THE UNIVERSITY OF SYDNEY MATH3076 AND MATH3976 (ADVANCED)

Mathematical Computing

Numerical Methods

2015

Lecturer: D. J. Ivers

Set 4 — Numerical Quadrature

Week 11

* — tutorial question; A — advanced; S — supplementary

1^* Newton-Cotes Quadrature.

(a) Attached is a listing of the file mc3nm/csr.f90, which implements the compound Simpson's $\frac{1}{3}$ -rule with s panels for s=1, 2, 4, 8, 16, 32, 64, 128, 512. Copy the file <math>mc3nm/csr.f90 to the file set4q1.f90 in your directory, compile, link and run it for the integral

$$\pi = \int_0^1 \frac{4}{1+x^2} \, dx \, .$$

The program already contains the correct integrand in the function subprogram f(x).

- (b) Modify set4q1.f90 to:
 - (i) implement the compound trapezoidal rule for 2s panels using the function evaluations for the compound Simpson's rule;
 - (ii) evaluate the integrand at most once at each node and use these values in subsequent iterations of the panel do loop (use the variables sum1, sum2 and sum3, and do not use arrays);
 - (iii) tabulate the error.

Compare the accuracy of the methods. For π use pi = acos(-1.0).

(c) Modify your program from Part (b) to evaluate the integral

$$-\frac{4}{9} = \int_0^1 \sqrt{x} \ln x \, dx$$
.

The integrand has an apparent singularity at x = 0, which you can handle in the function subprogram f(x) by carefully defining the integrand.

(d) Computer algebra programs such as Mathematica can evaluate some definite integrals. Mathematica commands to evaluate the integral in Part (a) are

$$f[x_{-}] := 4/(1+x^{2})$$

and

Integrate [f[x], $\{x,0,1\}$]

Evaluate the integrals in Parts (a) and (c) using Mathematica. (Use Sqrt[x] and Log[x]). To run Mathematica use the command

math

2* Gauss and Gauss-Kronrod Quadrature. This question uses subroutine glrule, which calculates the standard n-point Gauss-Legendre weights and nodes, and subroutine qk15, which implements a (7,15) Gauss-Kronrod rule with error estimate. Subroutine qk15 is described in the prologue file \$mc3nm/qk15.pro. A sample main program gauss_legendre, which calls glrule and qk15, is given in the file \$mc3nm/glgk.f90. Copy the file, compile and link it using the command

f90o glgk.f90 \$mc3nm/glrule.f90 \$kmnlib

and then run it. Use the program to calculate the integrals in Question 1. How do the error estimates of qk15 compare with the actual errors?

- 3^{A*} Trapezoidal Adaptive Quadrature. Attached is a listing of the file \$mc3nm/adaptquad.f90, which implements an adaptive form of the trapezoidal rule.
 - (a) Copy the file, compile, link and run it. Use the program to calculate the integrals in Question 1. How do the number of function evaluations compare with Question 1?
 - (b) Consider a definite integral I. If $E_3 = (T_3 T_2)/3$ is the error estimate for the 3-point trapezoidal rule T_3 value for I, where T_2 is the 2-point trapezoidal rule value for I, show that $T_3 + E_3 = S_3$, where S_3 is the 3-point Simpson $\frac{1}{3}$ -rule value for I. (So adaptquad.f90 actually gives a Simpson $\frac{1}{3}$ -rule estimate for each subinterval.)
- 4* Gauss-Kronrod Adaptive Quadrature. Subroutine q1da from Numerical Methods and Software by Kahaner, Moler & Nash implements the (7,15) Gauss-Kronrod rule in an adaptive quadrature algorithm. Subroutine q1da is described in the prologue file \$mc3nm/q1da.pro. A sample main program q1da_main is given in the file \$mc3nm/q1da_main.f90. Copy, compile, link and run it. Modify q1da_main.f90 to calculate the integrals and errors in Question 1. How do the error estimates of q1da compare with the actual errors? How does the number of function evaluations compare with the other methods used in the previous questions?
- **5.** Simpson's $\frac{1}{3}$ -Formula. Derive the formula

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(x) dx = \frac{b-a}{6} [f(a) + 4f(m) + f(b)] - f^{(4)}(m) \frac{(b-a)^{5}}{2880} + \dots$$

6. Compound Simpson's $\frac{1}{3}$ -Formula. Derive the formula

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(x) dx = \frac{h}{3} [f(a) + 4f(a+h) + 2f(a+2h) + 4f(a+3h) + \dots + 4f(b-h) + f(b)] - \frac{h}{3} [f(a) + 4f(a+h) + 2f(a+2h) + 4f(a+3h) + \dots + 4f(b-h) + f(b)] - \frac{h}{3} [f(a) + 4f(a+h) + 2f(a+2h) + 4f(a+3h) + \dots + 4f(b-h) + f(b)] - \frac{h}{3} [f(a) + 4f(a+h) + 2f(a+2h) + 4f(a+3h) + \dots + 4f(b-h) + f(b)] - \frac{h}{3} [f(a) + 4f(a+h) + 2f(a+2h) + 4f(a+3h) + \dots + 4f(b-h) + f(b)] - \frac{h}{3} [f(a) + 4f(a+h) + 2f(a+2h) + 4f(a+3h) + \dots + 4f(b-h) + f(b)] - \frac{h}{3} [f(a) + 4f(a+h) + 2f(a+2h) + 4f(a+3h) + \dots + 4f(b-h) + f(b)] - \frac{h}{3} [f(a) + 4f(a+h) + 2f(a+2h) + 4f(a+3h) + \dots + 4f(b-h) + f(b)] - \frac{h}{3} [f(a) + 4f(a+h) + 2f(a+2h) + 4f(a+3h) + \dots + 4f(b-h) + f(b)] - \frac{h}{3} [f(a) + 4f(a+h) + 2f(a+2h) + 2f(a+3h) + \dots + 4f(b-h) + f(b)] - \frac{h}{3} [f(a) + 4f(a+h) + 2f(a+h) + 2f(a+h)$$

$$(b-a)\frac{h^4}{180}f^{(4)}(m)+\dots$$

7. Evaluate

$$\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sin x \, dx$$

by the following methods:

- (a) analytically;
- (b) 3-point composite trapezoidal rule;
- (c) 3-point Simpson's $\frac{1}{3}$ -rule;
- (d) 2-point Gaussian rule.

[Answers: (a) 1; (b) 0.9480; (c) 1.0023; (d) 0.9985.]

8. Repeat the previous question for the integral

$$\int_0^\alpha \tan x \, dx \,,$$

where $\alpha=89^\circ=1.553343$ rad. Why are the numerical results so much worse than in the previous question ?

[Answers: (a) 4.0483; (b) 23.0110; (c) 15.8494; (d) 2.4209.]

9. What singularities do the following integrals possess and how would you deal with them when evaluating the integrals numerically?

(a)
$$\int_0^{0.7} \frac{x^2 e^x}{(e^x - 1)^2} dx$$
; (b) $\int_0^1 \frac{\sin x \ln x}{\sqrt{1 - x}} dx$.

10. Consider the following Gaussian quadrature rule with the weight function $r^{-1/2}$

$$\int_0^1 \frac{f(x)}{\sqrt{x}} dx = w_1 f(x_1) + w_2 f(x_2).$$

- (a) State the conditions that determine the weights w_1 , w_2 and the nodes x_1 , x_2 . Derive the equations that these conditions determine and then solve for the weights w_1 , w_2 and the nodes x_1 , x_2 . Use your rule to evaluate the integral in Part (b) of the previous question. Generalise your rule to weight functions of the form $x^{-\alpha}$, $0 < \alpha < 1$.
- (b)^A Find the orthogonal polynomials $\phi_0(x)$, $\phi_1(x)$ and $\phi_2(x)$, using the Gram-Schmidt method. Hence derive the 2-point rule.