

Superintegrable Hamiltonian Systems on Conformal Manifolds

Andreas Vollmer

Dipartimento di Scienze Matematiche (DISMA), Politecnico di Torino, Italy formerly School of Mathematics and Statistics, University of New South Wales, Sydney, Australia



Joint work with Jonathan Kress (UNSW Sydney) and Konrad Schöbel (HTWK Leipzig), as well as Gianni Manno (Politecnico di Torino)

Basic definitions

- (M^n,g) (pseudo-)Riemannian manifold, Hamiltonian $H=g_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{p},\mathbf{p})+V(\mathbf{x})$.
- A (second-order) conformally superintegrable system is a Hamiltonian H together with 2n-1 functionally independent (conformal) integrals $F=C^{ij}(x)p_ip_j+W(x)$ on the phase-space, i.e.

$$\dot{F} = \{H, F\} = \omega H. \tag{1}$$

for some $\omega = \omega^i p_i$ linear in momenta **p**.

- If $\omega = 0$ then the system is a proper, i.e. superintegrable in the usual sense.
- Equation (1) is equivalent to the following:
- 1 The condition that the C_{ij} are components of a conformal Killing tensor.
- f 2 The integrability condition for W

$$0 = ddW = dCdV + dV \wedge \omega + Vd\omega,$$

which is called Bertrand-Darboux condition.

Overview

To date, non-degenerate conformally superintegrable systems are classified in dimensions 2 and 3. We find

- A framework for an algebraic-geometric classification of (conformally) superintegrable systems, particularly in dimensions $n \geq 3$.
- A definition of superintegrability on conformal manifolds ('c-superintegrability'), and conformally invariant conditions for superintegrability.
- New obstructions in dimension $n \ge 4$ (see blue box).
- Properly superintegrable systems on constant curvature spaces correspond to eigenfunctions of the Laplacian. The eigenvalue is given by the curvature of the manifold.
- Abundant systems only exist on conformally flat manifolds.
- The generic system cannot be realised as a flat properly superintegrable system (known in 2D,3D, new in $n \ge 4$).

Conformal transformations

Stäckel transformations aka coupling constant metamorphosis (CCM) are a well-known equivalence relation on properly superintegrable systems.

Classical formulation:

Let $H_{\alpha}=g(\mathbf{p},\mathbf{p})+V+\alpha U$ admit the integral $F_{\alpha}=C(\mathbf{p},\mathbf{p})+W_{\alpha}$. Then $\tilde{H}_{c}=\frac{H+c}{U}$ admits the integral

$$\tilde{F}_c = F(\tilde{H}_c)$$
.

- \Rightarrow Equivalence relation on superintegrable systems.
- If V=0, we obtain the classical Maupertuis-Jacobi transformation (going back to the 1700s).
- In conformally superintegrable systems, we work with integrals of the form

$$F = C^{ij}(\mathbf{x})p_ip_j + W(\mathbf{x})$$

such that C_{ij} are components of a trace-free conformal Killing tensor.

• Such conformal integrals are invariant under conformal transformations. The Hamiltonian transforms as a density of conformal weight -2.

Non-degeneracy

means the existence of a (n+2)-parameter family of Hamiltonians

$$H(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}) = g(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}) + \sum_{k} c_k V^{(k)}$$

compatible with the same conformal integrals.

- The integrability conditions for the potential are trivially satisfied.
- Non-degenerate systems are classified to date in dimension 2 and 3.
- In 2D: degenerate systems are restrictions of abundant systems.

Associated conformal Killing tensors

• The kinetic parts of the conformal integrals $F=C(\mathbf{p},\mathbf{p})+W$ are conformal Killing tensor fields,

$$C_{(ij,k)} = g_{(ij}\omega_{k)}.$$

• We say that a conformally superintegrable system is irreducible if its associated Killing tensors are irreducible. Such systems satisfy

$$V_{,ij} = T_{ij}^{\ k} V_{,k} + \tau_{ij} V + \frac{1}{n} g_{ij} \Delta V.$$

All known non-degenerate systems are irreducible.

• For non-degenerate systems, covariant derivatives of trace-free conformal Killing tensors associated to non-degenerate systems satisfy

$$C_{ij,k} = P_{ijkmn}C_{mn}$$

for a certain tensor P. Such a relation does not exist for generic conformal Killing tensors.

Obstruction equation

An abundant superintegrable system is described by a trace-free totally symmetric tensor field S_{ijk} and a conformal scale function σ (i.e. a tensor density of weight 1). The structure tensor S_{ijk} is conformally equivariant (of weight 2), and unique for non-degenerate systems.

- The underlying metric has to be conformally flat.
- A c-superintegrable system corresponds to a solution of

$$(\Psi_{ija}\Psi^a_{kl} - \Psi_{ika}\Psi^a_{jl})_{\circ} = 0$$

where $\Psi_{ijk} = S_{ijk}(x_0)$ is a harmonic cubic form in n variables,

$$\Psi_{ijk}p^ip^jp^k$$
.

Here of denotes trace-freeness in all pairs of free indices.

Abundant systems

Abundant systems admit $\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ linearly independent integrals $F^{(\alpha)}$ compatible with a non-degenerate family of Hamiltonians.

- All known non-degenerate systems are abundant.
- The integrability conditions for the associated trace-free conformal Killing tensors of abundant systems are trivially satisfied.
- \bullet Functional independence of 2n-1 conformal integrals is guaranteed for almost every potential from the non-degenerate family.

Examples

• ISOTROPIC HARMONIC OSCILLATOR The 'zero' system: $H=c_1(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{c})^2+c_0$. Structure tensor: $S_{ijk}=0$. Conformal scale: $\sigma=1$.

• 'GENERIC' SYSTEM (G is the round metric on $\mathbb{S}^n \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$)

$$H = G(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}) + \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \frac{c_k}{y_k^2} + c_0$$

Structure tensor: $S = \mathring{\nabla}^3(y_k^2 \ln(y_k))$. Conformal scale: $\sigma = \left(\prod_{k=1}^{n+1} y_k\right)^{-\frac{1}{5}}$. Here $\mathring{\nabla}^3$ is the trace-free part of the symmetrization of the third covariant derivative.

• CONFORMAL EQUIVALENCE The Hamiltonians

$$H = p_x^2 + p_z p_{\bar{z}} + c_4 x + c_3 (4x^2 + z\bar{z}) + \frac{c_2}{z^2} + \frac{c_1 \bar{z}}{z^3} + c_0$$

and $\tilde{H}=z^2H$ admit conformally equivalent non-degenerate properly superintegrable systems.

 \Rightarrow They share the same structure tensor $S=-\frac{3}{2}\mathring{\nabla}^3(z\bar{z}\,\ln(z)).$ Here ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection of $g=dx^2+dzd\bar{z}.$

• Geodesically equivalent systems

$$H = \frac{p_1 p_2}{x + y^2} + \frac{c_1}{x + y^2} + \frac{c_2 y}{x + y^2} + \frac{c_3 y(y^2 - 3x)}{x + y^2} + c_4$$

admits a non-degenerate system. Its metric admits a projective symmetry (preserving unparametrised geodesics).

H induces a non-degenerate system for the metric

$$g = \frac{x + y^2}{(3x - y^2)^6} \left(9(x + y^2)dx^2 - 4y(9x + y^2)dxdy + 12x(x + y^2)dy^2 \right)$$

which has the same unparametrised geodesics. The systems are both projectively and conformally equivalent [Vol2020].

References & Acknowledgments

KSV2020 Kress & Schöbel & V.: Algebraic Conditions for Conformal Superintegrability in Arbitrary Dimension, arXiv:2006.15696

Vol2021 Stäckel Equivalence of Non-Degenerate Superintegrable Systems, and Invariant Quadrics, SIGMA 17 (2021)

Vol2020 Projectively equivalent 2-dimensional superintegrable systems with projective symmetries, J. Phys. A: Math. and Theor. 53(9),

KSV2019 Kress & Schöbel & V.: An Algebraic Geometric Foundation for a Classification of Superintegrable Systems in Arbitrary Dimension, arXiv:1911.11925

MV2019 Manno & V.: (Super-)integrable systems associated to 2-dimensional projective connections with one projective symmetry, JGP 145,

Funded by the German Research Foundation (DFG), project 353063958. Support through the project PRIN 2017 "Real and Complex Manifolds: Topology, Geometry and holomorphic dynamics", and the MIUR grant "Dipartimenti di Eccellenza 2018-2022 (E11G18000350001)".

Thank you for the kind hospitality received at the University of Stuttgart. I am a member of GNSAGA of Istituto Nazionale di Alta Matematica.