^{2} \sum K_{k}^{\prime \prime} / 4\right] \\
& \leq C\left(G_{n}(p)\right)^{-1} \exp \left[\sum K_{k}-\frac{1}{4} v^{2} \sigma_{N}^{2}-\varepsilon_{0}^{2} \sum K_{k}^{\prime \prime} / 8\right] \\
& \leq\left(C / \omega_{N}\right)\left(G_{n}(p)\right)^{-1}\left(\sum K_{k}^{\prime \prime}\right)^{-1 / 2} \exp \left\{\sum K_{k}-\frac{1}{4} v^{2} \sigma_{N}^{2}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

as required.
We next prove (42). Note that $\sum \xi_{k} K_{k}^{\prime}=v \sum b_{k} K_{k}^{\prime}$ since $\sum K_{k}^{\prime}=0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(\psi, v):=\left\{\psi+\frac{v \omega_{N} \sum b_{k} K_{k}^{\prime \prime}}{\sum K_{k}^{\prime \prime}}\right\}^{2} \frac{\sum K_{k}^{\prime \prime}}{\omega_{N}^{2}}=\sum \xi_{k}^{2} K_{k}^{\prime \prime}-v^{2} \sigma_{N}^{2} \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-g(\psi, v) / 2} d \psi=\left(2 \pi \omega_{N}^{2} / \sum K_{k}^{\prime \prime}\right)^{1 / 2}$. It follows from (45) and Lemma 3.2 that, for $|v|<$ $\delta_{1} \sum b_{k}^{2} / \sum\left|b_{k}\right|^{3}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
I I I_{1}=\left(G_{n}(p)\right)^{-1}\left(\sum K_{k}^{\prime \prime}\right)^{-1 / 2} \exp \left\{\sum K_{k}+i v m_{N}-\frac{1}{2} v^{2} \sigma_{N}^{2}\right\}\left(1+R_{2}\right) \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R$ is defned as in (21) and

$$
\left|R_{2}\right| \leq \int_{|\psi| \geq \varepsilon_{0} \omega_{N}} e^{-g(\psi, v) / 2} d \psi+e^{3 C_{0}} \int_{|\psi| \leq \varepsilon_{0} \omega_{N}}|R| e^{-g(\psi, v) / 2} d \psi:=\mathcal{L}_{3 N}+\mathcal{L}_{4 N}
$$

By (20), (43) and Hölder's inequality,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{\omega_{N} \sum b_{k} K_{k}^{\prime \prime}}{\sum K_{k}^{\prime \prime}}\right| \leq e^{3 C_{0}}\left(\sum b_{k}^{2} K_{k}^{\prime \prime}\right)^{1 / 2} \leq e^{6 C_{0}}(p q)^{1 / 2}\left(\sum b_{k}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows easily that

$$
\int_{|\psi| \leq \varepsilon_{0} \omega_{N}}|\psi|^{3} e^{-g(\psi, v) / 4} d \psi \leq C\left(1+\left|\frac{v \omega_{N} \sum b_{k} K_{k}^{\prime \prime}}{\sum K_{k}^{\prime \prime}}\right|^{3}\right) \leq C\left[1+(p q) \omega_{N}|v|^{3} \sum\left|b_{k}\right|^{3}\right] .
$$

This, together with the defnitions of $R$ and $g(\psi, v)$, implies that

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{L}_{4 N} & \leq C(p q) e^{v^{2} \sigma_{N}^{2} / 4} \int_{|\psi| \leq \varepsilon_{0} \omega_{N}} \sum\left|\xi_{k}\right|^{3} e^{-g(\psi, v) / 4} d \psi \\
& \leq 4 C(p q) e^{v^{2} \sigma_{N}^{2} / 4}\left(|v|^{3} \sum\left|b_{k}\right|^{3}+N \omega_{N}^{-3} \int_{|\psi| \leq \varepsilon_{0} \omega_{N}}|\psi|^{3} e^{-g(\psi, v) / 4} d \psi\right) \\
& \leq C\left(|v|^{3}(p q) \sum\left|b_{k}\right|^{3}+1 / \omega_{N}\right) e^{v^{2} \sigma_{N}^{2} / 4} . \tag{48}
\end{align*}
$$

As for $\mathcal{L}_{3 N}$, by noting from (47) that, for $|v|<\delta_{1} \sum b_{k}^{2} / \sum\left|b_{k}\right|^{3}$,

$$
\left|\frac{v \omega_{N} \sum b_{k} K_{k}^{\prime \prime}}{\sum K_{k}^{\prime \prime}}\right| \leq \delta_{1} e^{6 C_{0}}(p q)^{1 / 2}\left(\sum b_{k}^{2}\right)^{3 / 2} / \sum\left|b_{k}\right|^{3} \leq \varepsilon_{0} \omega_{N} / 2
$$

it is readily seen [recall (43)] that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{3 N} \leq \int_{|\psi| \geq \varepsilon_{0} \omega_{N} / 2} \exp \left(-e^{-6 C_{0}} \psi^{2} / 2\right) d \psi \leq C / \omega_{N} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking the estimates (48) and (49) back into (46), we obtain the required (42).
The proof of Lemma 3.4 is now complete.

Lemma 3.5. If $|v|<\min \left\{\left(p q \sum b_{k}^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2}, \delta_{1} \sum b_{k}^{2} / \sum\left|b_{k}\right|^{3}\right\}$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\frac{d\left[e^{-i v m_{N}} E e^{(u+i v) S_{n}}\right]}{d v}+v \sigma_{N}^{2} e^{-\frac{1}{2} v^{2} \sigma_{N}^{2}} E e^{u S_{n}}\right| \\
& \quad \leq C \exp \left\{\sum K_{k}\right\} \sum\left|b_{k}\right|^{3} / \sum b_{k}^{2} \tag{50}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\delta_{1}, m_{N}, \sigma_{N}$ and $K_{k}$ are defned as in Lemma 3.4.
Proof. Let $\varepsilon_{0}$ be defned as in Lemma 3.2. By (40), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\frac{d\left[e^{-i v m_{N}} E e^{(u+i v) S_{n}}\right]}{d v}+v \sigma_{N}^{2} e^{-\frac{1}{2} v^{2} \sigma_{N}^{2}} E e^{u S_{n}}\right| \\
& \quad \leq\left(\sqrt{2 \pi} \omega_{N} G_{n}(p)\right)^{-1}\left(J_{1 N}+J_{2 N}+J_{3 N}+J_{4 N}\right), \tag{51}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& J_{1 N}=\int_{|\psi| \leq \varepsilon_{0} \omega_{N}}\left|\frac{d \rho\left(u, v, \psi / \omega_{N}\right)}{d v}-\left(i m_{N}-\sum b_{k} \xi_{k} K_{k}^{\prime \prime}\right) \rho\left(u, v, \psi / \omega_{N}\right)\right| d \psi \\
& J_{2 N}=\left|\int_{|\psi| \leq \varepsilon_{0} \omega_{N}}\left(\sum b_{k} \xi_{k} K_{k}^{\prime \prime}-v \sigma_{N}^{2}\right) \rho\left(u, v, \psi / \omega_{N}\right) e^{-i v m_{N}} d \psi\right| \\
& J_{3 N}=|v| \sigma_{N}^{2}\left|\int_{|\psi| \leq \varepsilon_{0} \omega_{N}} \rho\left(u, v, \psi / \omega_{N}\right) e^{-i v m_{N}} d \psi-\sqrt{2 \pi} \omega_{N} G_{n}(p) e^{-\frac{1}{2} v^{2} \sigma_{N}^{2}} E e^{u S_{n}}\right|, \\
& J_{4 N}=\left|\int_{\varepsilon_{0} \omega_{N} \leq|\psi| \leq \pi \omega_{N}} \frac{d\left[e^{-i v m_{N}} \rho\left(u, v, \psi / \omega_{N}\right)\right]}{d v} d \psi\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

Defne $g(\psi, v)$ as in (45). Similarly to the proof of (48), it follows from Lemma 3.3 that

$$
\begin{align*}
J_{1 N} & \leq C(p q) e^{\sum K_{k}} \int_{|\psi| \leq \varepsilon_{0} \omega_{N}} \sum\left|b_{k}\right|\left|\xi_{k}\right|^{2} e^{-g(\psi, v) / 4} d \psi \\
& \leq 2 C(p q) e^{\sum K_{k}}\left(|v|^{2} \sum\left|b_{k}\right|^{3}+\omega_{N}^{-2} \sum\left|b_{k}\right| \int_{|\psi| \leq \varepsilon_{0} \omega_{N}}|\psi|^{2} e^{-g(\psi, v) / 4} d \psi\right) \\
& \leq 2 C(p q) e^{\sum K_{k}}\left(|v|^{2} \sum\left|b_{k}\right|^{3}+C \omega_{N}^{-2} \sum\left|b_{k}\right|\left[1+v^{2}(p q) \sum b_{k}^{2}\right]\right) \\
& \leq 2 C e^{\sum K_{k}} \sum\left|b_{k}\right|^{3} / \sum b_{k}^{2}, \tag{52}
\end{align*}
$$

since $|v| \leq\left(p q \sum b_{k}^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2}$, where we have used the estimate: $\sum\left|b_{k}\right| \sum b_{k}^{2} \leq N \sum\left|b_{k}\right|^{3}$ by (33).
Also, by noting

$$
\sum b_{k} \xi_{k} K_{k}^{\prime \prime}=v \sigma_{N}^{2}+g_{1}(\psi, v) \frac{\sum b_{k} K_{k}^{\prime \prime}}{\omega_{N}}
$$

where $g_{1}(\psi, v)=\psi+\frac{v \omega_{N} \sum b_{k} K_{k}^{\prime \prime}}{\sum K_{k}^{\prime \prime}}$, it follows from (21) in Lemma 3.2 that

$$
\begin{align*}
& J_{2 N} \leq \frac{\left|\sum b_{k} K_{k}^{\prime \prime}\right|}{\omega_{N}} e^{\sum K_{k}}\left(\left|\int_{|\psi| \leq \varepsilon_{0} \omega_{N}} g_{1}(\psi, v) e^{-g(\psi, v) / 2} d \psi\right|\right. \\
&\left.+C(p q) \int_{|\psi| \leq \varepsilon_{0} \omega_{N}} \sum\left|\xi_{k}\right|^{3}\left|g_{1}(\psi, v)\right| e^{-g(\psi, v) / 4} d \psi\right) \tag{53}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g_{1}(\psi, v) e^{-g(\psi, v) / 2} d \psi=0$, and $\left|g_{1}(\psi, v)\right| \leq e^{3 C_{0}} g^{1 / 2}(\psi, v)$ by (43), as in the proof of (49), we have

$$
\left|\int_{|\psi| \leq \varepsilon_{0} \omega_{N}} g_{1}(\psi, v) e^{-g(\psi, v) / 2} d \psi\right| \leq \int_{|\psi|>\varepsilon_{0} \omega_{N}}\left|g_{1}(\psi, v)\right| e^{-g(\psi, v) / 2} d \psi \leq C / \omega_{N}
$$

On the other hand, as in the proof of (48),

$$
\int_{|\psi| \leq \varepsilon \omega_{N}} p q \sum\left|\xi_{k}\right|^{3}\left|g_{1}(\psi, v)\right| e^{-g(\psi, v) / 4} d \psi \leq C\left(|v|^{3}(p q) \sum\left|b_{k}\right|^{3}+1 / \omega_{N}\right) .
$$

Taking these estimates back into (53), and noting

$$
\left|\sum b_{k} K_{k}^{\prime \prime}\right| \leq e^{6 C_{0}} p q \sum\left|b_{k}\right| \leq e^{6 C_{0}} \omega_{N}^{2} \sum\left|b_{k}\right|^{3} / \sum b_{k}^{2}
$$

and also $\left|\sum b_{k} K_{k}^{\prime \prime}\right| \leq e^{6 C_{0}} N^{1 / 2} p q\left(\sum b_{k}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$, by (20) and (33), we have that for $|v| \leq\left(p q \sum b_{k}^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
J_{2 N} & \leq C \frac{\left|\sum b_{k} K_{k}^{\prime \prime}\right|}{\omega_{N}} e^{\sum K_{k}}\left(|v|^{3}(p q) \sum\left|b_{k}\right|^{3}+1 / \omega_{N}\right) \\
& \leq C e^{\sum K_{k}}\left(|v|^{3}(p q)^{3 / 2}\left(\sum\left|b_{k}\right|^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}+1\right) \sum\left|b_{k}\right|^{3} / \sum b_{k}^{2} \\
& \leq C e^{\sum K_{k}} \sum\left|b_{k}\right|^{3} / \sum b_{k}^{2} \tag{54}
\end{align*}
$$

As for $J_{3 N}$, by using (39) and (42), we obtain that for $|v| \leq\left(p q \sum b_{k}^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
J_{3 N} & \leq C|v| \sigma_{N}^{2} \omega_{N}\left(\sum K_{k}^{\prime \prime}\right)^{-1 / 2}\left[|v|^{3}(p q) \sum\left|b_{k}\right|^{3}+1 / \omega_{N}\right] e^{\sum K_{k}} \\
& \leq C e^{\sum K_{k}} \sum\left|b_{k}\right|^{3} / \sum b_{k}^{2}, \tag{55}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have used (43), $\sigma_{N}^{2} \leq e^{6 C_{0}}(p q) \sum b_{k}^{2}$ since (20), and some routine calculations.
Finally we estimate $J_{4 N}$. In fact, by using (22), (35) and (43), and noting $\left|m_{N}\right|=\left|\sum b_{k} K_{k}^{\prime}\right| \leq$ $p q e^{4 C_{0}} \sum\left|b_{k}\right|$ since (20), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
J_{4 N} & \leq \int_{\varepsilon_{0} \omega_{N} \leq|\psi| \leq \pi \omega_{N}}\left(\left|\frac{d \rho\left(u, v, \psi / \omega_{N}\right)}{d v}\right|+\left|m_{N}\right|\left|\rho\left(u, v, \psi / \omega_{N}\right)\right|\right) d \psi \\
& \leq C(p q) e^{\sum K_{k}} \sum\left|b_{k}\right| \int_{\varepsilon_{0} \omega_{N} \leq|\psi| \leq \pi \omega_{N}} e^{-c \omega_{N}^{2}} d \psi \\
& \leq C(p q) e^{\sum K_{k}} \sum\left|b_{k}\right| / \omega_{N}^{2} \leq C e^{\sum K_{k}} \sum\left|b_{k}\right|^{3} / \sum b_{k}^{2} \tag{56}
\end{align*}
$$

Combining (51)-(56) and noting [Lemma 1 in Höglund(1978)]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{\pi} / 2 \leq \sqrt{2 \pi} \omega_{N} G_{n}(p)<1 \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

we obtain the required (50). The proof of Lemma 3.5 is complete.

We are now ready to prove Theorem 3.1.
Let $T=\delta \sum\left|b_{k}\right|^{2} / \sum\left|b_{k}\right|^{3}$, where $\delta=\min \left\{\delta_{0}, \delta_{1}\right\}$ with that $\delta_{0}$ and $\delta_{1}$ are defned as in Lemmas 3.2 and 3.4. Defne

$$
f(v)=E e^{(u+i v) S_{n}} / E e^{u S_{n}} \quad \text { and } \quad g(v)=e^{i v m_{N}-v^{2} \sigma_{N}^{2} / 2} .
$$

Note that $f(v)$ and $g(v)$ are characteristic functions of the random variable with distribution function $H_{n}(x ; u)$ and the normal random variable with mean $m_{N}$ and variance $\sigma_{N}^{2}$, respectively. By Esseen's smoothing inequality,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{x}\left|H_{n}(x ; u)-\Phi\left(\frac{x-m_{N}}{\sigma_{N}}\right)\right| \leq \int_{-T}^{T}|v|^{-1}|f(v)-g(v)| d v+12 /\left(T \sigma_{N}\right) . \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recalling $\sum b_{k}=0$ and (20), it is readily seen that

$$
\begin{align*}
\sigma_{N}^{2} & =\sum\left(b_{k}-\sum b_{k} K_{k}^{\prime \prime} / \sum K_{k}^{\prime \prime}\right)^{2} K_{k}^{\prime \prime} \\
& >e^{-6 C_{0}} p q \sum\left(b_{k}-\sum b_{k} K_{k}^{\prime \prime} / \sum K_{k}^{\prime \prime}\right)^{2} \geq e^{-6 C_{0}} p q \sum\left|b_{k}\right|^{2} . \tag{59}
\end{align*}
$$

This, together with (58), implies that (12) will follow if we prove

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-T}^{T}|v|^{-1}|f(v)-g(v)| d v \leq C(p q)^{-1 / 2} \sum\left|b_{k}\right|^{3} /\left(\sum b_{k}^{2}\right)^{3 / 2} \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

Without loss of generality, we assume $\omega_{N}$ suffciently large so that $\left|O_{1} / \omega_{N}\right| \leq 1 / 2$, where $O_{1}$ is defned as in (39). Otherwise (60) is trivial by the fact $1 / \sqrt{N} \leq \sum\left|b_{k}\right|^{3} /\left(\sum b_{k}^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}$. For $\left|O_{1} / \omega_{N}\right| \leq 1 / 2$, it follows from Lemma 3.4 that

$$
\begin{align*}
|f(v)-g(v)| & \leq \exp \left(-v^{2} \sigma_{N}^{2} / 2\right) \frac{\left|R-O_{1} / \omega_{N}\right|}{\left|1+O_{1} / \omega_{N}\right|} \\
& \leq C\left(|v|^{3}(p q) \sum\left|b_{k}\right|^{3}+1 / \omega_{N}\right) e^{-v^{2} \sigma_{N}^{2} / 4} \tag{61}
\end{align*}
$$

This, together with (59), implies that

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{T_{1} \leq|v| \leq T}|v|^{-1}|f(v)-g(v)| d v & \leq C(p q)^{-1 / 2} \sum\left|b_{k}\right|^{3} /\left(\sum\left|b_{k}\right|^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}+C / \omega_{N} \\
& \leq 2 C(p q)^{-1 / 2} \sum\left|b_{k}\right|^{3} /\left(\sum\left|b_{k}\right|^{2}\right)^{3 / 2} \tag{62}
\end{align*}
$$

where $T_{1}=\min \left\{\left(p q \sum\left|b_{k}\right|^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2}, T\right\}$.
In the following, we let

$$
f_{1}(v)=e^{-i v m_{N}} f(v) \quad \text { and } \quad g_{1}(v)=e^{-i v m_{N}} g(v)=\exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2} v^{2} \sigma_{N}^{2}\right\}
$$

By (39) and Lemma 3.5, for $|v| \leq T_{1}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|f_{1}^{\prime}(v)-g_{1}^{\prime}(v)\right| & =\left[E e^{u S_{n}}\right]^{-1}\left|\frac{d\left[e^{-i v m_{N}} E e^{(u+i v) S_{n}}\right]}{d v}+v \sigma_{N}^{2} e^{-\frac{1}{2} v^{2} \sigma_{N}^{2}} E e^{u S_{n}}\right| \\
& \leq \frac{C G_{n}(p)\left(\sum K_{k}^{\prime \prime}\right)^{1 / 2}}{\left|1+O_{1} / \omega_{N}\right|} \sum\left|b_{k}\right|^{3} / \sum\left|b_{k}\right|^{2} \\
& \leq C \sum\left|b_{k}\right|^{3} / \sum\left|b_{k}\right|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used $\left|O_{1} / \omega_{N}\right| \leq 1 / 2$ and the fact that, by (43) and (57),

$$
G_{n}(p)\left(\sum K_{k}^{\prime \prime}\right)^{1 / 2} \leq e^{2 C_{0}} G_{n}(p) \omega_{N} \leq C
$$

This, together with the fact that $|f(v)-g(v)|=\left|f_{1}(v)-f_{2}(v)\right| \leq|v| \sup _{0 \leq t \leq v}\left|f_{1}^{\prime}(t)-g_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right|$, implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{|v| \leq T_{1}}|v|^{-1}|f(v)-g(v)| d v \leq C(p q)^{-1 / 2} \sum\left|b_{k}\right|^{3} /\left(\sum b_{k}^{2}\right)^{3 / 2} \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now (60) follows from (62) and (63). The proof of Theorem 3.1 is complete.

## 4 Proof of Proposition 2.1

Roughly speaking, the proof of Proposition 2.1 is based on the conjugate method and an application of Theorem 3.1 to the $b_{k}$ speci£ed in (64) below. We need some preliminaries £rst.

Let $0<\lambda \leq 2,0 \leq \theta \leq 1$ and $\left|\theta_{1}\right| \leq 72$. Defne, for $k=1, \cdots, N$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{k}=\lambda b a_{k}-\theta b^{2} q\left(a_{k}^{2}-1\right)+\theta_{1} b^{4} q^{2}\left[\left(a_{k}^{2}-1\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{N} \sum\left(a_{j}^{2}-1\right)^{2}\right] \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\sum a_{k}=0$ and $\sum a_{k}^{2}=N$, it is readily seen that $\max _{k}\left|a_{k}\right| \geq 1$ and $\sum b_{k}=0$. Also, when $b \max _{k}\left|a_{k}\right| \leq 1 / 128$, we have that, $b \beta_{3 N} \leq 1 / 128$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\max _{k}\left|b_{k}\right| & \leq 1 / 32  \tag{65}\\
\left|\sum b_{k}^{2}-\lambda^{2} b^{2} N\right| & \leq 5 N b^{3} q \beta_{3 N}  \tag{66}\\
\sum\left|b_{k}\right|^{3} & \leq 9 N b^{3} \beta_{3 N} \tag{67}
\end{align*}
$$

So, recalling $b=x / \omega_{N}$, (65)-(67) hold true if $0 \leq x \leq(1 / 128) \omega_{N} / \max _{k}\left|a_{k}\right|$.
Defne $K(z)$ as in (10). We still use the notation $K_{k}, K_{k}^{\prime}$ and $K_{k}^{\prime \prime}$ denote the values of $K(z), K^{\prime}(z)$ and $K^{\prime \prime}(z)$ evaluated at $z=b_{k}+\alpha_{N}$, where $\alpha_{N}$ is the solution of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum K^{\prime}\left(b_{k}+\alpha\right)=0 \tag{68}
\end{equation*}
$$

As shown in the solution of (11), if (65) holds true, then $\alpha_{N}$ is unique and $\left|\alpha_{N}\right| \leq 1 / 32$.
We establish four lemmas before the proof of Proposition 2.1.

Lemma 4.1. If $0 \leq x \leq(1 / 128) \omega_{N} / \max _{k}\left|a_{k}\right|$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\alpha_{N}\right| \leq \min \left\{1 / 32,(2 / N) \sum b_{k}^{2}\right\}, \quad \alpha_{N}^{2} \leq(9 / 8) b^{3} \beta_{3 N} \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The inequality that $\left|\alpha_{N}\right| \leq 1 / 32$ has been proved above. By noting $\left|b_{k}\right|+\left|\alpha_{N}\right| \leq$ $1 / 16$ by (65), it follows from (17), (68) and $\sum b_{k}=0$ that

$$
\begin{aligned}
N\left|\alpha_{N}\right| & =\left|\sum\left[K^{\prime}\left(b_{k}+\alpha_{N}\right) / p q-\left(b_{k}+\alpha_{N}\right)\right]\right| \\
& \leq \sum\left(b_{k}+\alpha_{N}\right)^{2}=\sum b_{k}^{2}+N \alpha_{N}^{2} \\
& \leq \sum b_{k}^{2}+N\left|\alpha_{N}\right| / 2 .
\end{aligned}
$$

This yields $\left|\alpha_{N}\right| \leq(2 / N) \sum b_{k}^{2}$, and hence the £rst result of (69) follows. Furthermore, by using Hölder's inequality, $\left|b_{k}\right| \leq 1 / 32$ and (67),

$$
\alpha_{N}^{2} \leq(4 / N) \sum b_{k}^{4} \leq(9 / 8) b^{3} \beta_{3 N}
$$

which implies the second result of (69). The proof of Lemma 4.1 is complete.
Lemma 4.2. If $0 \leq x \leq(1 / 128) \omega_{N} / \max _{k}\left|a_{k}\right|$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\sum K_{k}-\lambda^{2} x^{2} / 2\right| & \leq 24 x^{3} \beta_{3 N} / \omega_{N}  \tag{70}\\
\left|\sum b_{k} K_{k}^{\prime}-\lambda^{2} x^{2}\right| & \leq 24 x^{3} \beta_{3 N} / \omega_{N}  \tag{71}\\
\left|\sum K_{k}^{\prime \prime}-\omega_{N}^{2}\right| & \leq 41 x^{2}  \tag{72}\\
\left|\sum b_{k} K_{k}^{\prime \prime}\right| & \leq 6 x^{2}  \tag{73}\\
\left|\sum b_{k}^{2} K_{k}^{\prime \prime}-\lambda^{2} x^{2}\right| & \leq 21 x^{3} \beta_{3 N} / \omega_{N} \tag{74}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. We prove (70). The others are similar and omitted. Applying (16) with $x=b_{k}+\alpha_{N}$ and using Hölder's inquality,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\sum\left[K_{k}-2^{-1} p q\left(b_{k}+\alpha_{N}\right)^{2}\right]\right| \leq 2 p q\left(\sum\left|b_{k}\right|^{3}+N \alpha_{N}^{3}\right) \tag{75}
\end{equation*}
$$

This, together with $\sum b_{k}=0$, (66)-(67) and (69), implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\sum K_{k}-\lambda^{2} x^{2} / 2\right| \leq & \left|\sum\left[K_{k}-2^{-1} p q\left(b_{k}+\alpha_{N}\right)^{2}\right]\right| \\
& +2^{-1} p q\left|\sum b_{k}^{2}-\lambda^{2} b^{2} N\right|+2^{-1} \omega_{N}^{2} \alpha_{N}^{2} \\
\leq & 24 b^{3} \omega_{N}^{2} \beta_{3 N}=24 x^{3} \beta_{3 N} / \omega_{N}
\end{aligned}
$$

as required.

Let $Y_{j}, j=1,2, \ldots, n$ be a random sample of size $n$ without replacement from $\left\{b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{N}\right\}$ defned by (64), $T_{n}^{*} \equiv T_{n}\left(\lambda, \theta, \theta_{1}\right)=\sum_{k=1}^{n} Y_{k}, m_{N}^{*} \equiv m_{N}\left(\lambda, \theta, \theta_{1}\right)=\sum b_{k} K_{k}^{\prime}$,

$$
\sigma_{N}^{* 2} \equiv \sigma_{N}^{2}\left(\lambda, \theta, \theta_{1}\right)=\sum b_{k}^{2} K_{k}^{\prime \prime}-\left(\sum b_{k} K_{k}^{\prime \prime}\right)^{2} / \sum K_{k}^{\prime \prime},
$$

and $H_{n}^{*}(u)=E \exp \left(T_{n}^{*}\right) I\left(T_{n}^{*} \leq u\right) / E \exp \left(T_{n}^{*}\right)$.
Lemma 4.3. There exists an absolute constant $\lambda_{0}>0$ such that, for $2 \leq x \leq \lambda_{0} \omega_{N} / \max _{k}\left|a_{k}\right|$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exp \left\{\lambda^{2} x^{2} / 2-A x^{3} \beta_{3 N} / \omega_{N}\right\} \leq E \exp \left(T_{n}^{*}\right) \leq \exp \left\{\lambda^{2} x^{2} / 2+A x^{3} \beta_{3 N} / \omega_{N}\right\} \tag{76}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, assume $\lambda_{0} \leq \min \left\{1 / 128,1 /\left(8 C_{1}+4\right)\right\}$, where $C_{1}$ is defned as in (39). Recall that $\max _{k}\left|b_{k}\right| \leq 1 / 32$ by (65). It follows from Lemma 3.4 with $C_{0}=1 / 32, u=1$ and $v=0$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
E \exp \left(T_{n}^{*}\right)=\left(G_{n}(p)\right)^{-1}\left(\sum K_{k}^{\prime \prime}\right)^{-1 / 2} \exp \left\{\sum_{j=1}^{N} K_{k}\right\}\left(1+R^{*}\right) \tag{77}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G_{n}(p)=\sqrt{2 \pi}\binom{N}{n} p^{n} q^{N-n}$ and $\left|R^{*}\right| \leq C_{1} / \omega_{N}$. By Stirling's formula,

$$
\binom{N}{n} p^{n} q^{N-n}=\left(2 \pi \omega_{N}^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2}\left(1+O_{2} \omega_{N}^{-2}\right)
$$

where $\left|O_{2}\right| \leq 1 / 6$. This, together with $\omega_{N} \geq x \max _{k}\left|a_{k}\right| / \lambda_{0} \geq 128\left(\right.$ recall $\left._{\max _{k}}\left|a_{k}\right| \geq 1\right)$, implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{N}^{-1} G_{n}(p)^{-1}\left(1+R^{*}\right)=1+O_{3} \omega_{N}^{-1} \tag{78}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left|O_{3}\right| \leq 2 C_{1}+1$. On the other hand, it follows from (72) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\sum K_{k}^{\prime \prime}\right)^{-1 / 2} \omega_{N}=1+O_{4} b^{2} \tag{79}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left|O_{4}\right| \leq 82$. From (78)-(79), for $2 \leq x \leq \lambda_{0} \omega_{N} / \max _{k}\left|a_{k}\right|$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exp \left\{-2 A_{1} x^{3} \beta_{3 N} / \omega_{N}\right\} \leq\left(\sum K_{k}^{\prime \prime}\right)^{-1 / 2} G_{n}(p)^{-1}\left(1+R^{*}\right) \leq \exp \left\{A_{1} x^{3} \beta_{3 N} / \omega_{N}\right\} \tag{80}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{1}=2 C_{1}+83$ and we have used the fact that $1 / \omega_{N}+b^{2} \leq x^{3} \beta_{3 N} / \omega_{N}$ since $b=x / \omega_{N}$ and $\beta_{3 N} \geq 1$. Now (76) follows easily from (70), (77) and (80). The proof of Lemma 4.3 is complete.

Lemma 4.4. There exists an absolute constant $\lambda_{1}>0$ such that, for $2 \leq x \leq \lambda_{1} \omega_{N} / \max _{k}\left|a_{k}\right|$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|m_{N}^{*}-\lambda^{2} x^{2}\right| & \leq 24 x^{3} \beta_{3 N} / \omega_{N}  \tag{81}\\
\left|\sigma_{N}^{* 2}-\lambda^{2} x^{2}\right| & \leq 22 x^{3} \beta_{3 N} / \omega_{N} \tag{82}
\end{align*}
$$

If in addition $1 \leq \lambda \leq 2$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{N}:=\sup _{y}\left|H_{n}^{*}(u(y))-\Phi(y)\right| \leq 12 C \beta_{3 N} / \omega_{N} \leq 1 / 4 \tag{83}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u(y)=y \sigma_{N}^{*}+m_{N}^{*}$ and $C$ is defned as in Theorem 3.1.
Also, for all $y$ satisfying $m_{N}^{*} \geq y+2 \sigma_{N}^{*}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(T_{n}^{*} \geq y\right) \geq(1 / 2) \exp \left\{-m_{N}^{*}-2 \sigma_{N}^{*}\right\} E \exp \left(T_{n}^{*}\right) \tag{84}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, assume $\lambda_{1} \leq \min \{1 / 128,1 /(25 C)\}$, where $C$ is defned as in Theorem 3.1. Then (81) and (82) follow from (71)-(74) by a simple calculation.

If $1 \leq \lambda \leq 2$, by noting $\beta_{3 N} / \omega_{N} \leq x \beta_{3 N} /\left(2 \omega_{N}\right) \leq \min \{1 / 128,1 /(50 C)\}$ since $\beta_{3 N} \leq$ $\max _{k}\left|a_{k}\right|$, it follows easily from (65)-(67) that $p q \sum b_{k}^{2} \geq 4 x^{2} / 5$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
(p q)^{-1 / 2} \sum\left|b_{k}\right|^{3} /\left(\sum b_{k}^{2}\right)^{3 / 2} \leq 12 \beta_{3 N} / \omega_{N} \leq 1 /(4 C) \tag{85}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (85) and Theorem 3.1 with $C_{0}=1 / 32$ and $u=1\left(\right.$ recall $\left.\max _{k}\left|b_{k}\right| \leq 1 / 32\right)$,

$$
\Delta_{N} \leq C(p q)^{-1 / 2} \sum\left|b_{k}\right|^{3} /\left(\sum b_{k}^{2}\right)^{3 / 2} \leq 12 C \beta_{3 N} / \omega_{N} \leq 1 / 4
$$

which implies (83).
We next prove (84). In fact, by (83) and the conjugate method, for all $y$ satisfying $m_{N}^{*} \geq$ $y+2 \sigma_{N}^{*}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P\left(T_{n}^{*}\right.\geq y) / E \exp \left(T_{n}^{*}\right)=\int_{y}^{\infty} e^{-u} d H_{n}^{*}(u) \\
& \quad=e^{-m_{N}^{*}} \int_{\left(y-m_{N}^{*}\right) / \sigma_{N}^{*}}^{\infty} e^{-x \sigma_{N}^{*}} d H_{n}^{*}(u(y)) \\
& \quad \geq e^{-m_{N}^{*}-2 \sigma_{N}^{*}} \int_{-2}^{2} d H_{n}^{*}(u(y)) \\
& \quad \geq e^{-m_{N}^{*}-2 \sigma_{N}^{*}}\left(P(|N(0,1)| \leq 2)-\Delta_{N}\right) \\
& \quad \geq(1 / 2) \exp \left\{-m_{N}^{*}-2 \sigma_{N}^{*}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $N(0,1)$ is a standard normal random variable and we have used the fact that

$$
P(|N(0,1)| \leq 2)>3 / 4
$$

This proves (84) and also completes the proof of Lemma 4.4.

After these preliminaries, we are now ready to prove Proposition 2.1.

In addition to the previous notation, we further let $T_{1 n}=T_{n}\left(1, \xi, \xi_{1}\right)$,

$$
m_{1 N}=m_{N}\left(1, \xi, \xi_{1}\right), \quad \sigma_{1 N}^{2}=\sigma_{N}^{2}\left(1, \xi, \xi_{1}\right), \quad \varepsilon_{N}=\left(x^{2}+h-m_{1 N}\right) / \sigma_{1 N}
$$

and $H_{1 n}(u)=E \exp \left\{T_{1 n}\right\} I\left(T_{1 n} \leq u\right) / E \exp \left\{T_{1 n}\right\}$. Note that

$$
b S_{n}-\xi b^{2} q V_{1 n}+\xi_{1} b^{4} q^{2} V_{2 n}=T_{1 n}
$$

It follows from the conjugate method that,

$$
\begin{align*}
& P\left(b S_{n}-\xi b^{2} q V_{1 n}+\xi_{1} b^{4} q^{2} V_{2 n} \geq x^{2}+h\right)=P\left(T_{1 n} \geq x^{2}+h\right) \\
& \quad=E \exp \left\{T_{1 n}\right\} \int_{x^{2}+h}^{\infty} e^{-t} d H_{1 n}(t) \\
& \quad=E \exp \left\{T_{1 n}\right\} e^{-x^{2}-h} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-t \sigma_{1 N}} d H_{1 n}\left[\sigma_{1 N}\left(t+\varepsilon_{N}\right)+m_{1 N}\right] \\
& \quad=E \exp \left\{T_{1 n}\right\} e^{-x^{2}-h}\left(\mathcal{L}_{N}+R_{N}\right) \tag{86}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{N} & =\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-t \sigma_{1 N}} d \Phi\left(t+\varepsilon_{N}\right), \\
R_{N} & =\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-t \sigma_{1 N}} d\left\{H_{1 n}\left[\sigma_{1 N}\left(t+\varepsilon_{N}\right)+m_{1 N}\right]-\Phi\left(t+\varepsilon_{N}\right)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We next estimate $E \exp \left\{T_{1 n}\right\}, \mathcal{L}_{N}$ and $R_{N}$ for $0 \leq \xi \leq 1 / 2,\left|\xi_{1}\right| \leq 36,|h| \leq x^{2} / 5$ and $2 \leq$ $x \leq \eta \omega_{N} / \max _{k}\left|a_{k}\right|$, where we assume $\eta$ suffciently small such that $\eta \leq \min \left\{1 / 128, \lambda_{0}, \lambda_{1}\right\}$, with $\lambda_{0}$ and $\lambda_{1}$ defned as in Lemmas 4.3 and 4.4. This $\eta$ chosen guarantees that Lemmas 4.1-4.4 hold true, and since $\beta_{3 N} \leq \max _{k}\left|a_{k}\right|$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{3 N} / \omega_{N} \leq x \beta_{3 N} /\left(2 \omega_{N}\right) \leq \eta / 2 \leq 1 / 256 \tag{87}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly, by Lemma 4.3,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exp \left\{x^{2} / 2-A x^{3} \beta_{3 N} / \omega_{N}\right\} \leq E \exp \left\{T_{1 n}\right\} \leq \exp \left\{x^{2} / 2+A x^{3} \beta_{3 N} / \omega_{N}\right\} \tag{88}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to estimate $\mathcal{L}_{N}$, we note that

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{L}_{N} & =\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\sigma_{N} t-\frac{1}{2}\left(t+\varepsilon_{N}\right)^{2}} d t \\
& =\frac{e^{-\varepsilon_{N}^{2} / 2}}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\left(\varepsilon_{N}+\sigma_{N}\right) t-\frac{1}{2} t^{2}} d t \\
& :=\frac{e^{-\varepsilon_{N}^{2} / 2}}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \mathcal{L}_{1 N} . \tag{89}
\end{align*}
$$

Write $\psi(t)=\{1-\Phi(t)\} / \Phi^{\prime}(t)=e^{t^{2} / 2} \int_{t}^{\infty} e^{-y^{2} / 2} d y$. It is readily seen that,

$$
\begin{equation*}
3 / 4 \leq t \psi(t) \leq 1 \quad \text { for } \quad t \geq 2, \quad \text { and } \quad\left|\psi^{\prime}(t)\right|=|t \psi(t)-1| \leq t^{-2} \quad \text { for } \quad t>0 \tag{90}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, $\psi\left\{\varepsilon_{N}+\sigma_{N}\right\}=\mathcal{L}_{1 N}$, and by virtue of (81)-(82) and (87),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\varepsilon_{N}-h / \sigma_{N}\right| \leq 28 x^{2} \beta_{3 N} / \omega_{N} \tag{91}
\end{equation*}
$$

and if in addition $|h| \leq x^{2} / 5$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\varepsilon_{N}+\sigma_{N}-x\right| \leq 3|h| /(2 x)+41 x^{2} \beta_{3 N} / \omega_{N} \leq 2 x / 3 \tag{92}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (90)-(92), it follows from Taylor's expansion that, for $|h| \leq x^{2} / 5$ and $2 \leq x \leq \eta \omega_{N} / \max _{k}\left|a_{k}\right|$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{1 N} & =\psi\left\{\varepsilon_{N}+\sigma_{N}\right\} \\
& =\psi(x)+\psi^{\prime}(\theta)\left\{\varepsilon_{N}+\sigma_{N}-x\right\}, \quad[\text { where } \theta \in(x / 3,5 x / 3)] \\
& =\psi(x)\left(1+\tau+O_{5} x \beta_{3 N} / \omega_{N}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $|\tau| \leq 9|h| / x^{2}$ and $\left|O_{5}\right| \leq 120$. Therefore, taking account of (89), we get for $|h| \leq x^{2} / 5$ and $2 \leq x \leq \eta \omega_{N} / \max _{k}\left|a_{k}\right|$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{N}=e^{x^{2} / 2}\{1-\Phi(x)\} e^{-\varepsilon_{N}^{2} / 2}\left(1+\tau+O_{5} x \beta_{3 N} / \omega_{N}\right) \tag{93}
\end{equation*}
$$

As for $R_{N}$, by (83) and integration by parts,

$$
\left|R_{N}\right| \leq 2 \sup _{t}\left|H_{1 n}\left[\sigma_{1 N} t+m_{1 N}\right]-\Phi(t)\right| \leq 24 C \beta_{3 N} / \omega_{N}
$$

This, together with (90), implies that for $x \geq 2$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{N}=O_{6} x \beta_{3 N} / \omega_{N} e^{x^{2} / 2}\{1-\Phi(x)\} \tag{94}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left|O_{6}\right| \leq 32 \sqrt{2 \pi} C$.
Combining (86), (88) and (93)-(94), it is readily seen that for any $|h| \leq x^{2} / 5$ and $2 \leq x \leq$ $\eta \omega_{N} / \max _{k}\left|a_{k}\right|$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{P\left(b S_{n}-\xi b^{2} q V_{1 n}+\xi_{1} b^{4} q^{2} V_{2 n} \geq x^{2}+h\right)}{1-\Phi(x)} \\
& \leq\left[1+9|h| x^{-2}\right] \exp \left\{-h+A x^{3} \beta_{3 N} / \omega_{N}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This proves (7).

Similarly, by letting $h=0$, it follows from (86), (88), (91) and (93)-(94) that if, in addition, $x^{2} \leq \omega_{N} / \beta_{3 N}$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{P\left(b S_{n}-\xi b^{2} q V_{1 n}+\xi_{1} b^{4} q^{2} V_{2 n} \geq x^{2}\right)}{1-\Phi(x)} \\
\geq & \exp \left\{-A x^{3} \beta_{3 N} / \omega_{N}-\varepsilon_{N}^{2} / 2\right\}\left[1-\left\{\left|O_{5}\right|+\left|O_{6}\right| e^{\varepsilon_{N}^{2} / 2}\right\} x \beta_{3 N} / \omega_{N}\right] \\
\geq & \exp \left\{-A_{1} x^{3} \beta_{3 N} / \omega_{N}\right\}\left[1-A_{2} x \beta_{3 N} / \omega_{N}\right] \\
\geq & \exp \left\{-A_{3} x^{3} \beta_{3 N} / \omega_{N}\right\}, \tag{95}
\end{align*}
$$

by choosing $\eta$ suffciently small. From (95), the property (6) will follow if we prove that, for $x^{2} \geq \omega_{N} / \beta_{3 N}$ and $2 \leq x \leq \eta \omega_{N} / \max _{k}\left|a_{k}\right|$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{P\left(b S_{n}-\xi b^{2} q V_{1 n}+\xi_{1} b^{4} q^{2} V_{2 n} \geq x^{2}\right)}{1-\Phi(x)} \geq \exp \left\{-A x^{3} \beta_{3 N} / \omega_{N}\right\} \tag{96}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will prove (96) by using (84). Let $\lambda=1+28 x \beta_{3 N} / \omega_{N}, \theta=\lambda \xi$ and $\theta_{1}=\lambda \xi_{1}$. Note that, $1 \leq \lambda \leq 3 / 2$ by (87), $0 \leq \theta \leq 3 / 4$ since $0 \leq \xi \leq 1 / 2$ and $\left|\theta_{1}\right| \leq 72$ since $\left|\xi_{1}\right| \leq 36$. By virtue of (81)-(82), (87) and $x^{2} \geq \omega_{N} / \beta_{3 N}$, we have $m_{N}^{*} \leq \lambda^{2} x^{2}+24 x^{3} \beta_{3 N} / \omega_{N}$, $\sigma_{N}^{*} \leq 2 x \leq 2 x^{3} \beta_{3 N} / \omega_{N}$ and

$$
m_{N}^{*}-\lambda x^{2}-2 \sigma_{N}^{*} \geq \lambda(\lambda-1) x^{2}-28 x^{3} \beta_{3 N} / \omega_{N} \geq 0
$$

Now, by (84) with $y=\lambda x^{2}$ and Lemma 4.3, for $x^{2} \geq \omega_{N} / \beta_{3 N}$ and $2 \leq x \leq \eta \omega_{N} / \max _{k}\left|a_{k}\right|$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P\left(b S_{n}-\xi b^{2} q V_{1 n}+\xi_{1} b^{4} q^{2} V_{2 n} \geq x^{2}\right)=P\left(T_{n}^{*} \geq \lambda x^{2}\right) \\
& \quad \geq \frac{1}{2} \exp \left\{-m_{N}^{*}-2 \sigma_{N}^{*}\right\} E \exp \left\{T_{n}^{*}\right\} \\
& \quad \geq \frac{1}{2} \exp \left\{-x^{2} / 2-2 x-A x^{3} \beta_{3 N} / \omega_{N}\right\} \\
& \quad \geq(1-\Phi(x)) \exp \left\{-A_{1} x^{3} \beta_{3 N} / \omega_{N}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies (96). The proof of Proposition 2.1 is now complete.

## 5 Proof of Proposition 2.2

By the inequality $(1+y)^{1 / 2} \geq 1+y / 2-y^{2}$ for any $y \geq-1$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& P\left(S_{n} \geq x \sqrt{q} V_{n}\right)=P\left(S_{n} \geq x \sqrt{n q}\left(1+\frac{V_{n}^{2}-n}{n}\right)^{1 / 2}\right) \\
& \quad \leq P\left(S_{n} \geq x \sqrt{n q}\left[1+\frac{V_{1 n}}{2 n}-\frac{V_{1 n}^{2}}{n^{2}}\right]\right) \\
& \quad \leq P\left(V_{1 n}^{2} \geq 36 x^{2}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(X_{k}^{2}-1\right)^{2}+5 p \sum a_{k}^{4}\right)\right) \\
& \quad+P\left(S_{n} \geq x \sqrt{n q}\left(1+\frac{V_{1 n}}{2 n}-\frac{36 x^{2}}{n^{2}}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(X_{k}^{2}-1\right)^{2}+5 p \sum a_{k}^{4}\right)\right)\right) \\
& \quad:=R_{1 n}+R_{2 n}, \quad \text { say. } \tag{97}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that $R_{2 n}=P\left(b S_{n}-\frac{1}{2} b^{2} q V_{1 n}+36 b^{4} q^{2} V_{2 n} \geq x^{2}-h_{0}\right)$, where, whenever $2 \leq x \leq$ $(1 / 128) \omega_{N} / \max _{k}\left|a_{k}\right|$,

$$
h_{0}=\frac{180 p x^{4} \sum a_{k}^{4}}{n^{2}}+\frac{36 x^{4} \sum_{k=1}^{n} E\left(X_{k}^{2}-1\right)^{2}}{n^{2}} \leq \frac{3 x^{3} \beta_{3 N}}{\omega_{N}}
$$

and also $0 \leq h_{0} \leq x^{2} / 5$. It follows from Proposition 2.1 with $\xi=1 / 2, \xi_{1}=36$ and $h=h_{0}$ that there exists an absolute constant $A>128$ such that, for all $2 \leq x \leq(1 / A) \omega_{N} / \max _{k}\left|a_{k}\right|$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{2 n} \leq(1-\Phi(x)) \exp \left\{A x^{3} \beta_{3 N / \omega_{N}}\right\} \tag{98}
\end{equation*}
$$

This, together with (97), implies that Proposition 2.2 will follow if we prove, for all $x>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{1 n} \leq 2 \sqrt{2} e^{-4 x^{2}} \tag{99}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 2.1 of de la Pena, Klass and Lai (2004) will be used to prove (99). To use the theorem, let $Y_{i}=X_{i}^{2}-1, \mathcal{A}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} Y_{k}$ and $\mathcal{B}=\left(2 \sum_{k=1}^{n} Y_{k}^{2}+4 p \sum a_{k}^{4}\right)^{1 / 2}$. It follows from

Theorem 4 of Hoeffding(1963) (also see Lemma 6.2 below) that, for any $\lambda \in R$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E \exp \left\{\lambda \mathcal{A}-\frac{\lambda^{2}}{2} \mathcal{B}^{2}\right\} \\
& \quad=\exp \left\{-2 \lambda^{2} p \sum a_{k}^{4}\right\} E \exp \left\{\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(\lambda Y_{k}-\lambda^{2} Y_{k}^{2}\right)\right\} \\
& \quad \leq \exp \left\{-2 \lambda^{2} p \sum a_{k}^{4}\right\}\left[E \exp \left\{\lambda Y_{1}-\lambda^{2} Y_{1}^{2}\right\}\right]^{n} \\
& \quad \leq \exp \left\{-2 \lambda^{2} p \sum a_{k}^{4}\right\}\left[1+E\left(\lambda Y_{1} I\left(\lambda Y_{1} \geq-1 / 2\right)\right)\right]^{n} \\
& \quad=\exp \left\{-2 \lambda^{2} p \sum a_{k}^{4}\right\}\left[1-E\left(\lambda Y_{1} I\left(\lambda Y_{1} \leq-1 / 2\right)\right)\right]^{n} \\
& \quad \leq \exp \left\{-2 \lambda^{2} p \sum a_{k}^{4}\right\}\left[1+2 \lambda^{2} E Y_{1}^{2}\right]^{n} \\
& \quad \leq \exp \left\{-2 \lambda^{2} p \sum a_{k}^{4}+2 \lambda^{2} n E Y_{1}^{2}\right\} \\
& \quad=\exp \left\{-2 \lambda^{2} p \sum a_{k}^{4}+2 \lambda^{2} p \sum\left(a_{k}^{2}-1\right)^{2}\right\} \leq 1,
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used the inequality $e^{x-x^{2}} \leq 1+x I(x \geq-1 / 2)$. This yields that two random variables $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}>0$ satisfy the condition (1.4) in de la Pena, Klass and Lai (2004). Now, by noting $(E \mathcal{B})^{2} \leq E \mathcal{B}^{2} \leq 6 p \sum a_{k}^{4}$ and applying Theorem 2.1 of de la Pena, Klass and Lai (2004), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& P\left(V_{1 n} \geq 6 x\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(X_{k}^{2}-1\right)^{2}+5 p \sum a_{k}^{4}\right)^{1 / 2}\right) \\
& \quad \leq P\left(\mathcal{A} \geq \frac{6 x}{\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{\mathcal{B}^{2}+(E \mathcal{B})^{2}}\right) \\
& \quad \leq e^{-6 x t / \sqrt{2}} E \exp \left(t \mathcal{A} / \sqrt{\mathcal{B}^{2}+(E \mathcal{B})^{2}}\right) \\
& \quad \leq \sqrt{2} e^{-6 x t / \sqrt{2}+t^{2}} \leq \sqrt{2} e^{-4 x^{2}} \tag{100}
\end{align*}
$$

by letting $t=\sqrt{2} x$. Similarly,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(-V_{1 n} \geq 6 x\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(X_{k}^{2}-1\right)^{2}+5 p \sum a_{k}^{4}\right)^{1 / 2}\right) \leq \sqrt{2} e^{-4 x^{2}} . \tag{101}
\end{equation*}
$$

By virtue of (100) and (101), we obtain (99). The proof of Proposition 2.2 is now complete.

## 6 Proof of Proposition 2.3

Throughout the section, let $\varepsilon_{j}, 1 \leq j \leq N$ be iid random variables with $P\left(\varepsilon_{1}=1\right)=1$ $P\left(\varepsilon_{1}=0\right)=p$, which are also independent of all other random variables, and $B_{N}=\sum_{j=1}^{N}\left(\varepsilon_{j}-\right.$
$p$. By the inequality $(1+y)^{1 / 2} \geq 1+y / 2-y^{2}$ for any $y \geq-1$ again, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& P\left(S_{n} \geq x \sqrt{q} V_{n}\right)=P\left(S_{n} \geq x \sqrt{n q}\left(1+\frac{V_{n}^{2}-n}{n}\right)^{1 / 2}\right) \\
& \quad \leq P\left(S_{n} \geq x \sqrt{n q}\left(1+\frac{V_{n}^{2}-n}{2 n}-\frac{\left(V_{n}^{2}-n\right)^{2}}{n^{2}}\right)\right) \\
& \quad=P\left(\left.\sum \varepsilon_{k} a_{k} \geq x \sqrt{n q}\left(1+\frac{\sum \varepsilon_{k}\left(a_{k}^{2}-1\right)}{2 n}-\frac{\left(\sum \varepsilon_{k}\left(a_{k}^{2}-1\right)\right)^{2}}{n^{2}}\right) \right\rvert\, B_{N}=0\right) \\
& \quad=P\left(\left.\sum\left(\varepsilon_{k}-p\right) g_{k}+\frac{x}{n^{2}} \sum_{1 \leq k \neq j \leq N} \nu_{k} \nu_{j} \geq x-h \right\rvert\, B_{N}=0\right) \\
& \quad=P\left(T_{N}+\Lambda_{N} \geq x-h \mid B_{N}=0\right), \tag{102}
\end{align*}
$$

where $h=x p q \sum\left(a_{k}^{2}-1\right)^{2} / n^{2}$,

$$
T_{N}=\sum\left(\varepsilon_{k}-p\right) g_{k}, \quad \Lambda_{N}=\frac{x}{n^{2}} \sum_{1 \leq k \neq j \leq N} \nu_{k} \nu_{j}
$$

where, for all $j=1, \cdots, N, \nu_{j}=\left(\varepsilon_{j}-p\right)\left(a_{j}^{2}-1\right)$ and

$$
g_{j}=\frac{a_{j}}{\sqrt{n q}}-\frac{x\left(a_{j}^{2}-1\right)}{2 n}+\frac{x(1-2 p)}{n^{2}}\left(\left(a_{j}^{2}-1\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{N} \sum\left(a_{k}^{2}-1\right)^{2}\right)
$$

and where, in the proof of (102), we have used the fact that $\sum a_{k}=0, \sum a_{k}^{2}=N$ and

$$
\left(\varepsilon_{k}-p\right)^{2}=\varepsilon_{k}(1-2 p)+p^{2}=\left(\varepsilon_{k}-p\right)(1-2 p)+p q
$$

We need the following lemmas before the proof of Proposition 2.3.
Lemma 6.1. For any random variable $Z$ with $E|Z|<\infty$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left(Z \mid B_{N}=0\right)=\frac{1}{B_{n}(p)} \int_{-\pi \omega_{N}}^{\pi \omega_{N}} E Z e^{i t B_{N} / \omega_{N}} d t \tag{103}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B_{n}(p)=2 \pi \omega_{N} P\left(B_{N}=0\right)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \leq \sqrt{2 \pi} / B_{n}(p) \leq 1+\omega_{N}^{-2} \tag{104}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Note that $B_{N}=\sum_{j=1}^{N} \varepsilon_{j}-n$ is an integer and for any integer $k$,

$$
\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{i k t} d t= \begin{cases}2 \pi & \text { if } k=0 \\ 0 & \text { if } k \neq 0\end{cases}
$$

The proof of (103) is now obvious. The estimate for $B_{n}(p)$ follows from $P\left(B_{N}=0\right)=$ $\binom{N}{n} p^{n} q^{N-n}$ and Stirling's formula.

Lemma 6.2. Let the population $\{C\}_{N}$ consist of $N$ values $c_{1}, \cdots, c_{N}$. Let $\tilde{X}_{1}, \cdots, \tilde{X}_{n}$ denote a random sample without replacement from $\{C\}_{N}$ and let $\tilde{Y}_{1}, \cdots, \tilde{Y}_{n}$ denote a random sample with replacement from $\{C\}_{N}$. Then for any continuous and convex function $f(x)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
E f\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \tilde{X}_{k}\right) & \leq E f\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \tilde{Y}_{k}\right) .  \tag{105}\\
E f\left(\frac{n-1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \tilde{X}_{k}^{2}+\frac{N-1}{N} \sum_{1 \leq k \neq j \leq n} \tilde{X}_{k} \tilde{X}_{j}\right) & \leq E f\left(\sum_{1 \leq k \neq j \leq n} \tilde{Y}_{k} \tilde{Y}_{j}\right) . \tag{106}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. (105) is Theorem 4 of Hoeffding(1963). We next prove (106). As in the proof of Theorem 4 in Hoeffding(1963), for any function $f$, there exists a function $\bar{g}_{f}\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)$ which is symmetric in $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
E f\left(\sum_{1 \leq k \neq j \leq n} \tilde{Y}_{k} \tilde{Y}_{j}\right)=E \bar{g}_{f}\left(\tilde{X}_{1}, \cdots, \tilde{X}_{n}\right) \tag{107}
\end{equation*}
$$

By noting

$$
E f\left(\sum_{1 \leq k \neq j \leq n} \tilde{Y}_{k} \tilde{Y}_{j}\right)=\frac{1}{N^{n}} \sum_{k_{1}, \ldots, k_{n}=1}^{N} f\left[\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{k_{j}}\right)^{2}-\sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{k_{j}}^{2}\right]
$$

as in (6.6) of Hoeffding(1963), $\bar{g}_{f}$ can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{g}_{f}\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)=\sum^{\prime} p\left(k, i_{1}, \cdots, i_{k}, r_{1}, \cdots, r_{k}\right) f\left[\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} r_{j} x_{i_{j}}\right)^{2}-\sum_{j=1}^{k} r_{j} x_{i_{j}}^{2}\right] \tag{108}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the sum $\sum^{\prime}$ is taken over the positive integers $k, i_{1}, \cdots, i_{k}, r_{1}, \cdots, r_{k}$ such that $k=$ $1,2, \ldots, n, \sum_{j=1}^{k} r_{j}=n$ and $i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}$ are all different and do not exceed $n$. The coeffcients $p$ are non-negative and do not depend on the function $f$. In particular, when $f(\cdot)=x$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{g}_{x}\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)=K_{0} \sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{k}^{2}+K_{1} \sum_{1 \leq k \neq j \leq n} x_{k} x_{j} \tag{109}
\end{equation*}
$$

since $\bar{g}_{f}$ is symmetric on $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$, where $K_{0}$ and $K_{1}$ are constants. Since

$$
E \sum_{1 \leq k \neq j \leq n} \tilde{Y}_{k} \tilde{Y}_{j}=K_{0} E \sum_{k=1}^{n} \tilde{X}_{k}^{2}+K_{1} E \sum_{1 \leq k \neq j \leq n} \tilde{X}_{k} \tilde{X}_{j}
$$

by (107) and (109), we have that

$$
\frac{n(n-1)}{N^{2}}\left(\sum c_{k}\right)^{2}=\frac{K_{0} n \sum c_{k}^{2}}{N}+\frac{K_{1} n(n-1)}{N(N-1)}\left(\left(\sum c_{k}\right)^{2}-\sum c_{k}^{2}\right)
$$

holds true for any $c_{1}, \cdots, c_{N} \in \mathcal{R}$, and hence $K_{0}=\frac{n-1}{N}$ and $K_{1}=\frac{N-1}{N}$. On the other hand, by letting $f=1$ in (107) and (108),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum^{\prime} p\left(k, i_{1}, \cdots, i_{k}, r_{1}, \cdots, r_{k}\right)=1 \tag{110}
\end{equation*}
$$

By virtue of (107)-(110), it follows from the Jensen's inequality that, for any continuous and convex function $f(x)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
E f\left(\frac{n-1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \tilde{X}_{k}^{2}+\frac{N-1}{N} \sum_{1 \leq k \neq j \leq n} \tilde{X}_{k} \tilde{X}_{j}\right) & =E f\left(\bar{g}_{x}\left(\tilde{X}_{1}, \cdots, \tilde{X}_{n}\right)\right) \\
\leq E \bar{g}_{f}\left(\tilde{X}_{1}, \cdots, \tilde{X}_{n}\right) & =E f\left(\sum_{1 \leq k \neq j \leq n} \tilde{Y}_{k} \tilde{Y}_{j}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

This yields (106) and hence completes the proof of Lemma 6.2.

Lemma 6.3. (i). We have

$$
\begin{gather*}
E\left(\sum_{1 \leq k \neq j \leq N}\left|\nu_{k} \nu_{j}\right|^{3 / 2} \mid B_{N}=0\right) \leq A n^{2} \beta_{3 N}^{2}  \tag{111}\\
E\left(\sum_{k=1}^{N}\left|\nu_{k} \sum_{j=1, \neq k}^{N} \nu_{j}\right|^{3 / 2} \mid B_{N}=0\right) \leq A n^{2} \beta_{3 N}^{2}  \tag{112}\\
E\left(\left|\sum_{1 \leq k \neq j \leq N} \nu_{k} \nu_{j}\right|^{3 / 2} \mid B_{N}=0\right) \leq A n^{2} \beta_{3 N}^{2} . \tag{113}
\end{gather*}
$$

(ii). If $\eta_{k}, 1 \leq k \leq N$, are iid random variables with

$$
P\left(\eta_{k}=1\right)=1-P\left(\eta_{k}=0\right)=m(t), \quad 0 \leq m(t) \leq 1,
$$

independent of all other rv's, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& E\left(\left|\sum_{1 \leq k \neq j \leq N} \eta_{k} \eta_{j} \nu_{k} \nu_{j}\right|^{3 / 2} \mid B_{N}=0\right) \leq A m^{2}(t) n^{2} \beta_{3 N}^{2}  \tag{114}\\
& E\left(\left|\sum_{1 \leq k \neq j \leq N} \eta_{k}\left(1-\eta_{j}\right) \nu_{k} \nu_{j}\right|^{3 / 2} \mid B_{N}=0\right) \leq A m(t) n^{2} \beta_{3 N}^{2} \tag{115}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. We frst prove (113). Note that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sum_{1 \leq k \neq j \leq N} \nu_{k} \nu_{j}=\sum_{1 \leq k \neq j \leq N} \varepsilon_{j} \varepsilon_{k}\left(a_{j}^{2}-1\right)\left(a_{k}^{2}-1\right)+2 p \sum \varepsilon_{k}\left(a_{k}^{2}-1\right)^{2} \\
+p^{2} \sum_{1 \leq k \neq j \leq N}\left(a_{j}^{2}-1\right)\left(a_{k}^{2}-1\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

By the $c_{r}$-inequality, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left(\left|\sum_{1 \leq k \neq j \leq N} \nu_{k} \nu_{j}\right|^{3 / 2} \mid B_{N}=0\right) \leq 4\left(I_{1}+4 I_{2}+I_{3}\right) \tag{116}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{1}=E\left(\left|\sum_{1 \leq k \neq j \leq N} \varepsilon_{j} \varepsilon_{k}\left(a_{j}^{2}-1\right)\left(a_{k}^{2}-1\right)\right|^{3 / 2} \mid B_{N}=0\right) \\
& I_{2}=p^{3 / 2} E\left(\left|\sum \varepsilon_{k}\left(a_{k}^{2}-1\right)^{2}\right|^{3 / 2} \mid B_{N}=0\right) \\
& I_{3}=p^{3}\left|\sum_{1 \leq k \neq j \leq N}\left(a_{j}^{2}-1\right)\left(a_{k}^{2}-1\right)\right|^{3 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\sum a_{k}^{2}=N$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{3} \leq p^{3}\left|\sum\left(a_{k}^{2}-1\right)^{2}\right|^{3 / 2} \leq p^{3}\left(\sum a_{k}^{4}\right)^{3 / 2} \leq p^{3}\left(\sum\left|a_{k}\right|^{3}\right)^{2} \leq n^{2} \beta_{3 N}^{2} \tag{117}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall that $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}$ is a random sample without replacement from $\{a\}_{N}=\left\{a_{1}, \cdots, a_{N}\right\}$. Suppose that $Y_{1}, Y_{2}, \ldots, Y_{n}$ is a random sample with replacement from $\{a\}_{N}$. Note that $Y_{j}$ are iid random variables with $E f\left(Y_{1}\right)=\frac{1}{N} \sum f\left(a_{k}\right)$ for any $f($.$) . It follows from Lemma 6.2$ and the classical results for iid random variables that

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{2} & =p^{3 / 2} E\left|\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(X_{k}^{2}-1\right)^{2}\right|^{3 / 2} \leq p^{3 / 2} E\left|\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(Y_{k}^{2}-1\right)^{2}\right|^{3 / 2} \\
& \leq 2 p^{3 / 2} E\left|\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(\left(Y_{k}^{2}-1\right)^{2}-E\left(Y_{k}^{2}-1\right)^{2}\right)\right|^{3 / 2}+2 p^{3 / 2}\left|n E\left(Y_{1}^{2}-1\right)^{2}\right|^{3 / 2} \\
& \leq 4 p^{3 / 2} \sum_{k=1}^{n} E\left|\left(\left(Y_{k}^{2}-1\right)^{2}-E\left(Y_{k}^{2}-1\right)^{2}\right)\right|^{3 / 2}+2 p^{3}\left|\sum\left(a_{k}^{2}-1\right)^{2}\right|^{3 / 2} \\
& \leq 16 p^{5 / 2} \sum\left|a_{k}^{2}-1\right|^{3}+2 p^{3}\left|\sum\left(a_{k}^{2}-1\right)^{2}\right|^{3 / 2} \\
& \leq 18 p^{5 / 2}\left(\sum\left|a_{k}\right|^{3}\right)^{2} \leq 18 n^{2} \beta_{3 N}^{2} . \tag{118}
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, it follows from Lemma 6.2 and the classical results for U-statistics that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\frac{N-1}{N}\right)^{3 / 2} I_{1} & =\left.\left.\left(\frac{N-1}{N}\right)^{3 / 2} E\right|_{1 \leq k \neq j \leq n}\left(X_{j}^{2}-1\right)\left(X_{k}^{2}-1\right)\right|^{3 / 2} \\
& \leq 2 E\left|\sum_{1 \leq k \neq j \leq n}\left(Y_{k}^{2}-1\right)\left(Y_{j}^{2}-1\right)\right|^{3 / 2}+2 p^{3 / 2} E\left|\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(X_{k}^{2}-1\right)^{2}\right|^{3 / 2} \\
& \leq A n^{2}\left(E\left|Y_{1}\right|^{3}\right)^{2}+36 n^{2} \beta_{3 N}^{2} \leq A_{1} n^{2} \beta_{3 N}^{2} \tag{119}
\end{align*}
$$

Combining (116)-(119), we obtain the required (113).
We next prove (112). Note that, by $\sum a_{k}^{2}=N$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nu_{k} \sum_{j=1, \neq k}^{N} \nu_{j}= & \varepsilon_{k}\left(a_{k}^{2}-1\right) \sum_{j=1, \neq k}^{N} \varepsilon_{j}\left(a_{j}^{2}-1\right) \\
& -p\left(a_{k}^{2}-1\right) \sum_{j=1}^{N} \varepsilon_{j}\left(a_{j}^{2}-1\right)+\left(2 p \varepsilon_{k}-p^{2}\right)\left(a_{k}^{2}-1\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

By the $c_{r}$-inequality, we have

$$
E\left(\sum_{k=1}^{N}\left|\nu_{k} \sum_{j=1, \neq k}^{N} \nu_{j}\right|^{3 / 2} \mid B_{N}=0\right) \leq 4\left(I_{4}+I_{5}+I_{6}\right)
$$

where, as in the proofs of (117)-(119),

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{4} & \left.=\sum_{k=1}^{N} E\left|\varepsilon_{k}\left(a_{k}^{2}-1\right) \sum_{j=1, \neq k}^{N} \varepsilon_{j}\left(a_{j}^{2}-1\right)\right|^{3 / 2} \mid B_{N}=0\right) \\
& =p \sum_{k=1}^{N}\left|a_{k}^{2}-1\right|^{3 / 2} E\left(\left|\sum_{j=1, \neq k}^{N} \varepsilon_{j}\left(a_{j}^{2}-1\right)\right|^{3 / 2} \mid \sum_{j=1, \neq k}^{N} \varepsilon_{j}=n-1\right) \\
& \leq \frac{A n(n-1)}{N(N-1)} \sum_{k=1}^{N}\left|a_{k}^{2}-1\right|^{3 / 2} \sum_{j=1, \neq k}^{N}\left|a_{j}^{2}-1\right|^{3 / 2} \leq A n^{2} \beta_{3 N}^{2}, \\
I_{5} & =p \sum_{k=1}^{N}\left|a_{k}^{2}-1\right|^{3 / 2} E\left(\left|\sum_{j=1}^{N} \varepsilon_{j}\left(a_{j}^{2}-1\right)\right|^{3 / 2} \mid B_{N}=0\right) \leq A n^{2} \beta_{3 N}^{2}, \\
I_{6} & =\sum_{k=1}^{N}\left|a_{k}^{2}-1\right|^{3} E\left(\left|2 p \varepsilon_{k}-p^{2}\right|^{3 / 2} \mid B_{N}=0\right) \leq A p^{2} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \mid a_{k}^{6} \leq A n^{2} \beta_{3 N}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This yields (112).
The proof of (111) is simple. Indeed,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{1 \leq k \neq j \leq N} E\left(\left|\nu_{k} \nu_{j}\right|^{3 / 2} \mid B_{N}=0\right) & \leq A\left(\sum\left|a_{k}\right|^{3}\right)^{2} E\left(\left|\left(\varepsilon_{1}-p\right)\left(\varepsilon_{2}-p\right)\right|^{3 / 2} \mid B_{N}=0\right) \\
& \leq A_{1} p^{2}\left(\sum\left|a_{k}\right|^{3}\right)^{2}=A_{1} n^{2} \beta_{3 N}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

We £nally prove (114) and (115). By (113) and the $c_{r}$ inequality, it suffces to prove

$$
\begin{array}{r}
E\left(\left|\sum_{1 \leq k \neq j \leq N}\left(\eta_{k}-m(t)\right)\left(\eta_{j}-m(t)\right) \nu_{k} \nu_{j}\right|^{3 / 2} \mid B_{N}=0\right) \leq A m^{2}(t) n^{2} \beta_{3 N}^{2} \\
E\left(\left|\sum_{1 \leq k \neq j \leq N}\left(\eta_{k}-m(t)\right) \nu_{k} \nu_{j}\right|^{3 / 2} \mid B_{N}=0\right) \leq A m(t) n^{2} \beta_{3 N}^{2} \tag{121}
\end{array}
$$

In fact, recalling that $\eta_{k}$ are iid random variables with $E \eta_{1}=m(t)$, independent of all other random variables, it follow from conditional expectation arguments and moment results for
degenerate $U$-statistics and (111) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E\left(\left|\sum_{1 \leq k \neq j \leq N}\left(\eta_{k}-m(t)\right)\left(\eta_{j}-m(t)\right) \nu_{k} \nu_{j}\right|^{3 / 2} \mid B_{N}=0\right) \\
& \quad \leq A \sum_{1 \leq k \neq j \leq N} E\left(\left|\left(\eta_{k}-m(t)\right)\left(\eta_{j}-m(t)\right) \nu_{k} \nu_{j}\right|^{3 / 2} \mid B_{N}=0\right) \\
& \quad \leq A m^{2}(t) \sum_{1 \leq k \neq j \leq N} E\left(\left|\nu_{k} \nu_{j}\right|^{3 / 2} \mid B_{N}=0\right) \\
& \quad \leq A m^{2}(t) n^{2} \beta_{3 N}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This proves (120). Similarly, it follows from conditional expectation arguments and moment results for partial sums and (112) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E\left(\left|\sum_{1 \leq k \neq j \leq N}\left(\eta_{k}-m(t)\right) \nu_{k} \nu_{j}\right|^{3 / 2} \mid B_{N}=0\right) \\
& \quad=E\left(\left|\sum_{k=1}^{N}\left(\eta_{k}-m(t)\right) \nu_{k} \sum_{j=1, \neq k}^{N} \nu_{j}\right|^{3 / 2} \mid B_{N}=0\right) \\
& \quad \leq A m(t) \sum_{k=1}^{N} E\left(\left|\nu_{k} \sum_{j=1, \neq k}^{N} \nu_{j}\right|^{3 / 2} \mid B_{N}=0\right) \\
& \quad \leq A m(t) n^{2} \beta_{3 N}^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies (121). The proof of Lemma 6.3 is now complete.

To introduce the following lemmas, we de£ne

$$
f(t)=E\left(e^{i t\left(T_{n}+\Lambda_{n}\right)} \mid B_{N}=0\right), \quad f_{1}(t)=E\left(e^{i t T_{n}} \mid B_{N}=0\right), \quad f_{2}(t)=E\left(\Lambda_{n} e^{i t T_{n}} \mid B_{N}=0\right),
$$

and for $k=1, \cdots, N$,

$$
g_{k}(t, \psi)=E \exp \left\{i\left(\varepsilon_{k}-p\right)\left(t g_{k}+\psi / \omega_{N}\right)\right\} .
$$

We also use the notation $\Delta=x \beta_{3 N} / \omega_{N}$.

Lemma 6.4. If $|t| \leq(1 / 128) \Delta^{-1}$, then for $2 \leq x \leq(1 / 128) \omega_{N} / \max _{k}\left|a_{k}\right|$ and any $0 \leq$ $m(t) \leq 1$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
|f(t)| \leq A(1+|t x|)\left[m^{-1 / 2}(t) e^{-m(t) t^{2} / 4}+\omega_{N} e^{-(1 / 40) m(t) \omega_{N}^{2}}\right] \\
+A|t|^{3 / 2} m(t) \Delta^{2}+A|t| m^{4 / 3}(t) \Delta^{4 / 3} \tag{122}
\end{gather*}
$$

Proof. De£ne $\left\{\eta_{k}, k=1, \cdots, N\right\}$ as in Lemma 6.3 (ii). Furthermore, let

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
T_{1 N}^{*}=\sum_{x} \eta_{k}\left(\varepsilon_{k}-p\right) g_{k}, & T_{2 N}^{*}=\sum_{x}\left(1-\eta_{k}\right)\left(\varepsilon_{k}-p\right) g_{k}, \\
\Lambda_{1 N}^{*}=\frac{x}{n^{2}} \sum_{1 \leq k \neq j \leq N} \eta_{k} \eta_{j} \nu_{k} \nu_{j}, & \Lambda_{2 N}^{*}=\frac{x}{n^{2}} \sum_{1 \leq k \neq j \leq N} \eta_{k}\left(1-\eta_{j}\right) \nu_{k} \nu_{j}, \\
\Lambda_{3 N}^{*}=\frac{x}{n^{2}} \sum_{1 \leq k \neq j \leq N}\left(1-\eta_{k}\right)\left(1-\eta_{j}\right) \nu_{k} \nu_{j} . &
\end{array}
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{N}+\Lambda_{N}=T_{1 N}^{*}+T_{2 N}^{*}+\Lambda_{1 N}^{*}+2 \Lambda_{2 N}^{*}+\Lambda_{3 N}^{*} . \tag{123}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (123), $\left|e^{i t}-1\right| \leq|t|$ and $\left|e^{i t}-1-i t\right| \leq 2|t|^{3 / 2}$, that

$$
\begin{align*}
|f(t)|= & \left|E\left(e^{i t\left(T_{1 N}^{*}+T_{2 N}^{*}+\Lambda_{1 N}^{*}+2 \Lambda_{2 N}^{*}+\Lambda_{3 N}^{*}\right)} \mid B_{N}=0\right)\right| \\
\leq & \left|E\left(e^{i t\left(T_{1 N}^{*}+T_{2 N}^{*}+2 \Lambda_{2 N}^{*}+\Lambda_{3 N}^{*}\right)} \mid B_{N}=0\right)\right|+|t| E\left(\left|\Lambda_{1 N}^{*}\right| \mid B_{N}=0\right) \\
\leq & \left|E\left(e^{i t\left(T_{1 N}^{*}+T_{2 N}^{*}+\Lambda_{3 N}^{*}\right)} \mid B_{N}=0\right)\right|+2|t|\left|E\left(\Lambda_{2 N}^{*} e^{i t\left(T_{1 N}^{*}+T_{2 N}^{*}+\Lambda_{3 N}^{*}\right)} \mid B_{N}=0\right)\right| \\
& \quad+\left.8|t|\right|^{3 / 2} E\left(\left|\Lambda_{2 N}^{*}\right|^{3 / 2} \mid B_{N}=0\right)+|t| E\left(\left|\Lambda_{1 N}^{*}\right| \mid B_{N}=0\right) \\
& =\Xi_{1}(t, x)+\Xi_{2}(t, x)+\Xi_{3}(t, x)+\Xi_{4}(t, x) . \tag{124}
\end{align*}
$$

We frst estimate $\Xi_{3}(t, x)$ and $\Xi_{4}(t, x)$. By Lemma 6.3 (ii), we obtain that,

$$
E\left(\left|\Lambda_{2 N}^{*}\right|^{3 / 2} \mid B_{N}=0\right) \leq A x^{3 / 2} m(t) n^{-1} \beta_{3 N}^{2} \leq A m(t) \Delta^{2}
$$

and, by Hölder's inequality,

$$
E\left(\left|\Lambda_{1 N}^{*}\right| \mid B_{N}=0\right) \leq\left[E\left(\left|\Lambda_{1 N}^{*}\right|^{3 / 2} \mid B_{N}=0\right)\right]^{2 / 3} \leq A m^{4 / 3}(t) \Delta^{4 / 3}
$$

These facts yield that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Xi_{3}(t, x)+\Xi_{4}(t, x) \leq A|t|^{3 / 2} m(t) \Delta^{2}+A|t| m^{4 / 3}(t) \Delta^{4 / 3} . \tag{125}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next we estimate $\Xi_{1}(t, x)$. Write $B_{1 N}^{*}=\sum \eta_{k}\left(\varepsilon_{k}-p\right), B_{2 N}^{*}=\sum\left(1-\eta_{k}\right)\left(\varepsilon_{k}-p\right)$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
B=\left\{k: \eta_{k}=1\right\}, \quad B^{c}=\left\{k: \eta_{k}=0\right\} . \tag{126}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that, given $\eta_{1}, \cdots, \eta_{N}$,

$$
T_{1 N}^{*}, B_{1 N}^{*} \in \sigma\left\{\varepsilon_{k}, k \in B\right\}, \quad T_{2 N}^{*}, \Lambda_{3 N}^{*} B_{2 N}^{*} \in \sigma\left\{\varepsilon_{k}, k \in B^{c}\right\}
$$

and $B_{N}=B_{1 N}^{*}+B_{2 N}^{*}$. It follows that $T_{1 N}^{*}$ and $B_{1 N}^{*}$ are independent of $T_{2 N}^{*}, \Lambda_{3 N}^{*}, B_{2 N}^{*}$, given $\eta_{1}, \cdots, \eta_{N}$, and hence by Lemma 6.1,

$$
\begin{align*}
\Xi_{1}(t, x) & =\frac{1}{B_{n}(p)} \int_{|\psi| \leq \pi \omega_{N}}\left|E \exp \left\{i t\left(T_{1 N}^{*}+T_{2 N}^{*}+\Lambda_{3 N}^{*}\right)+i \psi B_{N} / \omega_{N}\right\}\right| d \psi \\
& \leq 2 \int_{|\psi| \leq \pi \omega_{N}} E\left|E_{\eta} \exp \left\{i t T_{1 N}^{*}+i \psi B_{1 N}^{*} / \omega_{N}\right\}\right| d \psi \\
& =2 \int_{|\psi| \leq \pi \omega_{N}} \prod E\left|E_{\eta} \exp \left\{i \eta_{k}\left(\varepsilon_{k}-p\right)\left(t g_{k}+\psi / \omega_{N}\right)\right\}\right| d \psi \tag{127}
\end{align*}
$$

where $E_{\eta}$ denotes the condition expectation given $\eta_{k}, k=1, \cdots, N$.
Let $\varepsilon_{k}^{*}$ be an independent copy of $\varepsilon_{k}$. Note that, by Taylor's expansion of $e^{i z}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E\left|E_{\eta} \exp \left\{i \eta_{k}\left(\varepsilon_{k}-p\right)\left(t g_{k}+\psi / \omega_{N}\right)\right\}\right|^{2} \\
& \quad=E\left(E_{\eta} \exp \left\{i \eta_{k}\left(\varepsilon_{k}-\varepsilon_{k}^{*}\right)\left(t g_{k}+\psi / \omega_{N}\right)\right\}\right) \\
& \left.\quad=E \exp \left\{i \eta_{k}\left(\varepsilon_{k}-\varepsilon_{k}^{*}\right)\left(t g_{k}+\psi / \omega_{N}\right)\right\}\right) \\
& \quad \leq 1-(1 / 2)\left(t g_{k}+\psi / \omega_{N}\right)^{2} E \eta_{k}^{2} E\left(\varepsilon_{k}-\varepsilon_{k}^{*}\right)^{2}+(1 / 6)\left|t g_{k}+\psi / \omega_{N}\right|^{3} E \eta_{k}^{3} E\left|\varepsilon_{k}-\varepsilon_{k}^{*}\right|^{3} \\
& \quad \leq 1-p q m(t)\left(t g_{k}+\psi / \omega_{N}\right)^{2}+(p q / 3) m(t)\left|t g_{k}+\psi / \omega_{N}\right|^{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This, together with that fact that $\sum g_{k}=0$ and for $2 \leq x \leq(1 / 128) \omega_{N} / \max _{k}\left|a_{k}\right|$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|p q \sum g_{k}^{2}-1\right| \leq 2 x \beta_{3 N} / \omega_{N} \quad \text { and } \quad \sum p q\left|g_{k}\right|^{3} \leq 5 \beta_{3 N} / \omega_{N}, \tag{128}
\end{equation*}
$$

yields that for $|t|<(1 / 128) \Delta^{-1},|\psi|<(3 / 8) \omega_{N}$ and $2 \leq x \leq(1 / 128) \omega_{N} / \max _{k}\left|a_{k}\right|$,

$$
\begin{align*}
J(t, \psi): & =\prod E\left|E_{\eta} \exp \left\{i \eta_{k}\left(\varepsilon_{k}-p\right)\left(t g_{k}+\psi / \omega_{N}\right)\right\}\right| \\
\leq & \left(\prod E\left|E_{\eta} \exp \left\{i \eta_{k}\left(\varepsilon_{k}-p\right)\left(t g_{k}+\psi / \omega_{N}\right)\right\}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
\leq & \exp \left\{-(p q / 2) m(t) \sum\left(t g_{k}+\psi / \omega_{N}\right)^{2}+(p q / 6) m(t) \sum\left|t g_{k}+\psi / \omega_{N}\right|^{3}\right\} \\
\leq & \exp \left\{-(p q / 2) m(t) \sum t^{2} g_{k}^{2}-m(t) \psi^{2} / 2\right. \\
& \left.\quad+(2 p q / 3) m(t) \sum\left|t g_{k}\right|^{3}+(2 / 3) m(t)|\psi|^{3} / \omega_{N}\right\} \\
\leq & \exp \left\{-(p q / 2) m(t) \sum t^{2} g_{k}^{2}+(2 p q / 3) m(t) \sum\left|t g_{k}\right|^{3}-m(t) \psi^{2} / 4\right\} \\
\leq & \exp \left\{-(1 / 2) m(t) t^{2}\left(1-x \beta_{3 N} / \omega_{N}-(5 / 3)|t| \beta_{3 N} / \omega_{N}\right)-m(t) \psi^{2} / 4\right\} \\
\leq & \exp \left\{-m(t) t^{2} / 4-m(t) \psi^{2} / 4\right\} . \tag{129}
\end{align*}
$$

To estimate $J(t, \psi)$ for $(3 / 8) \omega_{N} \leq|\psi| \leq \pi \omega_{N}$, we £rst note that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.E\left|E_{\eta} \exp \left\{i \eta_{k}\left(\varepsilon_{k}-p\right)\left(t g_{k}+\psi / \omega_{N}\right)\right\}\right|^{2}=E \exp \left\{i \eta_{k}\left(\varepsilon_{k}-\varepsilon_{k}^{*}\right)\left(t g_{k}+\psi / \omega_{N}\right)\right\}\right) \\
& \quad=1-2 p q+2 p q E \cos \left[\eta_{k}\left(t g_{k}+\psi / \omega_{N}\right)\right] \\
& \quad=1-2 p q m(t)+2 p q m(t) \cos \left(t g_{k}+\psi / \omega_{N}\right) \tag{130}
\end{align*}
$$

Defne $D=\left\{k:\left|g_{k}\right| \leq 2 \Delta\right\}$ and $D^{c}=\left\{k:\left|g_{k}\right|>2 \Delta\right\}$. It is readily seen that, for $k \in D$, $|t|<(1 / 128) \Delta^{-1}$ and $(3 / 8) \omega_{N} \leq|\psi| \leq \pi \omega_{N}$,

$$
\frac{23}{64} \leq t g_{k}+\psi / \omega_{N} \leq \pi+\frac{1}{64} \quad \text { or } \quad-\frac{1}{64}-\pi \leq t g_{k}+\psi / \omega_{N} \leq-\frac{23}{64}
$$

and hence $\cos \left(t g_{k}+\psi / \omega_{N}\right) \leq \cos (23 / 64)<0.95$. On the other hand, it follows from (128) that, for $2 \leq x \leq(1 / 128) \omega_{N} / \max _{k}\left|a_{k}\right|$,

$$
4(N p q)^{-1}\left|D^{c}\right| \leq \frac{4 x^{2} \beta_{3 N}^{2}}{\omega_{N}^{2}}\left|D^{c}\right| \leq \sum_{k \in D^{c}} g_{k}^{2} \leq(p q)^{-1}\left(1+2 x \beta_{3 N} / \omega_{N}\right) \leq 2(p q)^{-1}
$$

where $\left|D^{c}\right|$ denotes the number of $D^{c}$. Thus $\left|D^{c}\right| \leq N / 2$ and $|D|=N-\left|D^{c}\right| \geq N / 2$.
By virtue of (130) and all above facts, we obtain that for $|t|<(1 / 128) \Delta^{-1},(3 / 8) \omega_{N} \leq$ $|\psi| \leq \pi \omega_{N}$ and $2 \leq x \leq(1 / 128) \omega_{N} / \max _{k}\left|a_{k}\right|$,

$$
\begin{align*}
J(t, \psi) & \leq\left(\prod_{k \in D} E\left|E_{\eta} \exp \left\{i \eta_{k}\left(\varepsilon_{k}-p\right)\left(t g_{k}+\psi / \omega_{N}\right)\right\}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \leq \prod_{k \in D} \exp \left\{-p q m(t)\left[1-\cos \left(t g_{k}+\psi / \omega_{N}\right)\right]\right\} \\
& \leq \exp \left\{-(1 / 40) m(t) \omega_{N}^{2}\right\} . \tag{131}
\end{align*}
$$

Combining (127), (129) and (131), it follows that, for $|t|<(1 / 128) \Delta^{-1}$ and $2 \leq x \leq$ $(1 / 128) \omega_{N} / \max _{k}\left|a_{k}\right|$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Xi_{1}(t, x) \leq A m(t)^{-1 / 2} e^{-m(t) t^{2} / 4}+A \omega_{N} e^{-(1 / 40) m(t) \omega_{N}^{2}} \tag{132}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, we estimate $\Xi_{2}(t, x)$. Note that $\Lambda_{2 N}^{*}=\frac{x}{n^{2}} \sum_{j \in B^{c}} \nu_{j} \sum_{k \in B} \nu_{k}$, where $B$ and $B^{c}$ is defned in (126). Similarly to (127),

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Xi_{2}(t, x)=\frac{2|t|}{B_{n}(p)} \int_{|\psi| \leq \pi \omega_{N}}\left|E\left(\Lambda_{2 N}^{*} e^{i t\left(T_{1 N}^{*}+T_{2 N}^{*}+\Lambda_{3 N}^{*}\right)+i \psi B_{N} / \omega_{N}}\right)\right| d \psi \\
& \quad \leq \frac{4|t| x}{n^{2}} \int_{|\psi| \leq \pi \omega_{N}} E\left[\sum_{j \in B^{c}} \sum_{k \in B} E_{\eta}\left|\nu_{j}\right|\left|E_{\eta}\left(\nu_{k} \exp \left\{i t T_{1 N}^{*}+i \psi B_{1 N}^{*} / \omega_{N}\right\}\right)\right|\right] d \psi \\
& \quad \leq \frac{4|t| x}{n^{2}} \int_{|\psi| \leq \pi \omega_{N}} E\left[\sum_{1 \leq j \neq k \leq N}\left(1-\eta_{j}\right) \eta_{k} E\left|\nu_{j}\right| E\left|\nu_{k}\right| \Omega_{j k}(t, \psi)\right] d \psi \\
& \quad \leq \frac{4|t| x m(t)}{n^{2}} \sum_{1 \leq j \neq k \leq N} E\left|\nu_{j}\right| E\left|\nu_{k}\right| \int_{|\psi| \leq \pi \omega_{N}} E \Omega_{j k}(t, \psi) d \psi \tag{133}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\Omega_{j k}(t, \psi)=\prod_{l \neq j, k}\left|E_{\eta} \exp \left\{i \eta_{l}\left(\varepsilon_{l}-p\right)\left(t g_{l}+\psi / \omega_{N}\right)\right\}\right|
$$

As in the proof of (132) with minor modifcations, we have that, for $|t|<(1 / 128) \Delta^{-1}$, $2 \leq x \leq(1 / 128) \omega_{N} / \max _{k}\left|a_{k}\right|$, and for all $1 \leq j \neq k \leq N$,

$$
\int_{|\psi| \leq \pi \omega_{N}} E \Omega_{j k}(t, \psi) d \psi \leq A m(t)^{-1 / 2} e^{-m(t) t^{2} / 4}+A \omega_{N} e^{-(1 / 40) m(t) \omega_{N}^{2}} .
$$

This, together with (133) and the fact that

$$
\sum_{1 \leq k \neq j \leq N} E\left|\nu_{j}\right| E\left|\nu_{k}\right| \leq\left(2 p q \sum\left(a_{k}^{2}+1\right)\right)^{2}=16 \omega_{N}^{4}
$$

yields that, for $2 \leq x \leq(1 / 128) \omega_{N} / \max _{k}\left|a_{k}\right|$ and $|t|<(1 / 128) \Delta^{-1}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Xi_{2}(t, x) \leq A|t x|\left(e^{-m(t) t^{2} / 4}+\omega_{N} e^{-(1 / 40) m(t) \omega_{N}^{2}}\right) \tag{134}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking estimates (125), (132) and (134) into (124), we obtain (122). The proof of Lemma 6.4 is now complete.

Lemma 6.5. Suppose that $2 \leq x \leq(1 / 128) \omega_{N} / \max _{k}\left|a_{k}\right|$.
(i). If $|t| \leq(1 / 128) \Delta^{-1}$ and $|\psi| \leq \pi \omega_{N}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{l=1, \neq j, k}^{N}\left|g_{l}(t, \psi)\right| \leq e^{-\left(t^{2}+\psi^{2}\right) / 4}+e^{-(1 / 40) \omega_{N}^{2}} \tag{135}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $1 \leq k \neq j \leq N$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{d \prod g_{k}(t, \psi)}{d t}\right| \leq 4(|t|+|\psi|)\left(e^{-\left(t^{2}+\psi^{2}\right) / 4}+e^{-(1 / 40) \omega_{N}^{2}}\right) \tag{136}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii). If $|t| \leq(1 / 128) \Delta^{-1 / 3}$ and $|\psi|<(1 / 128) \Delta^{-1 / 3}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\prod g_{k}(t, \psi)-g(t, \psi)\right| \leq A \Delta^{4 / 3} e^{-\left(t^{2}+\psi^{2}\right) / 4} \tag{137}
\end{equation*}
$$

and if in addition $|t| \leq 1 / 4$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{d \prod g_{k}(t, \psi)}{d t}-\frac{d g(t, \psi)}{d t}\right| \leq A \Delta^{4 / 3}\left(1+\psi^{6}\right) e^{-\psi^{2} / 4} \tag{138}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
g(t, \psi)=e^{-\left(t^{2}+\psi^{2}\right) / 2}\left\{1+\sum\left(g_{k}(t, \psi)-1\right)+\frac{t^{2}+\psi^{2}}{2}\right\}
$$

Proof. By letting $m(t)=1$ in (129) and (131), together with minor modifcations, we obtain (135). Note that, under the conditions of part (ii), $s:=|t|+|\psi| \leq(1 / 64) \Delta^{-1 / 3}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\sum\left(g_{k}(t, \psi)-1\right)+\left(t^{2}+\psi^{2}\right) / 2\right| \leq 2\left(s^{2}+s^{3}\right) \Delta \tag{139}
\end{equation*}
$$

by (128) and Taylor's expansion of $e^{i z}$. (137) follows easily from some routine calculations. See, for example, Lemma 10.1 of Jing, Shao and Wang (2003) with minor modifcations.

We next prove (136) and (138). Note that

$$
\frac{d \prod g_{k}(t, \psi)}{d t}=g^{*}(t, \psi) \prod g_{k}(t, \psi)
$$

where $g^{*}(t, \psi)=\sum\left[g_{k}(t, \psi)\right]^{-1} \frac{d g_{k}(t, \psi)}{d t}$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d g(t, \psi)}{d t}=-t g(t, \psi)+\left(\sum \frac{d g_{k}(t, \psi)}{d t}+t\right) e^{-\left(t^{2}+\psi^{2}\right) / 2} \tag{140}
\end{equation*}
$$

Simple calculations show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{d \prod g_{k}(t, \psi)}{d t}-\frac{d g(t, \psi)}{d t}\right| \leq \mathcal{J}_{1 N}+\left(\mathcal{J}_{2 N}+\mathcal{J}_{3 N}\right) e^{-\left(t^{2}+\psi^{2}\right) / 2} \tag{141}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{J}_{1 N} & =\left|g^{*}(t, \psi)\right|\left|\prod g_{k}(t, \psi)-g(t, \psi)\right| \\
\mathcal{J}_{2 N} & =\left|g^{*}(t, \psi)+t\right|\left|\sum\left(g_{k}(t, \psi)-1\right)+\left(t^{2}+\psi^{2}\right) / 2\right| \\
\mathcal{J}_{3 N} & =\left|g^{*}(t, \psi)-\sum \frac{d g_{k}(t, \psi)}{d t}\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

By the inequality $\left|e^{i z}-1-i z\right| \leq z^{2} / 2$, it is readily seen that, for any $t$ and $\psi$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|g_{k}(t, \psi)-1\right| \leq(p q / 2)\left(t g_{k}+\psi / \omega_{N}\right)^{2} \tag{142}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{d g_{k}(t, \psi)}{d t}+p q g_{k}\left(t g_{k}+\psi / \omega_{N}\right)\right| \leq(p q / 2)\left|g_{k}\right|\left(t g_{k}+\psi / \omega_{N}\right)^{2} \tag{143}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $p q g_{k}^{2} \leq 2$ by (128), it follows from (142) that, if $|\psi|<(1 / 128) \Delta^{-1 / 3}$ and $|t| \leq 1 / 4$, then $\left|g_{k}(t, \psi)-1\right| \leq 1 / 4$ and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[g_{k}(t, \psi)\right]^{-1}=1+\theta_{1} p q\left(t g_{k}+\psi / \omega_{N}\right)^{2} \tag{144}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left|\theta_{1}\right|<1$. In view of (143) and (144), it follows from (128) again that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{J}_{3 N} & \leq\left|\sum\left(\left[g_{k}(t, \psi)\right]^{-1}-1\right) \frac{d g_{k}(t, \psi)}{d t}\right| \\
& \leq 2(p q)^{2} \sum\left|g_{k}\right|\left|t g_{k}+\psi / \omega_{N}\right|^{3} \\
& \leq 8(p q)^{2}\left(1+|\psi|^{3}\right)\left(\sum g_{k}^{4}+\sum\left|g_{k}\right| / \omega_{N}^{3}\right) \\
& \leq 8(p q)^{2}\left(1+|\psi|^{3}\right)\left(\left(\sum\left|g_{k}\right|^{3}\right)^{4 / 3}+\left(\sum\left|g_{k}\right|^{3}\right)^{1 / 3} N^{2 / 3} / \omega_{N}^{3}\right) \\
& \leq 8\left(1+|\psi|^{3}\right) \Delta^{4 / 3},
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, by recalling $\sum g_{k}=0$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|g^{*}(t, \psi)+t\right| & \leq\left|p q \sum g_{k}^{2}-1\right|+2(p q)^{2} \sum\left|g_{k}\right|\left|t g_{k}+\psi / \omega_{N}\right|^{3} \\
& \leq 10\left(1+|\psi|^{3}\right) \Delta .
\end{aligned}
$$

which, together with (137) and (139), implies that $\mathcal{J}_{1 N} \leq A\left(1+|\psi|^{3}\right) \Delta^{4 / 3} e^{-\psi^{2} / 4}$ and $\mathcal{J}_{2 N} \leq$ $A\left(1+|\psi|{ }^{6}\right) \Delta^{4 / 3}$. Taking the estimates of $\mathcal{J}_{1 N}, \mathcal{J}_{2 N}$ and $\mathcal{J}_{3 N}$ into (141), we obtain (138).

Similarly, by noting that

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum\left|\frac{d g_{k}(t, \psi)}{d t}\right| & \leq p q \sum\left|g_{k}\right|\left|t g_{k}+\psi / \omega_{N}\right| \\
& \leq|t| p q \sum\left|g_{k}\right|^{2}+|\psi|\left(p q \sum\left|g_{k}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \leq 4(|t|+|\psi|) \tag{145}
\end{align*}
$$

it follows from (135) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\frac{d \prod g_{k}(t, \psi)}{d t}\right| & \leq \sum \prod_{j=1, \neq k}^{N}\left|g_{j}(t, \psi)\right|\left|\frac{d g_{k}(t, \psi)}{d t}\right| \\
& \leq 4(|t|+|\psi|)\left(e^{-\left(t^{2}+\psi^{2}\right) / 4}+e^{-(1 / 40) \omega_{N}^{2}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies (136). The proof of Lemma 6.5 is now complete.
Lemma 6.6. Suppose that $2 \leq x \leq(1 / 128) \omega_{N} / \max _{k}\left|a_{k}\right|$. Then, for $|t| \leq(1 / 128) \Delta^{-1 / 3}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f_{1}(t)-e^{-t^{2} / 2}\right| \leq A \min \{|t|, 1\}\left(\Delta\left(1+t^{6}\right) e^{-t^{2} / 4}+\omega_{N}^{-6}\right) \tag{146}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f_{1}(t)-g(t, 0)\right| \leq A \min \{|t|, 1\}\left(\Delta^{4 / 3}\left(1+t^{6}\right) e^{-t^{2} / 4}+\omega_{N}^{-6}\right) \tag{147}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g(t, \psi)$ is defned as in Lemma 6.5.
Proof. We only prove (147). (146) follows from (147) and (139) with $\psi=0$.
First assume $|t| \geq 1 / 4$. By Lemma 6.1, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{1}(t)=\frac{1}{B_{n}(p)} \int_{|\psi| \leq \pi \omega_{N}} \prod g_{k}(t, \psi) d \psi=I I_{1}(t)+I I_{2}(t)+I I_{3}(t)+I I_{4}(t) \tag{148}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I I_{1}(t)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(t, \psi) d \psi \\
& I I_{2}(t)=\left(\frac{1}{B_{n}(p)}-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}}\right) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(t, \psi) d \psi-\frac{1}{B_{n}(p)} \int_{|\psi| \geq(1 / 128) \Delta^{-1 / 3}} g(t, \psi) d \psi \\
& I I_{3}(t)=\frac{1}{B_{n}(p)} \int_{|\psi| \leq(1 / 128) \Delta^{-1 / 3}}\left(\prod g_{k}(t, \psi)-g(t, \psi)\right) d \psi \\
& I I_{4}(t)=\frac{1}{B_{n}(p)} \int_{(1 / 128) \Delta^{-1 / 3} \leq|\psi| \leq \pi \omega_{N}} \prod g_{k}(t, \psi) d \psi .
\end{aligned}
$$

In view of (104), (135), (137) and (139), it is readily seen that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|I I_{2}(t)\right|+\left|I I_{3}(t)\right|+\left|I I_{4}(t)\right| \leq A \Delta^{4 / 3}\left(1+t^{6}\right) e^{-t^{2} / 4}+A \omega_{N}^{-6} \tag{149}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to estimate $I I_{1}(t)$, write $g_{k}^{(m)}(t, 0)=E\left(\varepsilon_{k}-p\right)^{m} e^{i t g_{k}\left(\varepsilon_{k}-p\right)}, m=1,2,3$. We $\mathfrak{f r s t}$ note that, by Taylor's expansion of $e^{i z}$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
g_{k}(t, \psi)=g_{k}(t, 0)+\frac{i \psi}{\omega_{N}} g_{k}^{(1)}(t, 0)-\frac{\psi^{2}}{2 \omega_{N}^{2}} g_{k}^{(2)}(t, 0) \\
+\frac{i^{3} \psi^{3}}{6 \omega_{N}^{3}} g_{k}^{(3)}(t, 0)+R_{k}(t, \psi), \tag{150}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\left|R_{k}(t, \psi)\right| \leq(1 / 24)\left(\psi / \omega_{N}\right)^{4} E\left|\varepsilon_{k}-p\right|^{4} \leq(1 / 24) p q \psi^{4} / \omega_{N}^{4}$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{k}^{(2)}(t, 0)=p q+i t g_{k} E\left(\varepsilon_{k}-p\right)^{3}+R_{1 k}(t) \tag{151}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left|R_{1 k}(t)\right| \leq t^{2} g_{k}^{2} E\left|\varepsilon_{k}-p\right|^{4} / 2 \leq p q t^{2} g_{k}^{2} / 2$. By virtue of (150)-(151) and the fact that $\sum g_{k}=0, \int e^{-\psi^{2} / 2} d \psi=\sqrt{2 \pi}$ and $\int \psi^{k} e^{-\psi^{2} / 2}=0, k=1,3$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
I I_{1}(t)-g(t, 0) & =\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(g(t, \psi)-g(t, 0) e^{-\psi^{2} / 2}\right) d \psi \\
& =\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left[\sum\left(g_{k}(t, \psi)-g_{k}(t, 0)\right)+\psi^{2} / 2\right] e^{-\left(\psi^{2}+t^{2}\right) / 2} d \psi \\
& =R(t) \tag{152}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
|R(t)| & \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(\sum\left|R_{k}(t, \psi)\right|+\frac{\psi^{2}}{2 \omega_{N}^{2}} \sum\left|R_{1 k}(t)\right|\right) e^{-\left(\psi^{2}+t^{2}\right) / 2} d \psi \\
& \leq A \omega_{N}^{-2}\left(1+t^{2} p q \sum g_{k}^{2}\right) e^{-t^{2} / 2} \leq A_{1} \Delta^{4 / 3}\left(1+t^{2}\right) e^{-t^{2} / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining (148), (149) and (152), we obtain (147) for $|t| \geq 1 / 4$.
Next assume $|t| \leq 1 / 4$. Note that $f_{1}(t)-g(t, 0)=\int_{0}^{t}\left(f_{1}^{\prime}(s)-g^{\prime}(s, 0)\right) d s$. It suf£ces to show that, for $|t| \leq 1 / 4$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f_{1}^{\prime}(t)-g^{\prime}(t, 0)\right| \leq A \Delta^{4 / 3}+A \omega_{N}^{-6} \tag{153}
\end{equation*}
$$

We continue to use the decomposition of $f_{1}(t)$ in (148). In view of (136) and (138),

$$
\left|I I_{3}^{\prime}(t)\right|+\left|I I_{4}^{\prime}(t)\right| \leq A \Delta^{4 / 3}+A \omega_{N}^{-6}
$$

for $|t| \leq 1 / 4$. It follows easily from (140), (145) and (149) that,

$$
\left|I I_{2}^{\prime}(t)\right| \leq A \Delta^{4 / 3}+A \omega_{N}^{-6}
$$

for $|t| \leq 1 / 4$. In order to estimate $I I_{1}^{\prime}(t)$, we frst note that, as in (150)-(151),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d g_{k}(t, \psi)}{d t}-\frac{d g_{k}(t, 0)}{d t}=\frac{i \psi}{\omega_{N}} \frac{d g_{k}^{(1)}(t, 0)}{d t}-\frac{\psi^{2}}{2 \omega_{N}^{2}} \frac{d g_{k}^{(2)}(t, 0)}{d t}+R_{k}^{*}(t, \psi) \tag{154}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left|R_{k}^{*}(t, \psi)\right| \leq(1 / 6)\left(|\psi| / \omega_{N}\right)^{3}\left|t g_{k}\right| E\left|\varepsilon_{k}-p\right|^{4} \leq(1 / 6) p q\left|g_{k}\right||t||\psi|^{3} / \omega_{N}^{3}$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d g_{k}^{(2)}(t, 0)}{d t}=i g_{k} E\left(\varepsilon_{k}-p\right)^{3}+R_{1 k}^{*}(t) \tag{155}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left|R_{1 k}^{*}(t)\right| \leq|t| g_{k}^{2} E\left|\varepsilon_{k}-p\right|^{4} / 2 \leq p q|t| g_{k}^{2} / 2$. It follows from (154)-(155), $\sum g_{k}=0$, $p q \sum g_{k}^{2} \leq 2$ and $\int \psi e^{-\psi^{2} / 2}=0$ that, for $|t| \leq 1 / 4$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\Upsilon & :=\left|\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(\frac{d g_{k}(t, \psi)}{d t}-\frac{d g_{k}(t, 0)}{d t}\right) e^{-\psi^{2} / 2} d \psi\right| \\
& \leq A \omega_{N}^{-2} \sum\left|R_{1 k}^{*}(t)\right|+A \int \sum\left|R_{k}^{*}(t, \psi)\right| e^{-\psi^{2} / 2} d \psi \\
& \leq A \omega_{N}^{-2} p q \sum g_{k}^{2}+A \omega_{N}^{-3} p q \sum\left|g_{k}\right| \leq A \Delta^{2} . \tag{156}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, by (140), (152) and (156), we have that, for $|t| \leq 1 / 4$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|I I_{1}^{\prime}(t)-g^{\prime}(t, 0)\right| & =\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}}\left|\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(\frac{d g(t, \psi)}{d t}-\frac{d g(t, 0)}{d t} e^{-\psi^{2} / 2}\right) d \psi\right| \\
& \leq|t|\left|I I_{1}(t)-g(t, 0)\right|+\frac{\Upsilon}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} e^{-t^{2} / 2} \\
& \leq A \Delta^{4 / 3}
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining (148) and all above estimates for $I I_{k}^{\prime}(t), k=1,2,3,4$, we obtain (153). The proof of Lemma 6.6 is now complete.

Lemma 6.7. Suppose that $2 \leq x \leq(1 / 128) \omega_{N} / \max _{k}\left|a_{k}\right|$. Then, for $|t| \leq(1 / 128) \Delta^{-1 / 3}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|f_{2}(t)\right| & \leq A\left(1+t^{2}\right) \Delta^{2}\left(e^{-t^{2} / 4}+\omega_{N}^{-6}\right)  \tag{157}\\
\left|f(t)-f_{1}(t)\right| & \leq A \Delta^{2}|t|^{3 / 2}+A|t|\left(1+t^{2}\right) \Delta^{2}\left(e^{-t^{2} / 4}+\omega_{N}^{-6}\right) \tag{158}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. We $£$ rst prove (157). Write $\varepsilon_{k}^{*}=\left(\varepsilon_{k}-p\right)\left(t g_{k}+\psi / \omega_{N}\right)$. Note that, by (128), $E \nu_{k}=0$, $\sum a_{k}^{2}=N$ and Taylor's expansion of $e^{i z}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum\left|E\left(\nu_{k} e^{i \varepsilon_{k}^{*}}\right)\right| & \leq \sum\left|E \nu_{k}\left(e^{i t g_{k}\left(\varepsilon_{k}-p\right)}-1\right) e^{i\left(\varepsilon_{k}-p\right) \psi / \omega_{N}}\right|+\sum\left|E \nu_{k}\left(e^{i\left(\varepsilon_{k}-p\right) \psi / \omega_{N}}-1\right)\right| \\
& \leq \sum\left|t g_{k}\right|\left(a_{k}^{2}+1\right) E\left(\varepsilon_{k}-p\right)^{2}+\left(|\psi| / \omega_{N}\right) \sum\left(a_{k}^{2}+1\right) E\left(\varepsilon_{k}-p\right)^{2} \\
& \leq 2|t| p q\left(\sum\left|g_{k}\right|^{3}\right)^{1 / 3}\left(\sum\left|a_{k}\right|^{3}\right)^{2 / 3}+2|\psi| \omega_{N} \\
& \leq 6|t| \beta_{3 N} \omega_{N}+2|\psi| \omega_{N} \leq 6(|t|+|\psi|) \beta_{3 N} \omega_{N} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This, together with Lemma 6.1, (135) and the independence of $\varepsilon_{k}$, implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|f_{2}(t)\right| & =\frac{x}{n^{2} B_{n}(p)}\left|\int_{|\psi| \leq \pi \omega_{N}} \sum_{1 \leq k \neq j \leq N} E\left(\nu_{k} \nu_{j} e^{i \sum \varepsilon_{l}^{*}}\right) d \psi\right| \\
& \leq \frac{2 x}{n^{2}} \int_{|\psi| \leq \pi \omega_{N}} \sum_{1 \leq k \neq j \leq N}\left|E\left(\nu_{k} e^{i \varepsilon_{\varepsilon}^{*}}\right)\right|\left|E\left(\nu_{j} e^{i \varepsilon_{j}^{*}}\right)\right| \prod_{l=1, \neq j, k}^{N}\left|g_{l}(t, \psi)\right| d \psi \\
& \leq A x n^{-2}(1+|t|)^{2} \beta_{3 N}^{2} \omega_{N}^{2}\left(e^{-t^{2} / 4}+\omega_{N}^{3} e^{-(1 / 40) \omega_{N}^{2}}\right) \\
& \leq A\left(1+t^{2}\right) \Delta^{2}\left(e^{-t^{2} / 4}+\omega_{N}^{-6}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which yields (157).
By virtue of (157) and (113), the proof of (158) is simple. Indeed, by (113), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|f(t)-f_{1}(t)-i t f_{2}(t)\right| & \left.=\left|E e^{i t T_{n}}\left(e^{i t \Lambda_{n}}-1-i t \Lambda_{n}\right)\right| B_{N}=0\right) \mid \\
& \leq 2|t|^{3 / 2} E\left(\left|\Lambda_{n}\right|^{3 / 2} \mid B_{N}=0\right) \leq A|t|^{3 / 2} x^{3 / 2} \beta_{3 N}^{2} / n \\
& \leq A|t|^{3 / 2} \Delta^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|f(t)-f_{1}(t)\right| & \leq\left|f(t)-f_{1}(t)-i t f_{2}(t)\right|+|t|\left|f_{2}(t)\right| \\
& \leq A \Delta^{2}|t|^{3 / 2}+A|t|\left(1+t^{2}\right) \Delta^{2}\left(e^{-t^{2} / 4}+\omega_{N}^{-6}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

as required. The proof of Lemma 6.7 is now complete.
Lemma 6.8. Suppose that $2 \leq x \leq(1 / 128) \omega_{N} / \max _{k}\left|a_{k}\right|$. There exists an absolute constant $A$ such that, for all $|y| \leq 4 x$,

$$
P\left(T_{N}+\Lambda_{N} \geq y \mid B_{N}=0\right) \leq(1-\Phi(y))+A x \Delta e^{-y^{2} / 2}+A \Delta^{4 / 3}
$$

Proof. Note that Lemmas 6.4, 6.6 and 6.7 are similar to Lemmas 10.1-10.3 in Jing, Shao and Wang (2003). The proof of Lemma 6.8 is similar to Lemma 10.5 of Jing, Shao and Wang (2003) with some routine modifcations. We omit the details.

We are now ready to prove Proposition 2.3. Note that $\max \left|a_{k}\right| \leq \omega_{N}$,

$$
h=x p q \sum\left(a_{k}^{2}-1\right)^{2} / n^{2} \leq x \max \left|a_{k}\right| \beta_{3 N} / n \leq \Delta,
$$

and $|x-h| \leq 2 x$. It follows from (102) and Lemma 6.8 that

$$
\begin{aligned}
P\left(S_{n} \geq x \sqrt{q} V_{n}\right) & \leq P\left(T_{N}+\Lambda_{N} \geq x-h \mid B_{N}=0\right) \\
& \leq(1-\Phi(x-h))+A x \Delta e^{-(x-h)^{2} / 2}+A \Delta^{4 / 3} \\
& \leq 1-\Phi(x)+A(1+x) \Delta e^{-x^{2} / 2+x \Delta}+A \Delta^{4 / 3} \\
& \leq(1-\Phi(x))\left(1+A x^{2} \Delta e^{x \Delta}\right)+A \Delta^{4 / 3} \\
& \leq(1-\Phi(x)) \exp \left\{A x^{3} \beta_{3 N} / \omega_{N}\right\}+A\left(x \beta_{3 N} / \omega_{N}\right)^{4 / 3},
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used the result:

$$
\Phi(x)-\Phi(x-h) \leq h \Phi^{\prime}(x-h) \leq h e^{-(x-h)^{2} / 2} \leq \Delta e^{-x^{2} / 2+x \Delta}
$$

This yields Proposition 2.3.

Acknowledgments. The authors would like to thank the referees and the Editor for their valuable comments, which have led to this much improved version of the paper. This research is supported in part by ARC DP0451722.

## REFERENCES

Babu, G. J. and Bai, Z. D. (1996). Mixtures of global and local Edgeworth expansions and their applications. J. Multivariate. Anal. 59 282-307.
Babu, G. J. and Singh, K. (1985). Edgeworth expansions for sampling without replacement from fnite populations. J. Multivariate. Anal. 17 261-278.
Bickel, P. J. and van Zwet, W. R. (1978). Asymptotic expansions for the power of distributionfree tests in the two-sample problem. Ann. Statist. 6937-1004.
Bikelis, A. (1969). On the estimation of the remainder term in the central limit theorem for samples from fnite populations. Studia Sci. Math. Hungar. 4 345-354 in Russian.
Bloznelis, M. (1999). A Berry-Esseen bound for £nite population student's statistic. Ann. Probab. 27 2089-2108.
Bloznelis, M. (2000a). One and two-term Edgeworth expansion for £nite population sample mean. Exact results, I. Lith. Math. J. 40(3) 213-227.
Bloznelis, M. (2000b). One and two-term Edgeworth expansion for £nite population sample mean. Exact results, II. Lith. Math. J. 40(4) 329-340.

Bloznelis, M. (2003). An Edgeworth expansion for studentized £nite population statistics. Acta Appl. Math. 78 51-60.

Bloznelis, M. and Götze, F. (2000). An Edgeworth expansion for £nite population $U$-statistics. Bernoulli 6 729-760.

Bloznelis, M. and Götze, F. (2001). Orthogonal decomposition of £nite population statistic and its applications to distributional asymptotics. Ann. Statist. 29 899-917.

De La Pena, V. H., Klass, M. J. and Lai, T. L. (2004). Self-normalized processes: exponential inequalities, moment bound and iterated logarithm laws. Ann. Probab. 32 1902-1933.

Erdös, P. and Renyi, A. (1959). On the central limit theorem for samples from a $£$ nite population. Publ. Math. Inst. Hungarian Acad. Sci. 49-61.

Hájek, J. (1960). Limiting distributions in simple random sampling for a $£$ nite population. Publ. Math. Inst. Hugar. Acad. Sci. 5 361-374.

Hoeffding, W. (1963). Probability inequalities for sums of bounded random variables. J. Amer. Statist. Assoc. 58 13-30.

Höglund, T.(1978). Sampling from a £nite population. A remainder term estimate. Scand. J. Statistic. 5 69-71.

Jing, B.-Y., Shao, Q.-M. and Wang, Q.(2003). Self-normalized Cramér-type large deviations for independent random variables. Ann. Probab. 31 2167-2215.

Kokic, P. N. and Weber, N. C. (1990). An Edgeworth expansion for $U$-statistics based on samples from £nite populations. Ann. Probab. 18 390-404.

Nandi, H. K. and Sen, P. K. (1963). On the properties of $U$-statistics when the observations are not independent II: unbiased estimation of the parameters of a £nite population. Calcutta Statist. Asso. Bull 12 993-1026.

Petrov, V. V. ( 1975). Sums of Independent Random Variables. Springer-Verlag, Berlin.
Rao, C. R. and Zhao, L. C. (1994). Berry-Esseen bounds for £nite-population $t$-statistics. Statist. Probab. Lett. 21 409-416.

Revuz, D. and Yor, M. (1999). Continuous Martingales and Brownian Motion. Third edition. Springer-Verlag, Berlin.

Robinson, J.(1977). Large deviation probabilities for samples from a £nite population. Ann. Probab. 5 913-925.

Robinson, J. (1978). An asymptotic expansion for samples from a £nite population. Ann. Statist. 6 1004-1011.

Zhao, L.C. and Chen, X. R. (1987). Berry-Esseen bounds for £nite population $U$-statistics. Sci.

Sinica. Ser. A 30 113-127.
Zhao, L.C. and Chen, X. R. (1990). Normal approximation for £nite population $U$-statistics. Acta Math. Appl. Sinica 6 263-272.

| Zhishui Hu | John Robinson, Qiying Wang |
| :--- | :--- |
| Department of Statistics and Finance | School of Mathematics and Statistics F07 |
| University of Science and Technology of China | University of Sydney NSW 2006 |
| Hefei 230026, China | Australia |
| E-mail: huzs@ustc.edu.cn | Email: johnr@maths.usyd.edu.au |
|  | qiying@ maths.usyd.edu.au |

