Monodromy Surfaces of q-Painlevé Equations

Pieter Roffelsen (pieter.roffelsen@sydney.edu.au)
University of Sydney
December, 2021

Introduction

The classical six Painlevé equations P_1, \ldots, P_{VI} , were discovered around 1900 by Paul Painlevé and his colleagues as the canonical nonlinear 2nd order ODEs in the complex domain whose local solutions branch only at a fixed collection of points. For example, any solution of P_{VI} ,

$$u_{tt} = \left(\frac{1}{u} + \frac{1}{u-1} + \frac{1}{u-t}\right) \frac{u_t^2}{2} - \left(\frac{1}{t} + \frac{1}{t-1} + \frac{1}{u-t}\right) u_t + \frac{u(u-1)(u-t)}{t^2(t-1)^2} \left(\alpha + \frac{\beta t}{u^2} + \frac{\gamma(t-1)}{(u-1)^2} + \frac{\delta t(t-1)}{(u-t)^2}\right),$$

branches only at the points $t=0,1,\infty$ in the complex t-plane.

Each Painlevé equation P_K : $u_{tt} = R_K(u, u_t, t)$, K = I, ... VI, is **integrable**: it has an associated linear system

$$Y_z = A_K(z; u, u_t, t) Y,$$

such that, as t moves, the **monodromy data** of the linear system is preserved.

The monodromy data form a complete set of **first integrals** of the corresponding Painlevé equation, which gives rise to a one-to-one correspondence between solutions and monodromy data,

 $\{solutions\ of\ P_K\} \leftrightarrow \{monodromy\ data\}.$

Monodromy manifold

The collection of monodromy data

$$M = \{monodromy\ data\}$$

is known as the monodromy manifold.

For the classical Painlevé equations, the monodromy manifolds can be identified with explicit **affine cubic surfaces**. For example, the affine cubic surface for Painlevé VI reads

$$\{\rho \in \mathbb{C}^3 : \rho_1 \rho_2 \rho_3 + \rho_1^2 + \rho_2^2 + \rho_3^2 + w_1 \rho_1 + w_2 \rho_2 + w_3 \rho_3 + w_4 = 0\},$$

where the coefficients w_k , $1 \le k \le 4$, are related to the parameters $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$. So, for (generic) fixed parameter values, solutions of Painlevé VI are in one-to-one correspondence with points on this cubic surface.

Questions

During the 90ties, many discrete Painlevé equations were derived and ultimately classified by Sakai [7] in 2001. What do their monodromy manifolds look like? Can they be identified with algebraic surfaces?

In [5] we derive an explicit algebraic surface for the monodromy manifold of q-Painlevé VI. The results of this paper are presented in this poster. We also mention the corresponding result in [4] for q-Painlevé IV.

q-Painlevé VI

Let $q \in \mathbb{C}$ with 0 < |q| < 1. The q-Painlevé VI equation is given by

$$qP_{\text{VI}}: \begin{cases} f\overline{f} &= \frac{(\overline{g} - \kappa_0 t)(\overline{g} - \kappa_0^{-1} t)}{(\overline{g} - \kappa_\infty)(\overline{g} - q^{-1}\kappa_\infty^{-1})}, \\ g\overline{g} &= \frac{(f - \kappa_t t)(f - \kappa_t^{-1} t)}{q(f - \kappa_1)(f - \kappa_1^{-1})}, \end{cases}$$

- $lackbox{\hspace{0.5em} f}=f(t), \ \overline{f}=f(qt), \ g=g(t) \ ext{for} \ t \ ext{in} \ ext{a domain} \ T\subseteq \mathbb{C}^* \ ext{with} \ qT=T.$
- $\kappa = (\kappa_0, \kappa_t, \kappa_1, \kappa_\infty) \in \mathbb{C}^4$ are nonzero complex parameters.

Here, we consider the class of solutions with domain given by a discrete q-spiral,

$$t \in T, \quad T = q^{\mathbb{Z}}t_0 = \{\ldots, q^{+2}t_0, q^{+1}t_0, t_0, q^{-1}t_0, q^{-2}t_0, \ldots\},$$

for some $t_0 \in \mathbb{C}^*$. We call (f,g) a solution of $qP_{VI}(\kappa,t_0)$.

We assume non-resonance conditions,

$$\kappa_0^2, \kappa_t^2, \kappa_1^2, \kappa_\infty^2 \notin q^{\mathbb{Z}}, \qquad (\kappa_t \kappa_1)^{\pm 1}, (\kappa_t / \kappa_1)^{\pm 1} \notin t_0 q^{\mathbb{Z}}.$$

and non-splitting conditions

$$\kappa_0^{\epsilon_0} \kappa_t^{\epsilon_t} \kappa_1^{\epsilon_1} \kappa_\infty^{\epsilon_\infty} \notin q^{\mathbb{Z}}, \quad \kappa_0^{\epsilon_0} \kappa_\infty^{\epsilon_\infty} \notin t_0 q^{\mathbb{Z}},$$

where $\epsilon_j \in \{\pm 1\}$, $j=0,t,1,\infty$.

The Jimbo-Sakai linear system

The associated Jimbo-Sakai linear system [2] is given by

$$Y(qz)=A(z,t)Y(z),\quad A(z,t)=A_0+zA_1+z^2egin{pmatrix}\kappa_{\infty}^{+1}&0\0&\kappa_{\infty}^{-1}\end{pmatrix},$$

where

 $|A(z,t)| = (z - \kappa_t^{+1}t)(z - \kappa_t^{-1}t)(z - \kappa_1^{+1})(z - \kappa_1^{-1}),$

with coordinates $\{f, g, w\}$ defined by

$$A_{12}(z,t) = \kappa_{\infty}^{-1} w(z-f),$$

 $A_{22}(f,t) = q(f-\kappa_1)(f-\kappa_1^{-1})g.$

This system is **Fuchsian** and, due to Birkhoff's classical treatment [1] of such Fuchsian systems, the corresponding monodromy is encapsulated by a single connection matrix which relates the following **convergent** series solutions respectively near z = 0 and $z = \infty$,

$$Y_0(z,t) = z^{\log_q(t)} \Psi_0(z,t) z^{\log_q(\kappa_0)\sigma_3}, \qquad \Psi_0(z,t) = H_0(t) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z^n M_n(t),$$
 $Y_{\infty}(z,t) = z^{\log_q(z)-1} \Psi_{\infty}(z,t) z^{\log_q(\kappa_\infty)\sigma_3}, \qquad \Psi_{\infty}(z,t) = I + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z^{-n} N_n(t),$

where $\sigma_3 = \text{diag}(1, -1)$ is the standard third Pauli spin matrix.

We define the matrix functions

$$P(z,t) = Y_0(z,t)^{-1} Y_{\infty}(z,t), \quad C(z,t) = \Psi_0(z,t)^{-1} \Psi_{\infty}(z,t),$$

and call C(z,t) the **connection matrix** or **monodromy** of the linear system.

Substitute a solution (f,g) of qP_{VI} into the linear system and let w satisfy the auxiliary equation

$$rac{\overline{w}}{w} = rac{q\kappa_{\infty}\overline{g}-1}{\overline{g}-\kappa_{\infty}},$$

then P(z, t) and C(z, t), satisfy

$$P(z, t_m) = P(z, t_0), \quad C(z, t_m) = z^m C(z, t_0), \quad (t_m = q^m t_0),$$

i.e. monodromy is preserved as $t \rightarrow qt$ [2].

The monodromy manifold

The connection matrix $C_0(z) := C(z, t_0)$ satisfies

- **1** $C_0(z)$ is analytic on \mathbb{C}^* .
- $C_0(qz) = z^{-2} \begin{pmatrix} t_0 \kappa_0 & 0 \\ 0 & t_0 \kappa_0^{-1} \end{pmatrix} C_0(z) \begin{pmatrix} \kappa_\infty^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & \kappa_\infty \end{pmatrix}.$
- $|C_0(z)| = \text{constant} \times \theta_q(\kappa_t^{+1} z/t_0) \theta_q(\kappa_t^{-1} z/t_0) \theta_q(\kappa_1^{+1} z) \theta_q(\kappa_1^{-1} z).$

We define the **monodromy manifold** $\mathcal{M}(\kappa, t_0)$ as the space of matrices $C_0(z)$ satisfying (1)-(3), quotiented by arbitrary left and right-multiplication by diagonal matrices.

The monodromy manifold $\mathcal{M}(\kappa, t_0)$ was the object of an extensive recent study by Ohyama et al. [6]. Amongst other things, they showed that $\mathcal{M}(\kappa, t_0)$ is an algebraic surface and conjectured that it is smooth. This conjecture follows from the third theorem below.

Results

Theorem [5]

The monodromy mapping

{solutions of
$$qP_{VI}(\kappa, t_0)$$
} $\rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\kappa, t_0)$,

maps the solution space of $qP_{VI}(\kappa, t_0)$ bijectively onto the monodromy manifold.

For any 2×2 matrix $R \neq 0$, with |R| = 0, define

$$\pi(R) \in \mathbb{CP}^1: R_1 = \pi(R)R_2, R = (R_1, R_2).$$

We define **coordinates** $\rho = (\rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3, \rho_4)$ on the monodromy manifold through

$$\rho_k = \pi(C_0(x_k))$$
 $(1 \le k \le 4)$, $(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) := (\kappa_t t_0, \kappa_t^{-1} t_0, \kappa_1, \kappa_1^{-1})$.

These coordinates ρ lie in $(\mathbb{CP}^1)^4/\mathbb{C}^*$.

Theorem [5]

The coordinates ρ yield an embedding of the monodromy surface into $(\mathbb{CP}^1)^4/\mathbb{C}^*$. The image of this embedding is given by the surface $S^*(\kappa, t_0)$ in $(\mathbb{CP}^1)^4/\mathbb{C}^*$, defined as the zero set

$$T_{12}\rho_1\rho_2 + T_{13}\rho_1\rho_3 + T_{14}\rho_1\rho_4 + T_{23}\rho_2\rho_3 + T_{24}\rho_2\rho_4 + T_{34}\rho_3\rho_4 = 0, \tag{1}$$

where

$$\begin{split} T_{12} &= \theta_{q} \left(\kappa_{t}^{2}, \kappa_{1}^{2} \right) \theta_{q} \left(\kappa_{0} \kappa_{\infty}^{-1} t_{0}, \kappa_{0}^{-1} \kappa_{\infty}^{-1} t_{0} \right) \kappa_{\infty}^{2}, \\ T_{34} &= \theta_{q} \left(\kappa_{t}^{2}, \kappa_{1}^{2} \right) \theta_{q} \left(\kappa_{0} \kappa_{\infty} t_{0}, \kappa_{0}^{-1} \kappa_{\infty} t_{0} \right), \\ T_{13} &= -\theta_{q} \left(\kappa_{t} \kappa_{1}^{-1} t_{0}, \kappa_{t}^{-1} \kappa_{1} t_{0} \right) \theta_{q} \left(\kappa_{t} \kappa_{1} \kappa_{0}^{-1} \kappa_{\infty}^{-1}, \kappa_{0} \kappa_{t} \kappa_{1} \kappa_{\infty}^{-1} \right) \kappa_{\infty}^{2}, \\ T_{24} &= -\theta_{q} \left(\kappa_{t} \kappa_{1}^{-1} t_{0}, \kappa_{t}^{-1} \kappa_{1} t_{0} \right) \theta_{q} \left(\kappa_{0} \kappa_{t} \kappa_{1} \kappa_{\infty}, \kappa_{t} \kappa_{1} \kappa_{\infty} \kappa_{0}^{-1} \right), \\ T_{23} &= \theta_{q} \left(\kappa_{t} \kappa_{1} t_{0}, \kappa_{t}^{-1} \kappa_{1}^{-1} t_{0} \right) \theta_{q} \left(\kappa_{t} \kappa_{\infty} \kappa_{0}^{-1} \kappa_{1}^{-1}, \kappa_{0} \kappa_{t} \kappa_{\infty} \kappa_{1}^{-1} \right) \kappa_{1}^{2}, \\ T_{14} &= \theta_{q} \left(\kappa_{t} \kappa_{1} t_{0}, \kappa_{t}^{-1} \kappa_{1}^{-1} t_{0} \right) \theta_{q} \left(\kappa_{1} \kappa_{\infty} \kappa_{0}^{-1} \kappa_{t}^{-1}, \kappa_{0} \kappa_{1} \kappa_{\infty} \kappa_{t}^{-1} \right) \kappa_{t}^{2}, \end{split}$$

minus a closed curve \mathcal{X} defined by the intersection of (1) as κ_0 varies over \mathbb{C}^* . In particular,

$$\mathcal{M}(\kappa, t_0) \to \mathcal{S}^*(\kappa, t_0), \ \textit{where} \ [\mathcal{C}_0] \mapsto [\rho],$$

is a bijection.

Corollary

The general solution of $qP_{VI}(\kappa, t_0)$ can be parametrised by

$$f(t) = f(t; \kappa, t_0, p),$$

$$g(t) = g(t; \kappa, t_0, \mathsf{p}),$$

with p varying in $S^*(\kappa, t_0)$.

Theorem [5]

The monodromy manifold $\mathcal{M}(\kappa, t_0)$, or equivalently the surface $\mathcal{S}^*(\kappa, t_0)$, is a smooth and affine algebraic surface.

q-Painlevé IV [4]

Joshi and Nakazono [3] derived a linear system for q-Painlevé IV which is Fuchsian. Similarly to the above, we identified the corresponding monodromy manifold with an algebraic surface in $(\mathbb{CP}^1)^3$, defined through

$$+ \theta_{q}(+a_{0}, +a_{1}, +a_{2}) \left(\theta_{q}(t_{0})\rho_{1}\rho_{2}\rho_{3} - \theta_{q}(-t_{0})\right)$$

$$- \theta_{q}(-a_{0}, +a_{1}, -a_{2}) \left(\theta_{q}(t_{0})\rho_{1} - \theta_{q}(-t_{0})\rho_{2}\rho_{3}\right)$$

$$+ \theta_{q}(+a_{0}, -a_{1}, -a_{2}) \left(\theta_{q}(t_{0})\rho_{2} - \theta_{q}(-t_{0})\rho_{1}\rho_{3}\right)$$

$$- \theta_{q}(-a_{0}, -a_{1}, +a_{2}) \left(\theta_{q}(t_{0})\rho_{3} - \theta_{q}(-t_{0})\rho_{1}\rho_{2}\right) = 0,$$

minus a closed curve (defined by intersection over t_0).

References

- Birkhoff, G.D., The generalized Riemann problem for linear differential equations and the allied problems for linear difference and q-difference equations, Proceedings of the American Academy of Arts and Sciences, 49, 1913.
- Jimbo, M. and Sakai, H., A q-analogue of the sixth Painlevé equation, Lett. Math. Phys., 38, 1996
- Is Joshi, N. and Nakazono, N., Lax pairs of discrete Painlevé equations: $(A_2 + A_1)^{(1)}$ case, Proc. Roy Soc. A., 472, 2016
- Joshi, N. and PR, On the Riemann-Hilbert problem for a q-difference Painlevé equation, Comm. Math. Phys., 384, 2021.
- problem, to be submitted.
 Ohyama, Y., Ramis, J.P. and Sauloy, J., The space of monodromy data for the Jimbo-Sakai

5 Joshi, N. and PR, On the monodromy surface of q-Painlevé VI and the allied Riemann-Hilbert

- family of q-difference equations, Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math., 29, 2020.

 7 Sakai, H., Rational surfaces associated with affine root systems and geometry of the Painlevé
- Sakai, H., Rational surfaces associated with affine root systems and geometry of the Painle equations, Communications in Mathematical Physics, 220, 2001.