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#### Abstract

We show that all primitive idempotents for the Brauer algebra $\mathcal{B}_{n}(\omega)$ can be found by evaluating a rational function in several variables which has the form of a product of $R$-matrix type factors. This provides an analogue of the fusion procedure for $\mathcal{B}_{n}(\omega)$.


## 1. Introduction

It is well known that all primitive idempotents of the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_{n}$ can be obtained by taking certain limit values of the rational function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)=\prod_{1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant n}\left(1-\frac{s_{i j}}{u_{i}-u_{j}}\right), \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $s_{i j} \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}$ is the transposition of $i$ and $j, u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}$ are complex variables and the product is calculated in the group algebra $\mathbb{C}\left[\mathfrak{S}_{n}\right]$ in the lexicographical order on the pairs $(i, j)$. This construction, which is commonly referred to as the fusion procedure, goes back to Jucys [8] and Cherednik [5]. Detailed proofs were given by Nazarov [15]. A simple version of the fusion procedure was found in [12]; see also [13, Ch. 6] for applications to the Yangian representation theory and more references. In more detail, let $T$ be a standard tableau associated with a partition $\lambda$ of $n$ and let $c_{k}=j-i$, if the element $k$ occupies the cell of the tableau in row $i$ and column $j$. Then the consecutive evaluations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.\left.\Phi\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)\right|_{u_{1}=c_{1}}\right|_{u_{2}=c_{2}} \ldots\right|_{u_{n}=c_{n}} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

are well-defined and this value yields the corresponding primitive idempotent $E_{T}^{\lambda}$ multiplied by the product of the hooks of the diagram of $\lambda$.

In this paper we give a similar fusion procedure for the Brauer algebra $\mathcal{B}_{n}(\omega)$. This algebra was introduced by Brauer in [4] and its structure and representation theory was studied by many authors; see, for instance, Wenzl [19], Nazarov [16], Leduc and Ram [10] and Rui [18]. We refer the reader to the review paper by Barcelo and Ram [1] for the discussion of the Brauer algebra in the context of combinatorial representation theory and more references. The irreducible representations of $\mathcal{B}_{n}(\omega)$ are indexed by all partitions of the nonnegative integers $n, n-2, n-4, \ldots$ If $\lambda$ is a such partition, then the updown $\lambda$-tableaux $T$ parameterize basis vectors of the corresponding representation; see Sec. 2.

Consider the rational function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)=\prod_{1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant n}\left(1-\frac{e_{i j}}{u_{i}+u_{j}}\right) \prod_{1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant n}\left(1-\frac{s_{i j}}{u_{i}-u_{j}}\right) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the ordered products as in (1.1); the elements $e_{i j}, s_{i j} \in \mathcal{B}_{n}(\omega)$ are defined in Sec. 2 below. This function was first introduced by Nazarov [17, (3.14)] in the context of representations of the classical Lie algebras and twisted Yangians.

Our main result is the following analogue of the fusion procedure for the Brauer algebra: given an updown $\lambda$-tableau $T$, the consecutive evaluations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.\left.\left(u_{1}-c_{1}\right)^{p_{1}} \ldots\left(u_{n}-c_{n}\right)^{p_{n}} \Psi\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)\right|_{u_{1}=c_{1}}\right|_{u_{2}=c_{2}} \ldots\right|_{u_{n}=c_{n}} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

are well-defined and this value yields the corresponding primitive idempotent $E_{T}^{\lambda}$ multiplied by a nonzero constant $f(T)$ which is calculated in an explicit form. Here $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}$ are certain integers depending on $T$ which we call the exponents of $T$ and the $c_{i}$ are the contents of $T$; see Sec. 2 for precise definitions.

In the particular case where $\lambda$ is a partition of $n$, we thus reproduce some closely related results of Nazarov [17]; see, in particular, Propositions 3.2, 3.3 and formulas (3.20)-(3.23) there. In fact, he works with wider classes of representations of the orthogonal and symplectic groups $G_{N}$ parameterized by certain skew Young diagrams with $n$ boxes. The natural action of $G_{N}$ in the tensor power $\left(\mathbb{C}^{N}\right)^{\otimes n}$ commutes with the action of the Brauer algebra $\mathcal{B}_{n}(\omega)$ for a suitably specialized value of $\omega$. Nazarov's formulas for the idempotents provide remarkable analogues of the Young symmetrizers in an explicit form. Their images in $\left(\mathbb{C}^{N}\right)^{\otimes n}$ yield realizations of the representations of $G_{N}$ associated with the skew Young diagrams. Note that the corresponding images of the factors in (1.3) are the values of the Yang $R$-matrix and its transpose; cf. Remark 3.8 below.

If $\lambda$ is a partition of $n$, then all exponents $p_{i}$ are equal to zero, while the constant $f(T)$ takes the same value as for (1.2), thus making this case quite similar to that of the symmetric group. The existence of a special monomorphism $\mathbb{C}\left[\mathfrak{S}_{n}\right] \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{n}(\omega)$ [2] can be regarded as an 'explanation' of this analogy. If $\lambda$ is a partition of $n-2 f$ for some $f \geqslant 1$, then the function (1.3) can have zeros or poles of certain multiplicities at $u_{i}=c_{i}$ so that in place of (1.2) we need to take 'regularized evaluations' as in (1.4).

The proof of our main theorem (Theorem 3.4) follows the approach of [12] and it is based on the construction of the primitive idempotents $E_{T}^{\lambda}$ in terms of the JucysMurphy elements for the Brauer algebra. These elements were introduced independently by Nazarov [16] and Leduc and Ram [10], where analogues of Young's seminormal representations for the Brauer algebra were given. In a more general context of cellular algebras equipped with a family of Jucys-Murphy elements the construction of the primitive idempotents and seminormal forms was given by Mathas [11].

We expect a result similar to Theorem 3.4 to hold for the Birman-Murakami-Wenzl algebras which will be considered in our publication elsewhere; cf. [6, 7].

## 2. The Brauer algebra and its representations

Let $n$ be a positive integer and $\omega$ an indeterminate. An $n$-diagram $d$ is a collection of $2 n$ dots arranged into two rows with $n$ dots in each row connected by $n$ edges such that any dot belongs to only one edge. The product of two diagrams $d_{1}$ and $d_{2}$ is determined by placing $d_{1}$ above $d_{2}$ and identifying the vertices of the bottom row of $d_{1}$ with the corresponding vertices in the top row of $d_{2}$. Let $s$ be the number of closed loops obtained in this placement. The product $d_{1} d_{2}$ is given by $\omega^{s}$ times the resulting diagram without loops. The Brauer algebra $\mathcal{B}_{n}(\omega)$ is defined as the $\mathbb{C}(\omega)$ linear span of the $n$-diagrams with the multiplication defined above. The dimension of the algebra is $1 \cdot 3 \cdots(2 n-1)$. The following presentation of $\mathcal{B}_{n}(\omega)$ is well-known; see, e.g., [3].

Proposition 2.1. The Brauer algebra $\mathcal{B}_{n}(\omega)$ is isomorphic to the algebra with $2 n-2$ generators $s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n-1}, e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n-1}$ and the defining relations

$$
\begin{aligned}
s_{i}^{2} & =1, \quad e_{i}^{2}=\omega e_{i}, \quad s_{i} e_{i}=e_{i} s_{i}=e_{i}, \quad i=1, \ldots, n-1, \\
s_{i} s_{j} & =s_{j} s_{i}, \quad e_{i} e_{j}=e_{j} e_{i}, \quad s_{i} e_{j}=e_{j} s_{i}, \quad|i-j|>1, \\
s_{i} s_{i+1} s_{i} & =s_{i+1} s_{i} s_{i+1}, \quad \quad e_{i} e_{i+1} e_{i}=e_{i}, \quad e_{i+1} e_{i} e_{i+1}=e_{i+1}, \\
s_{i} e_{i+1} e_{i} & =s_{i+1} e_{i}, \quad e_{i+1} e_{i} s_{i+1}=e_{i+1} s_{i}, \quad i=1, \ldots, n-2 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The generators $s_{i}$ and $e_{i}$ correspond to the following diagrams respectively:


The subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}_{n}(\omega)$ generated over $\mathbb{C}$ by $s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n-1}$ is isomorphic to the group algebra $\mathbb{C}\left[\mathfrak{S}_{n}\right]$ so that $s_{i}$ can be identified with the transposition $(i, i+1)$. Then for any $1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant n$ the transposition $s_{i j}=(i, j)$ can be regarded as an element of $\mathcal{B}_{n}(\omega)$. Moreover, $e_{i j}$ will denote the element of $\mathcal{B}_{n}(\omega)$ represented by the diagram in which the $i$-th and $j$-th dots in the top row, as well as the $i$-th and $j$-th dots in the bottom row are connected by an edge, while the remaining edges connect the $k$-th dot in the top row with the $k$-th dot in the bottom row for each $k \neq i, j$. Equivalently, in terms of the presentation of $\mathcal{B}_{n}(\omega)$ provided by Proposition 2.1,

$$
s_{i j}=s_{i} s_{i+1} \ldots s_{j-2} s_{j-1} s_{j-2} \ldots s_{i+1} s_{i} \quad \text { and } \quad e_{i j}=s_{i, j-1} e_{j-1} s_{i, j-1}
$$

The Brauer algebra $\mathcal{B}_{n-1}(\omega)$ can be regarded as the subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}_{n}(\omega)$ spanned by all diagrams in which the $n$-th dots in the top and bottom rows are connected by an edge.

The Jucys-Murphy elements $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ for the Brauer algebra $\mathcal{B}_{n}(\omega)$ were introduced independently in [10] and [16]; they are given by the formulas

$$
x_{r}=\frac{\omega-1}{2}+\sum_{k=1}^{r-1}\left(s_{k r}-e_{k r}\right), \quad r=1, \ldots, n .
$$

The element $x_{n}$ commutes with the subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}_{n-1}(\omega)$. This implies that the elements $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ of $\mathcal{B}_{n}(\omega)$ pairwise commute. They can be used to construct a complete set of pairwise orthogonal primitive idempotents for the Brauer algebra following the approach of Jucys [9] and Murphy [14]; see also [11] for its generalization to a wider class of cellular algebras. Namely, let $\lambda$ be a partition of $n-2 f$ for some $f \in\{0,1, \ldots,\lfloor n / 2\rfloor\}$. We will identify partitions with their diagrams so that if the parts of $\lambda$ are $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots$ then the corresponding diagram is a left-justified array of rows of unit boxes containing $\lambda_{1}$ boxes in the top row, $\lambda_{2}$ boxes in the second row, etc. The box in row $i$ and column $j$ of a diagram will be denoted as the pair $(i, j)$. An updown $\lambda$-tableau is a sequence $T=\left(\Lambda_{1}, \ldots, \Lambda_{n}\right)$ of diagrams such that for each $r=1, \ldots, n$ the diagram $\Lambda_{r}$ is obtained from $\Lambda_{r-1}$ by adding or removing one box, where $\Lambda_{0}=\varnothing$ is the empty diagram and $\Lambda_{n}=\lambda$. To each updown tableau $T$ we attach the corresponding sequence of contents $\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n}\right), c_{r}=c_{r}(T)$, where

$$
c_{r}=\frac{\omega-1}{2}+j-i \quad \text { or } \quad c_{r}=-\left(\frac{\omega-1}{2}+j-i\right),
$$

if $\Lambda_{r}$ is obtained by adding the box $(i, j)$ to $\Lambda_{r-1}$ or by removing this box from $\Lambda_{r-1}$, respectively. The primitive idempotents $E_{T}=E_{T}^{\lambda}$ can now be defined by the following recurrence formula (we omit the superscripts indicating the diagrams since they are determined by the updown tableaux). Set $\mu=\Lambda_{n-1}$ and consider the updown $\mu$ tableau $U=\left(\Lambda_{1}, \ldots, \Lambda_{n-1}\right)$. Let $\alpha$ be the box which is added to or removed from $\mu$ to get $\lambda$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{T}=E_{U} \frac{\left(x_{n}-a_{1}\right) \ldots\left(x_{n}-a_{k}\right)}{\left(c_{n}-a_{1}\right) \ldots\left(c_{n}-a_{k}\right)} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}$ are the contents of all boxes excluding $\alpha$, which can be removed from or added to $\mu$ to get a diagram. When $\lambda$ runs over all partitions of $n, n-2, \ldots$ and $T$ runs over all updown $\lambda$-tableaux, the elements $\left\{E_{T}\right\}$ yield a complete set of pairwise orthogonal primitive idempotents for $\mathcal{B}_{n}(\omega)$. They have the properties

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{r} E_{T}=E_{T} x_{r}=c_{r}(T) E_{T}, \quad r=1, \ldots, n . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, given an updown tableau $U=\left(\Lambda_{1}, \ldots, \Lambda_{n-1}\right)$, we have the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{U}=\sum_{T} E_{T} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

summed over all updown tableaux of the form $T=\left(\Lambda_{1}, \ldots, \Lambda_{n-1}, \Lambda_{n}\right)$; we refer the reader to [10], [11] and [16] for more details. The relation (2.1) admits the following
equivalent form

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{T}=\left.E_{U} \frac{u-c_{n}}{u-x_{n}}\right|_{u=c_{n}} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u$ is a complex variable. This relation is derived from (2.2) and (2.3) exactly as in the case of the symmetric group; see [12].

## 3. The fusion procedure

Some combinatorial data extracted from the updown tableaux will be convenient for the formulations below. Given an updown $\mu$-tableau $U=\left(\Lambda_{1}, \ldots, \Lambda_{n-1}\right)$ we define two infinite matrices $m(U)$ and $m^{\prime}(U)$ whose rows and columns are labelled by positive integers and only a finite number of entries in each of the matrices is nonzero. The entry $m_{i j}$ of the matrix $m(U)$ (resp., the entry $m_{i j}^{\prime}$ of the matrix $m^{\prime}(U)$ ) equals the number of times the box $(i, j)$ was added (resp., removed) in the sequence of diagrams ( $\varnothing=\Lambda_{0}, \Lambda_{1}, \ldots, \Lambda_{n-1}$ ). So, the difference $m(U)-m^{\prime}(U)$ is the matrix whose all entries are zero except for the $i j$-th matrix elements equal to 1 for which the corresponding boxes $(i, j)$ are contained in the diagram $\mu$.

Example 3.1. For the updown tableau

$$
U=(\square, \quad \varpi, \quad \boxplus, \quad \boxminus, \quad \square, \quad \boxminus, \quad \boxplus, \quad \boxplus, \quad \boxplus)
$$

the matrices are

$$
m(U)=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 2 \\
2 & 1
\end{array}\right] \quad \text { and } \quad m^{\prime}(U)=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

where the common zeros in both matrices have been omitted.
Furthermore, for each integer $k$ we define the nonnegative integers $d_{k}=d_{k}(U)$ and $d_{k}^{\prime}=d_{k}^{\prime}(U)$ as the respective sums of the entries of the matrices $m(U)$ and $m^{\prime}(U)$ on the $k$-th diagonal:

$$
d_{k}=\sum_{j-i=k} m_{i j}, \quad d_{k}^{\prime}=\sum_{j-i=k} m_{i j}^{\prime} .
$$

So, in Example 3.1 we have $d_{-1}=d_{0}=d_{1}=2$, while $d_{-1}^{\prime}=d_{0}^{\prime}=d_{1}^{\prime}=1$ and the remaining values $d_{k}$ and $d_{k}^{\prime}$ are zero.

Finally, for each integer $k$ introduce the parameters $g_{k}=g_{k}(U)$ and $g_{k}^{\prime}=g_{k}^{\prime}(U)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{k}=\delta_{k 0}+d_{k-1}+d_{k+1}-2 d_{k}, \quad g_{k}^{\prime}=d_{k-1}^{\prime}+d_{k+1}^{\prime}-2 d_{k}^{\prime} . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now the exponents $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}$ of an updown $\lambda$-tableau $T=\left(\Lambda_{1}, \ldots, \Lambda_{n}\right)$ are defined inductively, so that $p_{r}$ depends only on the first $r$ diagrams $\left(\Lambda_{1}, \ldots, \Lambda_{r}\right)$ of $T$. Hence, it is sufficient to define $p_{n}$. Taking $U=\left(\Lambda_{1}, \ldots, \Lambda_{n-1}\right)$ we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{n}=1-g_{k_{n}}(U) \quad \text { or } \quad p_{n}=1-g_{k_{n}}^{\prime}(U), \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively, if $\Lambda_{n}$ is obtained from $\Lambda_{n-1}$ by adding a box on the diagonal $k_{n}$ or by removing a box on the diagonal $k_{n}$.

Example 3.2. The exponents for the updown tableau

$$
T=(\square, \quad \square, \quad \square, \quad \boxminus, \quad \square, \quad \boxminus)
$$

are $p_{1}=p_{2}=p_{3}=0, p_{4}=p_{5}=1, p_{6}=2$.
The constants $f(T)$ which we mentioned in the Introduction are defined inductively by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(T)=f(U) \varphi(U, T), \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $U=\left(\Lambda_{1}, \ldots, \Lambda_{n-1}\right)$ and $T=\left(\Lambda_{1}, \ldots, \Lambda_{n}\right)$. Here

$$
\varphi(U, T)=\prod_{k \neq k_{n}}\left(k_{n}-k\right)^{g_{k}} \prod_{k}\left(k_{n}+k+\omega-1\right)^{g_{k}^{\prime}}
$$

or

$$
\varphi(U, T)=\prod_{k \neq k_{n}}\left(-k_{n}+k\right)^{g_{k}^{\prime}} \prod_{k}\left(-k_{n}-k-\omega+1\right)^{g_{k}},
$$

if $\Lambda_{n}$ is obtained from $\Lambda_{n-1}$ by adding or removing a box on the diagonal $k_{n}$, respectively, where the products are taken over all integers $k$, while $g_{k}=g_{k}(U)$ and $g_{k}^{\prime}=g_{k}^{\prime}(U)$. Note that only a finite number of the parameters $g_{k}$ and $g_{k}^{\prime}$ are nonzero so that each product in the above formulas contains only a finite number of factors not equal to 1 .

Proposition 3.3. If $T=\left(\Lambda_{1}, \ldots, \Lambda_{n}\right)$ is an updown $\lambda$-tableau and $\lambda$ is a partition of $n$, then all exponents $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}$ of $T$ are equal to zero, while $f(T)$ equals the product of the hooks of $\lambda$.

Proof. Set $U=\left(\Lambda_{1}, \ldots, \Lambda_{n-1}\right)$ and $\mu=\Lambda_{n-1}$. The nonzero entries of the matrix $m(U)$ are equal to 1 ; these are the $i j$-th matrix elements such that the corresponding boxes $(i, j)$ are contained in the diagram $\mu$. Furthermore, all entries of the matrix $m^{\prime}(U)$ are zero. Hence, the parameters $g_{k}^{\prime}(U)$ are all zero, while the nonzero values of $g_{k}(U)$ are equal to $\pm 1$. The value 1 (resp., -1 ) corresponds to those diagonals $k$ where a box can be added to (resp., removed from) the diagram $\mu$. This proves that $p_{r}=0$ for all $r$ and the claim about $f(T)$ is also easily verified.

Consider now the rational function $\Psi\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)$ with values in the Brauer algebra $\mathcal{B}_{n}(\omega)$ defined by (1.3). We can now prove our main theorem.

Theorem 3.4. For any updown tableau $T=\left(\Lambda_{1}, \ldots, \Lambda_{n}\right)$ the consecutive evaluations

$$
\left.\left.\left.\left(u_{1}-c_{1}\right)^{p_{1}} \ldots\left(u_{n}-c_{n}\right)^{p_{n}} \Psi\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)\right|_{u_{1}=c_{1}}\right|_{u_{2}=c_{2}} \ldots\right|_{u_{n}=c_{n}}
$$

are well-defined. The corresponding value coincides with $f(T) E_{T}$.
Proof. The proof of the theorem will follow from a sequence of lemmas.
Lemma 3.5. The function $\Psi\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)$ can be written in the equivalent form
(3.4) $\Psi\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)$

$$
=\prod_{r=2, \ldots, n}\left(1-\frac{e_{r-1, r}}{u_{r-1}+u_{r}}\right) \ldots\left(1-\frac{e_{1, r}}{u_{1}+u_{r}}\right)\left(1-\frac{s_{1, r}}{u_{1}-u_{r}}\right) \ldots\left(1-\frac{s_{r-1, r}}{u_{r-1}-u_{r}}\right)
$$

where the factors are ordered in accordance with the increasing values of $r$.
Proof. This follows by using the easily verified identities for the rational functions in $u$ and $v$ with values in $\mathcal{B}_{n}(\omega)$ : if $i<j<r$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1-\frac{e_{i r}}{u}\right)\left(1-\frac{e_{j r}}{v}\right)\left(1-\frac{s_{i j}}{u-v}\right)=\left(1-\frac{s_{i j}}{u-v}\right)\left(1-\frac{e_{j r}}{v}\right)\left(1-\frac{e_{i r}}{u}\right) . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the indices $i, j, k, l$ are distinct, then the elements $e_{i j}$ and $e_{k l}$ of $\mathcal{B}_{n}(\omega)$ commute. Therefore, we can represent the first product occurring in (1.3) as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \prod_{1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant n}\left(1-\frac{e_{i j}}{u_{i}+u_{j}}\right)=\prod_{1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant n-1}\left(1-\frac{e_{i j}}{u_{i}+u_{j}}\right) \\
& \times\left(1-\frac{e_{1, n}}{u_{1}+u_{n}}\right) \ldots\left(1-\frac{e_{n-1, n}}{u_{n-1}+u_{n}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, using the identities (3.5) repeatedly, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(1-\frac{e_{1, n}}{u_{1}+u_{n}}\right) \ldots & \left(1-\frac{e_{n-1, n}}{u_{n-1}+u_{n}}\right) \prod_{1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant n-1}\left(1-\frac{s_{i j}}{u_{i}-u_{j}}\right) \\
& =\prod_{1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant n-1}\left(1-\frac{s_{i j}}{u_{i}-u_{j}}\right)\left(1-\frac{e_{n-1, n}}{u_{n-1}+u_{n}}\right) \ldots\left(1-\frac{e_{1, n}}{u_{1}+u_{n}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence the function (1.3) can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Psi\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)=\Psi\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n-1}\right)  \tag{3.6}\\
& \quad \times\left(1-\frac{e_{n-1, n}}{u_{n-1}+u_{n}}\right) \ldots\left(1-\frac{e_{1, n}}{u_{1}+u_{n}}\right)\left(1-\frac{s_{1, n}}{u_{1}-u_{n}}\right) \ldots\left(1-\frac{s_{n-1, n}}{u_{n-1}-u_{n}}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

and the decomposition (3.4) follows by the induction on $n$.

Lemma 3.5 allows us to use the induction on $n$ to prove the theorem. By the induction hypothesis, setting $u=u_{n}$ we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { (3.7) }\left.\left.\left.\quad\left(u_{1}-c_{1}\right)^{p_{1}} \ldots\left(u_{n}-c_{n}\right)^{p_{n}} \Psi\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)\right|_{u_{1}=c_{1}}\right|_{u_{2}=c_{2}} \ldots\right|_{u_{n-1}=c_{n-1}}  \tag{3.7}\\
& =f(U) E_{U}\left(u-c_{n}\right)^{p_{n}}\left(1-\frac{e_{n-1, n}}{c_{n-1}+u}\right) \ldots\left(1-\frac{e_{1, n}}{c_{1}+u}\right)\left(1-\frac{s_{1, n}}{c_{1}-u}\right) \ldots\left(1-\frac{s_{n-1, n}}{c_{n-1}-u}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where $U$ is the updown tableau $\left(\Lambda_{1}, \ldots, \Lambda_{n-1}\right)$. The next lemma will allow us to simplify this expression.

Lemma 3.6. We have the identity

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{U}\left(1-\frac{e_{n-1, n}}{c_{n-1}+u}\right) \ldots\left(1-\frac{e_{1, n}}{c_{1}+u}\right) & \left(1-\frac{s_{1, n}}{c_{1}-u}\right) \ldots\left(1-\frac{s_{n-1, n}}{c_{n-1}-u}\right)  \tag{3.8}\\
= & \frac{u-c_{1}}{u-c_{n}} \prod_{r=1}^{n-1}\left(1-\frac{1}{\left(u-c_{r}\right)^{2}}\right) E_{U} \frac{u-c_{n}}{u-x_{n}}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Note that the Jucys-Murphy element $x_{n}$ commutes with $E_{U}$, and the inverses of the expressions occurring in the product are found by

$$
\left(1-\frac{s_{r, n}}{c_{r}-u}\right)^{-1}\left(1-\frac{1}{\left(u-c_{r}\right)^{2}}\right)=\left(1+\frac{s_{r, n}}{c_{r}-u}\right)
$$

and

$$
\left(1-\frac{e_{r, n}}{c_{r}+u}\right)^{-1}=\left(1+\frac{e_{r, n}}{c_{r}+u-\omega}\right)
$$

where we have used the relations $s_{r, n}^{2}=1$ and $e_{r, n}^{2}=\omega e_{r, n}$. Hence, relation (3.8) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{align*}
& E_{U}\left(1+\frac{s_{n-1, n}}{c_{n-1}-u}\right) \ldots\left(1+\frac{s_{1, n}}{c_{1}-u}\right)\left(1+\frac{e_{1, n}}{c_{1}+u-\omega}\right) \ldots\left(1+\frac{e_{n-1, n}}{c_{n-1}+u-\omega}\right)  \tag{3.9}\\
= & E_{U} \frac{u-x_{n}}{u-c_{1}} .
\end{align*}
$$

We embed the Brauer algebra $\mathcal{B}_{n}(\omega)$ into $\mathcal{B}_{m}(\omega)$ for some $m \geqslant n$ and verify by induction on $n$ a more general identity

$$
\begin{align*}
& E_{U}\left(1+\frac{s_{n-1, m}}{c_{n-1}-u}\right) \ldots\left(1+\frac{s_{1, m}}{c_{1}-u}\right)\left(1+\frac{e_{1, m}}{c_{1}+u-\omega}\right) \ldots\left(1+\frac{e_{n-1, m}}{c_{n-1}+u-\omega}\right)  \tag{3.10}\\
= & E_{U} \frac{u-x_{n}^{(m)}}{u-c_{1}}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
x_{n}^{(m)}=\frac{\omega-1}{2}+\sum_{k=1}^{n-1}\left(s_{k m}-e_{k m}\right) .
$$

By (2.3) we have $E_{U}=E_{U} E_{W}$, where $W$ is the updown tableau $\left(\Lambda_{1}, \ldots, \Lambda_{n-2}\right)$. Hence, using the induction hypothesis we can write the left hand side of (3.10) as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E_{U}\left(1+\frac{s_{n-1, m}}{c_{n-1}-u}\right) E_{W} \frac{u-x_{n-1}^{(m)}}{u-c_{1}}\left(1+\frac{e_{n-1, m}}{c_{n-1}+u-\omega}\right)=\frac{1}{u-c_{1}} E_{U} \\
& \times\left(u-x_{n-1}^{(m)}+\frac{s_{n-1, m}\left(u-x_{n-1}^{(m)}\right)}{c_{n-1}-u}+\frac{\left(u-x_{n-1}^{(m)}\right) e_{n-1, m}}{c_{n-1}+u-\omega}+\frac{s_{n-1, m}\left(u-x_{n-1}^{(m)}\right) e_{n-1, m}}{\left(c_{n-1}-u\right)\left(c_{n-1}+u-\omega\right)}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we use the following relations in $\mathcal{B}_{m}(\omega)$ which hold for $1 \leqslant r<n-1$ :

$$
s_{n-1, m} s_{r, m}=s_{r, n-1} s_{n-1, m}, \quad s_{n-1, m} e_{r, m}=e_{r, n-1} s_{n-1, m}
$$

and

$$
s_{r, m} e_{n-1, m}=e_{r, n-1} e_{n-1, m}, \quad e_{r, m} e_{n-1, m}=s_{r, n-1} e_{n-1, m}
$$

They imply that

$$
s_{n-1, m} x_{n-1}^{(m)}=x_{n-1} s_{n-1, m}
$$

and

$$
x_{n-1}^{(m)} e_{n-1, m}=\left(\omega-1-x_{n-1}\right) e_{n-1, m} .
$$

Together with the relation $E_{U} x_{n-1}=c_{n-1} E_{U}$ implied by (2.2), this allows us to bring the left hand side of (3.10) to the form

$$
\frac{1}{u-c_{1}} E_{U}\left(u-x_{n-1}^{(m)}-s_{n-1, m}+e_{n-1, m}\right)=E_{U} \frac{u-x_{n}^{(m)}}{u-c_{1}},
$$

as required.
Due to Lemma 3.6, in order to complete the proof of the theorem, we need to show that the rational function

$$
f(U)\left(u-c_{1}\right) \prod_{r=1}^{n-1}\left(1-\frac{1}{\left(u-c_{r}\right)^{2}}\right)\left(u-c_{n}\right)^{p_{n}-1} \cdot E_{U} \frac{u-c_{n}}{u-x_{n}}
$$

is regular at $u=c_{n}$ and its value equals $f(T) E_{T}$. Using the parameters (3.1), we can write this expression as

$$
f(U) \prod_{k}\left(u-\frac{\omega-1}{2}-k\right)^{g_{k}} \prod_{k}\left(u+\frac{\omega-1}{2}+k\right)^{g_{k}^{\prime}}\left(u-c_{n}\right)^{p_{n}-1} \cdot E_{U} \frac{u-c_{n}}{u-x_{n}},
$$

where $k$ runs over the set of integers. If the diagram $\Lambda_{n}$ is obtained from $\Lambda_{n-1}$ by adding or removing a box on the diagonal $k_{n}$, then the value of the content $c_{n}$ is given by the respective formulas

$$
c_{n}=\frac{\omega-1}{2}+k_{n} \quad \text { or } \quad c_{n}=-\left(\frac{\omega-1}{2}+k_{n}\right) .
$$

The definition of the exponents (3.2), and the constants $f(T)$ in (3.3) together with (2.4) imply the desired statement.

The following corollary is immediate from Proposition 3.3 and Theorem 3.4; cf. [12], [17].

Corollary 3.7. If $T=\left(\Lambda_{1}, \ldots, \Lambda_{n}\right)$ is an updown $\lambda$-tableau and $\lambda$ is a partition of $n$, then the consecutive evaluations

$$
\left.\left.\left.\Psi\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)\right|_{u_{1}=c_{1}}\right|_{u_{2}=c_{2}} \ldots\right|_{u_{n}=c_{n}}
$$

are well-defined. The corresponding value coincides with $H(\lambda) E_{T}$, where $H(\lambda)$ is the product of the hooks of $\lambda$.

Remark 3.8. In two particular cases where $\lambda$ is a row- or column-diagram with $n$ boxes, one can write alternative multiplicative expressions associated with the respective tableaux. Namely, the primitive idempotent corresponding to the only updown ( $n$ )-tableau is proportional to

$$
\prod_{1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant n}\left(1+\frac{s_{i j}}{j-i}-\frac{e_{i j}}{j-i+\omega / 2-1}\right)
$$

while the primitive idempotent corresponding to the updown $\left(1^{n}\right)$-tableau is proportional to

$$
\prod_{1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant n}\left(1-\frac{s_{i j}}{j-i}\right)
$$

with both products taken in the lexicographical order on the pairs $(i, j)$. These formulas are easily verified by using the well-known fact that the rational function

$$
R_{i j}(u)=1-\frac{s_{i j}}{u}+\frac{e_{i j}}{u-\omega / 2+1}
$$

is a solution of the Yang-Baxter equation

$$
R_{12}(u) R_{13}(u+v) R_{23}(v)=R_{23}(v) R_{13}(u+v) R_{12}(u)
$$

see [20]. These multiplicative formulas for the idempotents do not seem to have natural analogues for general updown tableaux. Note, however, that the following alternative rational function in the case of $\mathcal{B}_{3}(\omega)$ can be used instead of $\Psi\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}\right)$ in the formulation of the fusion procedure:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \widetilde{\Psi}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}\right)=\left(1-\left(u_{1}-u_{2}\right) s_{1}+\frac{u_{1}-u_{2}-1}{u_{1}+u_{2}} e_{1}\right) \\
& \quad \times\left(1-\left(u_{1}-u_{3}\right) s_{2}+\frac{u_{1}-u_{3}-2}{u_{2}+u_{3}} e_{2}\right)\left(1-\left(u_{1}-u_{2}\right) s_{1}+\frac{u_{1}-u_{2}-1}{u_{1}+u_{2}} e_{1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$
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