

Today: The Thom Isomorphism.

References: Characteristic classes & K-Theory
by O. Randal-Williams.

Aim: Reduce the study of $K^0(X)$ to
an easier space.

Recall: $Y \subseteq X$ top. spaces.

The relative K-Theory $K^0(X, Y) := \tilde{K}^0(X/Y)$.

Problem: This doesn't make sense if X/Y is
not compact Hausdorff.

Problem: Let $\begin{matrix} E \\ \cup \\ X \end{matrix}$ be a \mathbb{C} -v.b.

$E^\# := E \setminus s_0$ "the complement of
the zero section"

Then $E/E^\#$ is not compact Hausdorff.

Solution: Study $\tilde{K}^0(\text{Th}(E))$ instead of $\tilde{K}^0(E/E^\#)$.

Def: Let X be compact Hausdorff.
 $\begin{matrix} E \\ \downarrow \\ X \end{matrix}$ be a \mathbb{C} -v.b.

Define:

$$\text{ID}(E) := \{v \in E \mid \langle v, v \rangle \leq 1\}.$$

$$\mathcal{S}(E) := \{v \in E \mid \langle v, v \rangle = 1\}.$$

The

$$\text{Th}(E) := \text{ID}(E) / \mathcal{S}(E).$$

Why is this a good replacement:

Claim: (Thom isomorphism in cohomology)

Let R be any ring of coefficients.

$$H^i(E, E^\#, R) \cong \tilde{H}^i(\text{Th}(E)).$$

Proof:

Observe $r_E : E \rightarrow \text{ID}(E)$
 $r_\# : E^\# \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(E)$
 are deformation retracts.

We have a com. diag.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \dots & \longleftarrow & H^n(E^\#) & \longleftarrow & H^n(E) & \longleftarrow & H^n(E, E^\#) & \longleftarrow & \dots \\ & & \downarrow i_\#^* & & \downarrow i_E^* & & \downarrow i^* & & \\ \dots & \longleftarrow & H^n(\mathcal{S}(E)) & \longleftarrow & H^n(\text{ID}(E)) & \longleftarrow & H^n(\text{ID}(E), \mathcal{S}(E)) & \longleftarrow & \dots \end{array}$$

which is exact in the rows.

$i_\#^*$, i_E^* are isos.

\therefore By the 5-lemma so is i^*

$$\therefore H^n(E, E^\#) \cong H^n(\text{ID}(E), \mathcal{S}(E)) \cong \tilde{H}^n(\text{Th}(E)).$$

Another useful way of thinking of $\text{Th}(E)$.

Claim: If X is compact, then $\text{Th}(E)$ is homeomorphic to E^+ , the 1-pt compactification of E .

Proof Let $\underline{\mathbb{C}}_X$ denote the trivial bundle on X .

We have two maps:

$$E \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{P}(E \oplus \underline{\mathbb{C}}_X) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}(E).$$

$$v \mapsto [v, 1] \quad [v, 0]. \longleftarrow [v]$$

Then $\text{im}(f) \cong \mathbb{P}(E \oplus \underline{\mathbb{C}}_X) \setminus \mathbb{P}(E)$.

$$\Rightarrow E^+ \cong \mathbb{P}(E \oplus \underline{\mathbb{C}}_X) / \mathbb{P}(E).$$

Choose some homeomorphism $\varphi: [0, 1) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$

Scaling along radii in E , gives:

$$\text{Th}(E) \cong \mathbb{P}(E \oplus \underline{\mathbb{C}}_X) / \mathbb{P}(E) \cong E^+.$$

Claim: Let X be a compact Hausdorff space.
 $\begin{array}{c} E \\ \downarrow \\ X \end{array}$ a \mathbb{C} -v.b. of rank n .

Then, $\exists \lambda_E \in \tilde{K}^0(\text{Th}(E))$ s.t.

① "The Thom isomorphism in K -Theory"

The map:

$$\Phi: K^0(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} K^0(D(E)) \xrightarrow{\lambda_E} \tilde{K}^0(\text{Th}(E))$$

is an iso.

② $\forall f: X' \rightarrow X$, there's an induced map:
 $\text{Th}(f): \text{Th}(f^*(E)) \rightarrow \text{Th}(E)$, and

$$\text{Th}(f)^*(\lambda_E) = \lambda_{f^*(E)} \in K^0(\text{Th}(f^*E))$$

③ If $X = \text{pt}$, $\lambda_{\mathbb{C}^n} \in \tilde{K}^0(\text{Th}(\mathbb{C}^n_{\text{pt}}))$
 $= \tilde{K}^0(S^{2n})$

is a generator of the ring.

Return: Exterior powers of vector bundles.

Def: For any $\begin{matrix} E \\ \downarrow \\ X \end{matrix}$ a \mathbb{C} -v.b.

$$\text{def } \Lambda^k(E) = \bigsqcup_{x \in X} \Lambda^k(E_x).$$

endowed with a topology as used when constructing $E \otimes F$.

Def: $\Lambda_t(E) := \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \Lambda^i(E) t^i \in K^0(X)[[t]].$

Notz: $\cdot \Lambda_t(E \otimes F) = \Lambda_t(E) \cdot \Lambda_t(F).$

$\cdot \Lambda_t(E - F) = \frac{\Lambda_t(E)}{\Lambda_t(F)}$

$\cdot \Lambda_t: (K^0(X), +, 0) \rightarrow (K^0(X)[[t]]^{\times}, \cdot, 1).$

Proof of claim:

We have an exact sequence:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 K^0(\mathbb{P}(E)) & \xleftarrow{i^*} & K^0(\mathbb{P}(E \oplus \underline{\mathbb{C}}_x)) & \xleftarrow{q^*} & \tilde{K}^0(\text{Th}(E)) \\
 \downarrow \partial & & & & \uparrow \partial \\
 \tilde{K}^{-1}(\text{Th}(E)) & \xrightarrow{q^*} & K^{-1}(\mathbb{P}(E \oplus \underline{\mathbb{C}}_x)) & \xrightarrow{i^*} & K^{-1}(\mathbb{P}(E)).
 \end{array}$$

The maps i^* are surjective [Projective bundle formula].

If we write ω_F for the canonical line bundle on $\mathbb{P}(F)$,

then $\omega_E = i^*(\omega_{E \oplus \underline{\mathbb{C}}_x})$. So we abuse notation and ω for both.

$$\tilde{K}^0(\text{Th}(E))$$

$$\cong \ker \left[\frac{K^0(X)[\omega]}{\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n+1} (-1)^i \Lambda^i(E \oplus \underline{C}_X) \omega^{n+1-i}\right)} \xrightarrow{i^*} \frac{K^0(X)[\omega]}{\sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \Lambda^i(E) \omega^i} \right]$$

$$= \ker \left[\frac{K^0(X)[\omega]}{\omega^{n+1} \Lambda_{-\omega^{-1}}(E) \cdot (1-\omega^{-1})} \rightarrow \frac{K^0(X)[\omega]}{\omega^n \cdot \Lambda_{-\omega^{-1}}(E)} \right]$$

Note that ω^n is a unit.

$$\Rightarrow \Lambda_{-\omega^{-1}}(E) \in \ker(i^*)$$

$$\Rightarrow \overline{\Lambda_{-\omega^{-1}}(E)} \in \ker(i^*)$$

$$\Rightarrow \Lambda_{-\omega}(\overline{E}) \in \ker(i^*).$$

$$\therefore \Lambda_{-\omega}(\overline{E}) \in \tilde{K}^0(\text{Th}(E)).$$

Define λ_E to be the unique object

$$\text{such that } q^*(\lambda_E) = \Lambda_{-\omega}(\overline{E}).$$

To prove (2): Note it is natural by construction.

To prove (3):

$$\text{If } X = \text{pt.}, \quad \Lambda^i(\underline{\mathbb{C}}_X^n) = \binom{n}{i} \in K^0(\text{pt.}),$$

$$\Rightarrow \Lambda_{-\omega}(\underline{\mathbb{C}}_X^n) = (1-\omega)^n$$

\therefore this generates $K^0(S^{2n})$.

To prove (1):

$$\mathbb{E}: K^0(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \frac{K^0(X)[\omega]}{(1-\omega)} \xrightarrow[\sim]{\lambda_E^*} \frac{(\lambda_E)}{(\lambda_E \cdot (1-\omega))} = \ker i^*$$

\therefore

$$\cong \tilde{K}^0(\text{Th}(E))$$

The zero section $s_0: X \rightarrow E$

extends to a basecl map: $s_0: X_+ \rightarrow E^+$.

which induces a morphism: $X \sqcup \{\text{base pt}\}$.

$$s_0^*: \tilde{K}^0(\text{Th}(E)) \rightarrow K^0(X_+) \cong K^0(X).$$

Def: "K-Theory Euler class".

For any $\begin{array}{c} E \\ \downarrow \\ X \end{array}$ a \mathbb{C} -v. b. set:

$$e^K(E) := s_0^*(\lambda_E) \in K^0(X).$$

Claim: $c_i^K(E) = \underline{\Lambda}^i(E) \in K^0(X)$. "i-th Chern class".

$$e^K(E) = \underline{\Lambda}_{-1}(\bar{E}) \in K^0(X)$$

Claim: "K-Theory Gysin Sequence"

We have a sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 K^0(\mathcal{S}(E)) & \xleftarrow{p^*} & K^0(X) & \xleftarrow{e^*(E)} & K^0(X) \\
 \downarrow p_! & & & & \uparrow p_! \\
 K^1(X) & \xrightarrow{e^*(E)} & K^0(X) & \xrightarrow{p^*} & K^{-1}(\mathcal{S}(E)).
 \end{array}$$

where $p: \mathcal{S}(E) \rightarrow X$.

$p_!$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 K^i(\mathcal{S}(E)) & \longrightarrow & \tilde{K}^{i+1}(\mathcal{D}(E)/\mathcal{S}(E)) \\
 & & \cong \\
 & & \tilde{K}^{i+1}(\text{Th}(E)) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{E}^{-1}} K^{i+1}(X)
 \end{array}$$